

NORTHCOAST SPORTS'\$7 OWER PLAYS

© 2010 Northcoast Sports Service VOLUME 28 ISSUE 2 September 9-13, 2010

BACK-TO-BACK-TO-BACK POWER SW NEWSLETTER CONTEST WINNE NFL KICKOFF! COLLEGE 4.5★'S NOW 20-12 63% L2

NEWS AND NOTES - WEEK TWO, 2010

NEWS AND NOTES - WEEK TWO, 2010 NEWS AND NOTES: Kent St only led 17-10 late 2Q when they got a 3rd & 10, 49 yd TD pass with 1:13 left in the half then got a 92 yd KR TD to open the 3Q. KSU held Murray St to -65 yds rushing in their 41-10 win...SEL had a 17-15 FD edge vs Tulane and 266-252 yd edge. SEL had a 1st & gl at the 1 at the end of an 83/12pl drive early 3Q but fmbl⁻¹ into the EZ for a TB. Later they fmbl⁻¹ do not their own 7 setting up a 2pl drive for a Tulane TD. They trailed 27-21 with 9:21 left and would punt on their next 2 poss and their final drive got them to the TU45 where they ran out of time...There were a couple of key plays in the Nevada/E Washington game which was fairly close with UN only having a 553-432 yd edge. Leading 21-10, UN had the ball 3rd & 3 and got a 48 yd TD pass with just :17 left in the half to lead by 18. EW pulled within 35-24 in the 4Q and had the ball at the UN33 when they fmbl⁻¹d. UN got a TD 3pl later to extend the lead and then after converting on 4th & 13 with a 33 yd pass, added an other TD with 3:38 left in their 25 pt win...In the 1H of the UAB/FAU game, UAB had an 11-6 FD edge but only a 226-185 yd edge. The first 5 poss of the game were punts then UAB QB Isabelle got a 50 yd yd for a TD. A key play happened with 4:11 left when on FAU's 56 yd punt, a holding call on UAB pinned them at the 1. UAB's punt only got out to the 38 and Morris got a TD run on the next play. UAB still had an easy shot at winning and in fact, on 3rd & gl from the 9, took a knee in the center of the field but Zahn's 28 yd chipshot FG was blk'd on the final play and FAU claimed the upset...Hawaii did have a 308-296 yd edge vs USC at the half but the Trojans led by double digits most of the game. Backup QB Mustain came in with USC up 42-23 early 4Q. UH gained 147 yds on their final 2 drives in the 49-36 loss. USC did not have contact during their practices in the month of Aug as they are low on scholarships and that may have had something to do with UH's 588-524 yd edge for the game ing 405-266 yd edge on the day. Utah appeared to lead comfortably 24-13 bút Pitt rallied back for a 24-24 tie on a FG with :00 left. Utah got an int in OT and kicked the game winning FG for the 3 pt win. Iowa QB Ricky Stanzi was injured in the 2Q but ret'd. Iowa scored TD's on their first 2 poss and blk'd a punt for a TD for a 21-0 lead but only won 37-7 and ended the game with a 10 yd run to the E Illinois 6... Robert Bolden was the first true frosh QB to start a season opener in Joe Paterno's 45 years. Youngstown St actually led 7-3 early after a 3rd & 10 short screen pass went 80 yds for a TD but PSU led 44-7 before YSU went 80/15pl for a garbage TD with :40 left...While Samford had 300 yds vs Florida St, they did get 57 of it in the last 2:23 of the 1H resulting in a 41 yd FG then took over trailing 59-6 with 6:36 left and went 79/16pl getting SOD on the 1 with :04 left... Joshua Nesbitt had a pair of TD runs for Georgia Tech and both came on 4th down. The first came on their first series when on 4th & 3 he got a 35 yd TD run, the 2nd came in the 3Q on 4th & 3 with a 14 yd TD run. GT led 34-10 when they added a 10 yd TD run with 4:50 left for the 41-10 final vs S Carolina St...Illinois had a 196-137 yd edge at the half vs Missouri and led 13-3 but would have just 85 yds and 4 FD's in the 2H. MO took the lead for good with 13:26 left. UI was SOD on 4th & 10 from their own 21 with 2:08 left and MO added a 34 yd FG with 27 left...Michigan St/W Michigan were tied 7-7 in the 20. There were 2 key plays, the first when WM QB Carder was calling an audible. The C snapped it off his knee, MSU rec'd at the 31 and 4pl later had a TD. MSU was then pinned back on their own 2 and got a 75 yd run by Bell which set up a TD for a 21-7 lead. In the 4Q, MSU got a 33 yd FG with 7:30 left to go up by 24. WM had a 2nd & 1 at the 7 yd line but on 4th & 1 fired incomplete with 4:10 left. On their final drive they took over with 2:37 left but brought in a backup QB and with no sense of urgency, were stopped on 4th & 9 with :20 left...Kentucky did have a 271-103 yd edge at the half and led 23-6 in the 3Q. Louisville trimmed it to 23-16 with 3:16 left but kicked off deep and UK got 3 FD's and ran out the clock and finished with 230 yds rushing and 236 passing...The pressure was on Michigan to open strong and Denard Robinson accounted for 383 yds incl a school QB rushing record 197 yds. It was a football attendance record crowd of 113,090 in the newly expanded Michigan Stadium. UM jumped out to a 21-0 lead. Conn converted on 4th & 2 for a TD with :17 left in the half...Oregon tied an Autzen Stadium record for points with 72. They set a team record with 720 yards. UO had a commanding 35-8 FD edge. NM had just 8 FD and 107 yds. There was nothing fluky about the 72-0 shutout as the Early Bird POW... While Georgia beat Louisiana 55-7 they did have only 377 yds offense. Their D allowed just 5 FD's...AF only led Northwestern St 24-21 but scored TD's on their first six 2H poss to blow it open...Alabama was without Heisman winner Mark Ingram and their top defensive player Marcell Dareus but it hardly mattered vs San Jose St. The Tide scored TD's on four of their first 5 drives, fumbling into the EZ for a TB on the other. QB McElroy came out with the score 21-3 and Trent Richardson had just 10 carries with Eddie Lacy leading the Tide in rushing with an UCB and Trent Richardson had just 10 carries with Eddie Lacy leading the Tide in rushing with an UCB and Trent Richardson had just 10 carries with Eddie Lacy leading the Tide in rushing with an UCB and Trent Richardson had just 10 carries with Eddie Lacy leading the Tide in rushing with an UCB and Trent Richardson had just 10 carries with Eddie Lacy leading the Tide in rushing with an UCB and Trent Richardson had just 10 carries with Eddie Lacy leading the Tide in rushing with an UCB and Trent Richardson had just 10 carries with Eddie Lacy leading the Tide in rushing with an UCB and Trent Richardson had just 10 carries with Eddie Lacy leading the Tide in rushing with an UCB and Trent Richardson had just 10 carries with Eddie Lacy leading the Tide in rushing with an UCB and Trent Richardson had just 10 carries with Eddie Lacy leading the Tide in rushing with an UCB and Trent Richardson had just 10 carries with Eddie Lacy leading the Tide in rushing with the score 21-3 and Trent Richardson had just 10 carries with Eddie Lacy leading the Tide in rushing with eddie Lacy leading the Tide in rushing with the score 21-3 and Trent Richardson had just 10 carries with Eddie Lacy leading the Tide in rushing with eddie Lacy leading the Tide in rushing with eddie Lacy leading the Tide in rushing with the score 21-3 and Trent Richardson had just 10 carries with Eddie Lacy leading the Tide in rushing with e 2 FD's in between as Cal rolled to a 35-0 halftime lead with a 332-31 yd edge and finished with 26-4 FD and 517-81 yd edges in their 52-3 romp...W Carolina drove 87/10pl for a TD to open and forced NC State into a 3 & out but they fumbled the punt and NCSt scored on the remaining 5 poss of the 1H to lead 31-7 and did not punt again until 1:55 was left in their 48-7 win. NCSt finished with 25-9 FD and 480-189 yd edges... A look at the box score shows N Texas with a dominating win as they held the ball for 41:52 and had a 25-15 FD edge vs Clemson. Unfortunately for NT they lost by 25. have a 60 yd TD run by Ellington and a 70 yd TD pass to Clear which kept the ydš close in the game and provided the TD's. NT was int'd at the CU6 and int'd in the EZ for a TB and settled for a 25 yd FG. They ended the game with the ball at the CU29...The Oklahoma Sooners did not look like a national title contender in the opener. They did jump out to a 21-0 lead and it could have been 28-0 late 2Q as they had a TD pass called back for illegal formation and then had an int at the 24 on the next play. USU QB Borel's ability to convert on 3rd & long was the key and he threw for 340 yds. Utah St scored 10 the provided and the pass careed back to mergan formation and mer have an intervention and the pass careed back to mergan formation and mer have an intervention and the pass careed back to mergan formation and mer have back to mergan formation and mer have back to mergan back firsh QB to start an opener for NU ever and guided TD drives on his first 2 series. Cody Green guided TD drives on his first 2 series as well. NU was in control 35-3 but WKU at the end of a 46 yd run, fmbl'd into the EZ for a TB late 3Q. Leading 42-10 WKU only got off a 4 yd punt and NU drove 13/2pl to get ahead of the spread, 49-10 with 6:56 left. NU finished the game at the WKU9 on the final play... Memphis crossed midfield 4x in the 1H but missed 2 FG's and had a holding call wipe out a 1st & 10 at the 15. Miss St was in complete control leading 49-0 when Mem took over with 5:13 left and drove 68/8pl getting a 3rd & 8, 27 yd TD pass with 1:39 left to avoid the shutout. While Relf started and did well, backup QB Russell was the star hitting 13:16-256 yds and his 4 TD's tied a school record...An amazing stat is that ND coaches making their debut are now 26-3. ND led 20-3 and was about to make it 27-3 when they fmbl'd into the EZ and it was ret'd to the 6. That changed the momentum and Purdue would get 9 pts on a safety & TD to pull within 8 but the Irish got the spread covering 37 yd FG with 4:30 left and ended the game at the PU30 yd line...Virginia did lead Richmond just 14-13 and leading 21-13 Richmond was SOD on 4th & 1 at the UVA45. RU was also int at the UVA2 with 9:18 left and a 65 yd IR led to a UVA 43 yd TD drive to ice it 35-13.

leading 21-13 Richmond was SOD on 4th & 1 at the UVA45. RU was also int at the UVA2 with 9:18 lett and a 65 yd IH led to a UVA 43 yd I D drive to ice it 35-13. GARBAGE TD'S: Boston College got the great return of LB Mark Herzlich to the lineup vs Weber St. Boston College's first play of the season was a false start followed by an int which set up a Weber St FG. BC dominated the rest of the half leading 31-10. They led 38-13 when they were int'd in the EZ and WSU rec a fmbl with :56 left and drove 36 yds for a garbage TD with :19 left...UCF dominated S Dakota St with a 27-13 FD edge and had 231 yds rushing and 241 passing while SDS had just 220 yds incl 58 on a final garbage drive when they took over with 3:50 left. UCF punted once all game in their dominating 38-7 win. MISLEADING SCORES, FRONTDOOR COVERS: Showing how scores can be misleading, you may have heard that John Brantley had the Florida Gators up 21-3 ore Miami, Oh. In reality, UP had a grand total of 17 yards TOTAL OFFENSE with 6:33 left in the 30. They were outgained 102-13 at the half but were up 21-6. Their int TD came when Brantley on 4th & 21, flung a ball in the air that bounced off a UF player and Rainey grabbed it for a 25 yd TD with 1:21 left. It was the most unimpressive 34 oths scored and UE and MU each actually had iust 212 vds offense despite UF's 22 ot win... UCLA was missing 3 OL for the game vs Kansas St



unimpressive 34 pts scored and UF and MU each actually had just 212 yds offense despite UF's 22 pt win... UCLA was missing 3 OL for the game vs Kansas St and QB Prince missed a good portion of practice time during the week and he hit 9-26-120. UCLA got an 11 yd drive for a TD after a fmbl and led 10-7 at the half. The game was 17-16 after a UCLA 42 yd FG with 6:28 left. KSt drove 80/8pl for a TD with 2:03 left to go up 24-16. UCLA went 64/2pl for a TD but missed the 2pt conv, 24-22. The onside kick was rec by KS and then on 3rd & 1 with the game at the line (-2) Thomas not only got the FD but raced 37 yds for a TD with :58 left. cont on pg 2

Continued from Front Pa

InJURIES OF NOTE: Middle Tenn was without QB Dasher (susp) vs Minnesota. In the 1Q they had just 33 yds but backup QB Kilgore came to life in the 2Q where MT had 174 yds offense and it was tied at 14 at half with MT having a 207-198 yd edge. Minny kept it on the ground most of the game as RB Bennett had 187 yds rushing. They did have a 12 play drive end in a punt but then pinned at their 1, went 97/13pl settling for a 19 yd FG with 14:11 left. They went 77/15pl and got a TD with 3:09 left then MT fmbl'd the ensuing KO and Minny ran out the clock.

HEISMAN HOPEFULS: Terrelle Pryor is one of the preseason favorites to win the Heisman and opened up in fine fashion. He hit 17-25-247. Marshall fmbl'd the opening KO and OSU went 22 yds for a TD and dominated much like the final of 45-7 indicates. In fact, MU's only score came on a blk'd FG which they ret'd 61 yds for a TD. OSU had a 529-199 yd edge and Pryor's last play came with 12:20 left 4Q... Arizona dominated Toledo on Friday night. They had a 518-183 yd edge and QB Nick Foles perhaps entered the Heisman race by hitting 32-37 passes for 360 yds in an impressive debut performance. Ark handled Tenn Tech and QB Ryan Mallet hit 21-24 passes for 301 yds and one of his incompletions was a TD pass that was dropped.

FIRST WEEK SHUTOUTS: Central Michigan beat Hampton 33-0 but their first 16 pts came on 14 yds of offense as Hampton had a snap go over the P's head for a safety then after an 81 yd return of the free kick, got a 2 yd TD drive. Then after a fmbl'd KO, drove 12 yds for a TD to lead 16-0. HU also had a -4 yd punt which set up a 40 yd TD drive and was int'd at the CM17 in the 1H but CM did miss a pair of short FG's late and finished with a commanding 26-11 and 427-129 yd edges...Buffalo recorded its first shutout win since 2001 and had a 423-207 yd edge. They only led 10-0 late 2Q when they rec'd a fmbl with 3:34 left and got a 38 yd TD pass on the next play. They added a 46 yd drive for a TD with :40 left to lead 24-0 at the half. Rhode Island missed a 29 yd FG in the 3Q...Idaho got their first shutout since 1997 and QB Enderle threw for 311 yds playing just in to the 3Q. IU only led 17-0 at the half and they rec'd an onside kick to open the 2H and rolled to the 45-0 win with their final TD coming with 1:26 left... It appeared Rutgers only led Norfolk St 3-0 at the half. A 3rd & 16, 29 yd pass to the 3 was ruled with no time left. :01 was put back on the clock and RU was able to get a 20 yd FG to lead 6-0 but still left the field to boo's. A blocked punt for a TD opened up a 21-0 lead mid-3Q and the Knights added 10 pts in the 4Q in their 31-0 shutout win....Miami, Fl got their first shutout win since 2006, the year before Randy Shannon was on board. UM dominated with a 35-0 halftime lead and Jacory Harris played only the 1H. Fla A&M did have a 50 yd FG bounce off the upright on their 2nd drive which would have averted the shutout...West Virginia got their first home shutout since 1997. They had an 11-2 FD edge in the 1H but did fmbl in the EZ for a TB and only led 10-0. It was 17-0 and CC missed 47 and 42 yd FG's in the 3Q before WV went 75/9pl and 63/5pl for TD's to put it away and won 31-0.

These Power Play Forecasts are the most unique in the country because they are based on Northcoast Sports' Private Power Ratings. Our ratings are unlike any computer rating, as we take into account all of the following: Strength of Opponents Individual Units (Rushing Offense and Defense, Passing Offense, & Defense, Scoring Offense and Defense, Garbage Yards, Weather, Injuries, Home Field Edge, and Successful Unbalanced Attacks). Here is how each is reflected:

Strength of Opponent: Our ratings do not just take the opposing team's overall power rating into account. For example, in 1992, Washington's defense vs the rush was rated at a "110" (From 1-100). They faced Colorado and allowed 183 yds rushing and **dropped 12 spots** in the national rushing defense ratings. However, Power Plays took into account the potent Buffalo run attack and had forecasted the Huskies yielding 225 yds. Due to the fact they did better than the forecast, Power Plays raised their rating to 112 even though they slipped in the national (straight yardage) rankings.

Another example was Michigan vs Purdue in 1989. Michigan held Purdue to just 45 yards rushing and improved their ratings in rushing defense in the national statistics. However, our ratings had them rated at a 102 and moved them down to 99, as they should have yielded just 32 yards to an impotent Purdue rush attack. Next time an announcer on TV tells you that a certain team is #3 in the country vs the run you better check Northcoast Sports' Power Ratings and see how good a rush defense they really have! The top twenty ratings in Power Ratings for each offensive and defensive category will be listed in each week's issue of Power Plays.

Garbage yards: These are yards that a team gains or allows in the final minutes of a game when it has been decided. For example, Team A is ahead 63-0 and allows 93 yards passing for a TD vs its fourth string defense. These yards are counted into national ratings, but not in Northcoast Sports' Power Ratings! Weather: If a game is played in miserable weather conditions and is low scoring, the straight statistics credit the defense with outstanding performances.

Our Power Ratings reflect the conditions and do not give excess credit to the defenses. Injuries: When South Carolina played NC State in 1989, South Carolina QB Todd Ellis was injured on the third play of the game. His backup, who had seen very little action all year, was not prepared and hit on just 4 of 13 passes for 65 yards. The offensive coordinator did not risk any passes. In the national statistics NC State's pass defense MOVED UP 14 NOTCHES. In Phil's Power Ratings they stayed the same, as the low yardage WAS NOT reflective of an outstanding performance by the defense.

Home Field Edges: The weekly projection of yards and points is weighted with the home field factored in. Successful Unbalanced Attacks: In 1989, Ohio St was held to 127 yards passing vs Northwestern. This dropped OSU in the national passing offense ratings & RAISED the Wildcats in the pass defense ratings. In our ratings the two units remained rated the same. Why? OSU rushed for 456 yards & DID NOT HAVE TO PASS & when they did they hit 9 of 11 passes, which is VERY effective. While Northwestern's pass defense looked good in the national ratings, only Northcoast Sports' Power Ratings reflected the TRUTH!

There you have it. More than just numbers, THESE RATINGS REFLECT the true strength of each unit and allow them to have the most accurate forecast of yards gained and points allowed in the country! No other rating system takes into account all the previous factors. Next time you hear that a team is rated third in the country in pass defense, just remember, yards are not everything and Northcoast Sports' Power Plays will show you how strong the team really is.

OR MINUS RTHCOAST SPORTS PL US

The Power Ratings listed below are not based on the Power Plays, they are based on last year's final computer power rating numbers with the pluses and minuses from the off season changes factored in. They are then adjusted during the course of the season based on the final score of the games that are played on a weekly basis. The Power Ratings listed below can be used for you as a base power ratings to forecast up coming lines and strength of opponents, etc. Once again, they are not based on Power Plays and they differ from what we have shown in recent years, which has been our actual computer Power Ratings. These are Northcoast Sports Plus or Minus Power Ratings.

ACC		BIG EAST	SUN BELT	WAC	BIG TEN	BIG 12
Virginia Tech Florida St Miami, Fl North Carolina Georgia Tech Boston College Clemson Wake Forest NC State Maryland Duke	123 121 120 120 120	West Virginia126Connecticut125South Florida125Pittsburgh123Syracuse122	Middle Tennessee115Troy115Florida Atlantic108North Texas104Louisiana-Lft101Arkansas St101WKU101	CurrentBoise St143Nevada121Louisiana Tech119Fresno St117Utah St117Hawaii112Idaho111San Jose St99	Penn St133Wisconsin132Iowa130Michigan126Michigan St122Northwestern122Purdue119Minnesota118Illinois117	CurrentNebraska141Oklahoma138Texas133Texas Tech129Texas A&M127Colorado125Missouri121Baylor119Iowa St118Kansas St117Kansas112
CONFERENCE U Cu Houston UCF S Mississippi Tulsa SMU East Carolina UAB Marshall Rice UTEP	rrent 126 118	INDEPENDENT Current Navy 124 Notre Dame 123 Army 106	N Illinois113C Michigan113Ohio111Ball St111Kent St110Miami, Oh109Buffalo109	Oregon140Stanford134Arizona132Oregon St131California127Washington127USC125Arizona St121UCLA119Washington St103	SEC Alabama 144 Arkansas 140 Georgia 137 Florida 133 Miss St 133 LSU 132 South Carolina 132 Auburn 127	Kansas112MOUNTAIN WESTCurrentTCU138BYU127Utah126Air Force125San Diego St113Wyoming111UNLV109Colorado St105New Mexico102

unstant constant 1 Postant		LY L	ХV-	IG:	UP	ST	57(ÐM		16	\mathbf{N}						IKINGS
A. A. Marco Birds M Direct Direct <thdirect< th=""> <thdirec< th=""> <thdirec< th=""><th>TEAM</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>into account all the following</th><th>ig: Strength of Opponents,</th><th>Individual Units (Rushing)</th><th>Offense, Rushing Defense,</th><th>Passing Offense, and Passi</th><th>ng Defense) Garbage Yards.</th></thdirec<></thdirec<></thdirect<>	TEAM											into account all the following	ig: Strength of Opponents,	Individual Units (Rushing)	Offense, Rushing Defense,	Passing Offense, and Passi	ng Defense) Garbage Yards.
A. Working Definition Definition <thdefinition< th=""> Definition Definiti</thdefinition<>	A Auburn					60.3	24.4										
Harmari Baterial									-								DEFENSE
11 Martine 10 Amore, 10 10 Amore, 10 <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>61.4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	-										61.4						
a. Concessor b. State abs																	
Alternative Normality Partners												4 Nevada	4 Arkansas	4 Georgia	4 TCU	4 Iowa	4 Texas
Harmannessen Harmannessen<		00.1															
A Suran Disk Biolog Biolog Biolog Disk Disk <thdisk< th=""> Disk Disk</thdisk<>																	
A Due 200 900 2 800 9	0			4.9													9 Clemson
Harman USA Harman Harman<				2.0													
Introduct Trans				4.1								12 Army	12 NC State	12 Wisconsin	12 Mississippi St	12 Alabama	12 Alabama
A. Son-Jose V. E.B. 003 L.B. 2022 L.B. 202 L.B. 202 L.B. 202 L.B. Date V. Da				3.5 4.1					5.1 4.1								
A LISE 20 101 30 75. 6.7 70.00 17 Califormia 18 Coloregan 18 Colore												15 Illinois	15 Duke	15 Oklahoma	15 Boston College	15 Oklahoma	15 Notre Dame
H=resc H=Resc<																	
International Strate State Participation Paritipation Paritipation	H Florida	41.4		6.0		71.0	8.3		3.1						18 S Carolina		
A. L.D. Image: All i		31.4 26.6		4.9 4.0													
under Ling Ling Ling Ling DFFENSE DEFENSE DEFE	A LSU	22.7	85.2	2.7		55.6	21.7					RUSH	PASS	SCORING	RUSH	PASS	SCORING
Howa Total Total S2 Total S2 Total S2 Total S2 Total S2 Total S2			176.5									OFFENSE			DEFENSE		DEFENSE
a Construct 101 102 102 103 Anderson 201 100 No																	
A Flortsking At 144 44 2010 144 2100 145 1100 1400 1100 1400 1100 1400																	
In Container 100 All and an and an and and and and and and a																	
Three transme 202 112 Advance 110 Mercing 111 Mercing												106 Purdue	106 Tulane	106 Mid Tenn	106 UNLV	106 Temple	106 Indiana
A Finisher Michigane 1/7 1980 84 181 181 181 181 180	-																
A. Keeting 11/2 94.0 31 24.46 137 137 141 111 New Maccio 111 New Maccio 111 New Maccio 111 Stanting Green 111 UTCP 1111 Utcp 111		-										109 E Carolina	109 Mid Tenn	109 Louisiana	109 Wyoming	109 Louisville	109 Fla Atlantic
Boston College 341 180 / 42 0224 062 141 Boston 28 253 622 112 Baston Ligan Diego SI 112 Ball SI San Diego SI 112 Band Sen SI San Diego SI 112 Band Sen SI San Diego SI 112 Band Sen SI San Diego SI 113 Fill San Diego SI 113	,																
I Chick I Chick <t< td=""><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>112 San Diego St</td><td>112 Ball St</td><td>112 Buffalo</td><td>112 San Jose St</td><td>112 Idaho</td><td>112 UTEP</td></t<>		-										112 San Diego St	112 Ball St	112 Buffalo	112 San Jose St	112 Idaho	112 UTEP
A PV u 4.2 T 194.1 9.3 e49 7.7 14.9 7.6 2.7 21.0 6.27 21.0 6.27 21.0 6.27 21.0 6.27 21.0 6.27 21.0 6.27 21.0 6.27 21.0 11.5 LAB 11.5 LAB 11.5 LAB 11.5 LAB 11.5 LAB 11.5 LAB 11.6 Low Low 11.6 Low Low Low <	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																
Lunt 212 <td>A BYU</td> <td>40.7</td> <td>154.1</td> <td>3.9</td> <td>304.9</td> <td>71.7</td> <td>14.9</td> <td>72.6</td> <td>2.7</td> <td>212.6</td> <td>59.7</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	A BYU	40.7	154.1	3.9	304.9	71.7	14.9	72.6	2.7	212.6	59.7						
H Ues Ves																	
A Dragen 4.0 10.3 10.4 10.3 3.4 20.8 10.4 10.0 12.0 Number <	-																
A. Central Metrigand 27 1352 41 293 162 72 162 72 162 72 163 17 185 72 163 17 185 72 163 17 163 17 163 17 163 17 163 17 163 17 163 17 163 17 17 163 17 18 17 18 17 18 17 18 17 18 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>																	
A Bowing Green. 20:1 Box 3 S2:0 S2:0 Exponential S2:0 S2:0 <ths2:0< th=""> <ths2:0< th=""></ths2:0<></ths2:0<>												00110	~~~		ONEN		A O F B
H Tuka 30.8 130.8 32 226.5 65.2 29.7 120.0 4.3 28.57 172.9 63.3 A Wooming A												2010	IUUGH	esi urr	UNENI	OHII 2 1	AGED
Insura 40.8 147.8 40.8 147.8 40.8 147.8 40.8 147.8 40.8 147.8 40.8 147.8 40.8 147.8 447.8 147.8 447.8 147.8 447.8 147.8 447.8 177.8 468.8 177.8 468.8 177.8 468.8 177.8 468.8 177.8 468.8 177.8 468.8 177.8 468.8 177.8 468.8 177.8 468.8 177.8 468.8 177.8 468.8 178.8	0											These realizes as					
L number Description Standbase Texas Adv Standbase Standbase <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>169.9</td><td>59.0</td><td>22.1</td><td>172.0</td><td>4.1</td><td>171.0</td><td></td><td>These rankings go</td><td>iar beyond the NGAA's s</td><td>straight statistical rankin</td><td>g of each team. For exam</td><td></td><td>mentional (100 to the NICAA</td></th<>					169.9	59.0	22.1	172.0	4.1	171.0		These rankings go	iar beyond the NGAA's s	straight statistical rankin	g of each team. For exam		mentional (100 to the NICAA
A. Syncure 14.3 12.5 3.4 18.0.8 9.7 10 6.21 MUSH OFFENSES PASS OFFENSES SUCHING HUSH DEFENSES PASS DEFENSES SUCHING H Washington 287 14.9 1.4 24.27 56.4 14.0 1.4 24.07 56.4 14.0 1.4 24.07 56.4 1.4				4.0	220.2	76.0	117						g 170 ypg, but ranked #		rankings because they fa	iced the toughest schedu	le of rush offenses in the
H Washington 297 14.5 4.2 24.7 59.5 OFFENSES OFFENSES DEFENSES DEFENSES <td></td> <td>39.7</td> <td></td> <td>3.8</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>44.7</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>179.7</td> <td>56.8</td> <td>NCAA LY. As you can se</td> <td>g 170 ypg, but ranked # ee, the NCAA's statistica</td> <td>I rankings can be mislea</td> <td>rankings because they fa ading. In the upcoming we</td> <td>ced the toughest schedu eeks we'll provide exampl</td> <td>le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistical</td>		39.7		3.8				44.7	1.5	179.7	56.8	NCAA LY. As you can se	g 170 ypg, but ranked # ee, the NCAA's statistica	I rankings can be mislea	rankings because they fa ading. In the upcoming we	ced the toughest schedu eeks we'll provide exampl	le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistical
A. Togede Det 14.1 4.4 308.7 66.5 34.7 76.6 94.7 28.8 64.1 1 NUU 1 Louisiana 1 Marshall 1 Rice 1 Marshall 1 Ma				5.2	180.6 284.7	57.5 69.1	31.1 21.9	44.7 220.6 164.3	1.5 5.0 4.6	179.7 189.4 224.4	56.8 51.8 58.4	NCAA LY. As you can se disparities. These are t	g 170 ypg, but ranked # ee, the NCAA's statistica ne current rankings for t	I rankings can be mislea his year. Here are the te	rankings because they fa ading. In the upcoming we eams that have took on th	aced the toughest schedu eeks we'll provide exampl ne toughest & easiest scl	le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistical nedules last year.
A Burlion 225. 1152 39 220.3 61.7 26.5 142.2 100.10 5 30.1026 30.1026 30.1026 30.1026 30.1026 40.100 40.100 40.100 40.100 5 5.000		14.3	123.5	5.2 3.4	180.6 284.7 186.5	57.5 69.1 66.1	31.1 21.9 32.8	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8	1.5 5.0 4.6 3.7	179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0	56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1	NCAA LY. As you can se disparities. These are t RUSH	g 170 ypg, but ranked # ee, the NCAA's statistica ne current rankings for t PASS	I rankings can be mislea his year. Here are the te SCORING	rankings because they fa ading. In the upcoming we eams that have took on the RUSH	aced the toughest schedu eeks we'll provide exampl ne toughest & easiest scl PASS	le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistical
H Baylor 25.3 115.2 3.9 20.3 61.7 26.5 65.7 4 Miami, Oh 5 Mississipi A Rene St 33.0 195.8 63.7 14.2 96.8 3.0 219.2 65.7 60 11.19 49.0 5 Mississipi 5 Mississipi 5 Mississipi 5 Mississipi 6 Mississipi 6 Mississipi 6 Mississipi 6 Mississipi 7 Hawaii 7 Hawaii 7 Hawaii 7 Hawaii 7 Hawaii 8 North Rexas 8 North Rexas 8 North Rexas 8 Oregon St 7 Hawaii 8 North Rexas 8 Oregon St 9 St 10 New Mexico 10 North Carx 10 North Carx 10 North Carx 11 Mississipi 13 Utah 13 Utah 13 Utah 13 Utah 13 St 13 Utah 13 St	H Washington A Toledo	14.3 29.7 26.4	123.5 145.9 124.1	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1	180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 308.7	57.5 69.1 66.1 59.6 60.5	31.1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9	1.5 5.0 4.6 3.7 4.4 4.7	179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 214.7 253.6	56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 60.1	NCAA LY. As you can se disparities. These are t RUSH OFFENSES 1 New Mexico	g 170 yog, but ranked # ee, the NCAA's statistica he current rankings for t PASS OFFFENSES 1 SMU	I rankings can be mislea his year. Here are the te SCORING OFFENSES 1 Louisiana	rankings because they fa ading. In the upcoming we earns that have took on th RUSH DEFENSES 1 Marshall	ced the toughest schedu exeks we'll provide example toughest & easiest sci PASS DEFENSES 1 Rice	le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistical nedules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St
A Heinika 33.0 32.7 22.8 5.4 1989 6.5 1.4.2 6.80 2.10 1.10 6 8 an Jose St. 7 6 8 an Jose St. 7 6 8 an Jose St. 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 3 an Jose St. 10 North Texas St. 11 North Texas St. 12 Mansissip 12 11 12 14 <th< td=""><td>H Washington A Toledo H Ohio</td><td>14.3 29.7 26.4 26.0</td><td>123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7</td><td>5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8</td><td>180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 308.7 207.2</td><td>57.5 69.1 66.1 59.6 60.5 56.2</td><td>31.1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0</td><td>44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9 167.8</td><td>1.5 5.0 4.6 3.7 4.4 4.7 3.9</td><td>179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 214.7 253.6 168.0</td><td>56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 60.1 50.9</td><td>NCAA LY. As you can se disparities. These are t RUSH OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio</td><td>g 170 ypg, but ranked # ze, the NCAA's statistica he current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St</td><td>I rankings can be mislea his year. Here are the te SCORING OFFENSES 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St</td><td>rankings because they fa ading. In the upcoming was aams that have took on the RUSH DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii</td><td>ced the toughest schedu eeks we'll provide exampl te toughest & easiest sch PASS DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU</td><td>le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistical redules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU</td></th<>	H Washington A Toledo H Ohio	14.3 29.7 26.4 26.0	123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8	180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 308.7 207.2	57.5 69.1 66.1 59.6 60.5 56.2	31.1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9 167.8	1.5 5.0 4.6 3.7 4.4 4.7 3.9	179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 214.7 253.6 168.0	56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 60.1 50.9	NCAA LY. As you can se disparities. These are t RUSH OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio	g 170 ypg, but ranked # ze, the NCAA's statistica he current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St	I rankings can be mislea his year. Here are the te SCORING OFFENSES 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St	rankings because they fa ading. In the upcoming was aams that have took on the RUSH DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii	ced the toughest schedu eeks we'll provide exampl te toughest & easiest sch PASS DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU	le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistical redules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU
A - MC State 24.0 96.5 3.3 296.0 5.1 41.3 200.3 4.8 255.6 61.7 7.7 P Urule 7.7 Markanas 7.7 P Urule 8 Arkanas 8 Purule 8 Arkanas 9 Temple 9 Stal 9 New Mexico 10 New Mexico 10 New Mexico 10 New Mexico 10 New Mexico 11 North Caran A UAB 20.4 212.1 5.4 11.1 57.9 35.6 148.3 4.2 339.4 67.6 11 14 North Caran 12 North Caran 13 Utah 13 North Caran 13 Nutah 13 North Caran 13 Nutah 13 Nutah 13 North Caran 14 Memphis 14 Arkanasa St 15 Arkanasa St 15 North Caran 14 Memphis 14 Arkanasa St 15 Arkanasa St 16 Arkanasa St 17 Meritak 17 Meritaka 19 North Texas 19 No	H Washington A Toledo H Ohio A Buffalo H Baylor	14.3 29.7 26.4 26.0 22.5 25.3	123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 115.2	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.9	180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 308.7 207.2 222.0 220.3	57.5 69.1 59.6 60.5 56.2 57.3 61.7	31.1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.3 26.5	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9 167.8 146.7 182.8	1.5 5.0 4.6 3.7 4.4 4.7 3.9 4.2 4.5	179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 214.7 253.6 168.0 190.8 181.0	56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 60.1 50.9 58.4 61.7	NCAALY. As you can sr disparities. These are t RUSH OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV	g 170 ypg, but ranked # ze, the NCAA's statistica the current rankings for the COMPASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh	I rankings can be mislee his year. Here are the te SCORING OFFENSES 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh	ankings because they fe ding. In the upcoming we wans that have took on the RUSH DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St	ccd the toughest schedu exeks we'll provide example toughest & easiest sci PASS DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh	le of rush offenses in the ess of this year's statistica redules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice
H OC- 28.1 19.4 38.2 21.5 9 50.1 19.3 18.2 28.7 57.7 9 Temple 9 BYU 9 New Mexico 10 9 Akron 9 San Jose St 10 North Texa St A UAB 24.3 18.7 75.7 35.5 75.7 13.7 3.8 23.8 63.8 3.9 16.7 29.5 68.6 17 16 Arkansas St 16 WKU 15 WKU 15 WKU 15 WKU 15 WKU 15 WKU 15 WKU 16 Colorad St 17 Uriginia 17 Virginia 17 Virgini	H Washington A Toledo H Ohio A Buffalo H Baylor A Penn St	14.3 29.7 26.4 26.0 22.5 25.3 33.0	123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 115.2 195.8	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.9 5.3	180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 308.7 207.2 222.0 220.3 243.8	57.5 69.1 59.6 60.5 56.2 57.3 61.7 63.7	31.1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.3 26.5 14.2	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9 167.8 146.7 182.8 98.8	1.5 5.0 4.6 3.7 4.4 4.7 3.9 4.2 4.5 3.0	179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 214.7 253.6 168.0 190.8 181.0 219.2	56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 60.1 50.9 58.4 61.7 55.9	NCAA LY: As you can st disparities. These are t RUSH OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 6 Oregon St	g 170 ypg, but ranked # se, the NCAA's statistica he current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois	I rankings can be mislee his year. Here are the te SCORING OFFENSES 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St	rankings because they fe ding. In the upcoming we arams that have took on the RUSH DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St	ced the toughest schedu seeks we'll provide exampl he toughest & easiest schedu PASS DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall	le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistica redules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi
H New Mexico St 13.7 179.0 4.5 99.7 46.3 31.8 24.9.0 6.1 164.0 53.6 11 Mixed Mexico St 11 BWU 11 BWI 11 BWU 11 BWI 11 BWU 11	H Washington A Toledo H Ohio A Buffalo H Baylor A Penn St H Alabama A NC State	14.3 29.7 26.4 26.0 22.5 25.3 33.0 32.7 24.0	123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 115.2 195.8 222.6 96.5	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.9 5.3 5.4 3.3	180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 207.2 222.0 220.3 243.8 198.9 296.0	57.5 69.1 59.6 60.5 56.2 57.3 61.7 63.7 65.1 55.1	31.1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.3 26.5 14.2 8.4 41.3	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9 167.8 146.7 182.8 98.8 58.0 200.3	1.5 5.0 4.6 3.7 4.4 4.7 3.9 4.2 4.5 3.0 2.1 4.8	179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 214.7 253.6 168.0 190.8 181.0 219.2 171.9 255.5	56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 60.1 50.9 58.4 61.7 55.9 46.9 61.7	NCAALY As you can st disparities. These are t RUSH OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 6 Oregon St 7 E Michigan	g 170 ypg, but ranked # ze, the NCAA's statistica the current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois 7 Purdue	I rankings can be mislee his year. Here are the te SCORING OFFENSES 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St 7 Marshall	rankings because they fe dding. In the upcoming we arms that have took on the BUSH DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St 7 Louisiana-Lft	ced the toughest schedu seks we'll provide example te toughest & easiest schedu PASS DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall 7 Oregon St	le of rush offenses in the ess of this year's statistica hedules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi 7 Hawaii
A UAB 204 212: 5.4 181: 5.7 3.5 143: 4.2 339: 4.7 12 Connecticut 13 North Carolina 14 Memphis 15 Ansass St 16 Arkansas St 16 Colorado St 17 Vienphis 18 North Texas 19	H Washington A Toledo H Ohio A Buffalo H Baylor A Penn St H Alabama A NC State H UCF	14.3 29.7 26.4 26.0 22.5 25.3 33.0 32.7 24.0 28.1	123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 115.2 195.8 222.6 96.5 154.9	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.9 5.3 5.4 3.3 3.8	180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 308.7 207.2 220.3 243.8 198.9 296.0 215.9	57.5 69.1 59.6 60.5 56.2 57.3 61.7 63.7 65.1 55.1 59.0	31.1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.3 26.5 14.2 8.4 41.3 19.1	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9 167.8 146.7 182.8 98.8 58.0 200.3 53.3	1.5 5.0 4.6 3.7 4.4 4.7 3.9 4.2 4.5 3.0 2.1 4.8 1.8	179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 214.7 253.6 168.0 190.8 181.0 219.2 171.9 255.5 238.7	56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 60.1 50.9 58.4 61.7 55.9 46.9 61.7 57.7	NCAALY. As you can sr disparities. These are t RUSH OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 6 Oregon St 7 E Michigan 8 Louisiana 9 Temple	g 170 ypg, but ranked # se, the NCAA's statistica ne current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois 7 Purdue 8 S Mississippi 9 BYU	I rankings can be mislee his year. Here are the te SCORING OFFENSES 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St 7 Marshall 8 Arkansas St 9 New Mexico	ankings because they fe ding. In the upcoming we arams that have took on the RUSH DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St 7 Louisiana-Lft 8 Purdue 9 Akron	ced the toughest schedu seks we'll provide exampl he toughest & easiest schedu PASS DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall 7 Oregon St 8 North Texas 9 San Jose St	le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistica redules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi 7 Hawaii 8 Oregon St 9 San Jose St
A Texas Tech 26.8 75.2 3.0 335.2 67.2 23.5 140.7 3.5 238.5 61.1 14 Florida Atlantic 14 East Carolina 15 With 14 Memphis 15 Kussassi 14 Memphis 15 Lowing 14 Memphis 15 Lowing 14 Memphis 15 Lowing 15 With 15 With 15 With 15 With 15 With 15 Lowing 16 Arkansas 16 Arkansas 17 North Total 16 Arkansas 17 Using 16 Arkansas 17 Using 18 Kussissippi 17 Using 18 Kussissippi 17 Using 18 Kussissippi 19 Using 18 Kussississippi 19 Using 18 Kussissippi 19 Using 18 Kussississippi 19 Using 18 Kussissippi 19 Using 18 Kussissippi 19 Using 18 Kussissippi 19 Using 18 Kussissis	H Washington A Toledo H Ohio A Buffalo H Baylor A Penn St H Alabama A NC State H UCF A San Diego St	14.3 29.7 26.4 26.0 22.5 25.3 33.0 32.7 24.0 28.1 t 20.2	123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 115.2 195.8 222.6 96.5 154.9 60.7	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.9 5.3 5.4 3.8 2.3	180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 308.7 207.2 222.0 220.3 243.8 198.9 296.0 215.9 295.0	57.5 69.1 66.1 59.6 60.5 56.2 57.3 61.7 63.7 65.1 55.1 59.0 55.2	31.1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.3 26.5 14.2 8.4 41.3 19.1 31.2	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9 167.8 146.7 182.8 98.8 58.0 200.3 53.3 166.2	1.5 5.0 4.6 3.7 4.4 4.7 3.9 4.2 4.5 3.0 2.1 4.8 1.8 4.2	179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 214.7 253.6 168.0 190.8 181.0 219.2 171.9 255.5 238.7 196.5	56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 60.1 50.9 58.4 61.7 55.9 46.9 61.7 57.7 56.3	NCAA LY: As you can st disparities. These are t RUSH OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 6 Oregon St 7 E Michigan 8 Louisiana 9 Temple 10 UCLA	g 170 ypg, but ranked # se, the NCAA's statistica he current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois 7 Purdue 8 S Mississispipi 9 BYU 10 Washington	Irankings can be mislee his year. Here are the te SCORING OFFENSES 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St 7 Marshall 8 Arkansas St 9 New Mexico 10 SMU	rankings because they fe ding. In the upcoming we arams that have took on the RUSH DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St 7 Louisiana-Lft 8 Purdue 9 Akron 10 New Mexico	ced the toughest schedu seeks we'll provide exampl he toughest & easiest schedu PASS DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall 7 Oregon St 8 North Texas 9 San Jose St 10 New Mexico	le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistica redules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi 7 Hawaii 8 Oregon St 9 San Jose St 10 North Carolina
A Mississippi 24.3 162.5 4.2 193.7 50.9 19.7 167.2 4.1 143.8 47.0 16 Arkansas St 16 Bowling Green 17 If ulinois 17 Virginia 16 W Michigan 16 W Michigan 16 Colorado St 17 Virginia 17 Virginia 18 North Texas 19 North Texas	H Washington A Teledo H Ohio A Bulfalo H Baylor A Penn St H Alabama A NC State H UCF A San Diego St H New Mexico A UAB	14.3 29.7 26.4 26.0 22.5 25.3 33.0 32.7 24.0 28.1 t 20.2 St 13.7 20.4	123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 115.2 195.8 222.6 98.5 154.9 60.7 179.0 212.1	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.9 5.3 5.4 3.8 2.3 4.5 5.4	180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 308.7 207.2 222.0 220.3 243.8 198.9 296.0 215.9 99.7 181.1	57.5 69.1 66.1 59.6 60.5 56.2 57.3 61.7 63.7 65.1 55.1 59.0 55.2 46.3 57.9	31.1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.3 26.5 14.2 8.4 41.3 19.1 31.8 35.6	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9 167.8 146.7 182.8 98.8 58.0 200.3 58.0 200.3 166.2 249.0 148.3	1.5 5.0 4.6 3.7 4.4 4.7 3.9 4.2 4.5 3.0 2.1 4.8 1.8 4.2 6.1 4.2	179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 214.7 253.6 168.0 190.8 181.0 219.2 171.9 255.5 238.7 196.5 164.0 339.4	56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 60.1 50.9 58.4 61.7 55.9 46.9 61.7 57.7 56.3 53.6 67.6	NCAALY As you can si disparities. These are t RUSH OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 6 Oregon St 7 E Michigan 8 Louisiana 9 Temple 10 UCLA 11 Missouri 12 Connecticut	g 170 ypg, but ranked # ec, the NCAA's statistica- re current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois 7 Purdue 8 S Mississippi 9 BYU 10 Washington 11 Texas Tech 12 Notre Dame	Irankings can be mislee his year. Here are the te SCORING 0FFENSES 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miarni, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St 7 Marshall 8 Arkansas St 9 New Mexico 10 SMU 11 BYU 12 Toledo	ankings because they fe diding. In the upcoming we same that have took on the RUSH DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St 7 Louisiana-Lft 8 Purdue 9 Akron 10 New Mexico 11 Miami, Oh 12 Memphis	ced the toughest sched, seeks we'll provide example te toughest & easiest sci DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall 7 Oregon St 8 North Texas 9 San Jose St 10 New Mexico 11 Arkansas St 12 Kansas St	le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistical redules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi 7 Hawaii 8 Oregon St 9 San Jose St 10 North Carolina 11 North Texas 12 New Mexico
H Tulane 21.5 137.3 3.8 235.8 63.8 39.3 196.7 4.9 259.5 68.6 17 Memphis 17 Rice 18 North Texas 19 North Texas 10 North Texas 19 North Texas 19 North Texas 19 North Texas 19 North Texas North	H Washington A Teledo H Ohio A Buffalo H Baylor A Penn St H Alabama A NC State H UCF A San Diego St H New Mexico A UAB H SMU	14.3 29.7 26.4 26.0 22.5 25.3 33.0 32.7 24.0 28.1 t 20.2 St 13.7 20.4 31.0	123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 115.2 195.8 222.6 90.5 154.9 60.7 179.0 212.1 137.8	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.9 5.3 5.4 3.8 2.3 4.5 5.4 4.5 5.4 4.7	180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 207.2 220.3 243.8 198.9 296.0 215.9 295.0 99.7 181.1 235.0	57.5 69.1 66.1 59.6 60.5 56.2 57.3 61.7 65.1 55.1 59.0 55.2 46.3 57.9 57.4	31.1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.3 26.5 14.2 8.4 41.3 19.1 31.8 35.6 27.0	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9 167.8 146.7 182.8 98.8 58.0 200.3 53.3 166.2 249.0 148.3 168.8	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 4.6 \\ 3.7 \\ 4.4 \\ 4.7 \\ 3.9 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 2.1 \\ 4.8 \\ 1.8 \\ 4.2 \\ 6.1 \\ 4.2 \\ 6.1 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.3 \end{array}$	179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 214.7 253.6 168.0 190.8 181.0 219.2 171.9 255.5 238.7 196.5 164.0 339.4 250.3	56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 60.1 50.9 58.4 61.7 55.9 46.9 61.7 57.7 56.3 53.6 67.6 60.7	NCAA LY. As you can st disparities. These are t RUSH OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 6 Oregon St 7 E Michigan 8 Louisiana 9 Temple 10 UCLA 11 Missouri 12 Connecticut 13 Hawaii	g 170 ypg, but ranked # se, the NCAA's statistica he current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois 7 Purdue 8 S Mississippi 9 BYU 10 Washington 11 Texas Tech 12 Notre Dame 13 Toledo	Irankings can be mislee his year. Here are the te SCORING OFFENSES 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St 7 Marshall 8 Arkansas St 9 New Mexico 10 SMU 11 BYU 12 Toledo 13 Fresno St	ankings because they fe diding. In the upcoming we arams that have took on the RUSH DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St 7 Louisiana-Lft 8 Purdue 9 Akron 10 New Mexico 11 Miami, Oh 12 Memphis 13 Utah	ced the toughest schedu seeks we'll provide example te toughest & easiest schedu PASS DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall 7 Oregon St 8 North Texas 9 San Jose St 10 New Mexico 11 Arkansas St 12 Kansas St 13 North Carolina	le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistica redules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi 7 Hawaii 8 Oregon St 9 San Jose St 10 North Carolina 11 North Texas 12 New Mexico 13 Purdue
H Bowling Green 27.7 89.2 3.1 289.3 61.4 29.2 185.5 5.4 243.3 65.7 19 Utah St 19 Louisiana 19 North Texas 20 East Carolina 19 S Mississippi 19 Duke 20 Purdue 20 ULV 20 Purdue 20 TU H Nevada 45.7 396.5 7.9 155.5 63.7 25.5 99.2 3.4 326.5 59.8 RUSH PASS SCORING RUSH DEFENSES DEFENSES </td <td>H Washington A Toledo H Ohio A Buffalo H Baylor A Penn St H Abama A C State H UCF A San Diego Si H New Mexico A UAB H SMU A Texas Tech H New Mexico</td> <td>14.3 29.7 26.4 26.0 22.5 25.3 33.0 32.7 24.0 28.1 t 20.2 St 13.7 20.4 31.0 26.8 17.5</td> <td>123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 115.2 195.8 222.6 96.5 154.9 60.7 179.0 212.1 137.8 75.2 136.5</td> <td>5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.9 5.3 5.4 3.8 2.3 4.5 5.4 4.7 3.0 4.7</td> <td>180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 207.2 220.3 243.8 198.9 296.0 295.0 99.7 181.1 235.0 335.2 185.3</td> <td>57.5 69.1 59.6 60.5 56.2 57.3 61.7 63.7 65.1 55.1 55.1 55.1 55.2 46.3 57.9 57.4 67.2 59.0</td> <td>31 1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.3 26.5 14.2 8.4 41.3 19.1 31.8 35.6 27.0 23.5 31.0</td> <td>44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9 167.8 146.7 182.8 98.8 98.8 58.0 200.3 53.3 166.2 249.0 148.3 168.8 140.7 169.8</td> <td>1.5 5.0 4.6 3.7 4.4 4.7 3.9 4.2 4.5 3.0 2.1 4.8 1.8 4.2 4.3 3.5 3.7</td> <td>179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 214.7 253.6 168.0 190.8 181.0 219.2 171.9 255.5 238.7 196.5 164.0 339.4 250.3 258.5 201.8</td> <td>56.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 60.1 50.9 58.4 61.7 55.9 46.9 61.7 57.7 53.6 67.6 60.7 61.1 64.2</td> <td>NCAA LY: As you can si disparities. These are t RUSH OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 6 Oregon St 7 E Michigan 8 Louisiana 9 Temple 10 UCLA 11 Missouri 12 Connecticut 13 Hawaii 14 Florida Atlantic 15 Utah</td> <td>g 170 ypg, but ranked # ec, the NCA's statistica- e current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois 7 Purdue 8 S Mississippi 9 BYU 10 Washington 11 Texas Tech 12 Notre Dame 13 Toledo 14 East Carolina 15 Arkansas St</td> <td>Irankings can be mislee his year. Here are the te SCORING OFFENSES 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St 7 Marshall 8 Arkansas St 9 New Mexico 10 SMU 11 BYU 12 Toledo 13 Fresno St 14 Connecticut 15 Rice</td> <td>ankings because they fe ding. In the upcoming we warms that have took on the RUSH DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St 7 Louisiana-Lft 8 Purdue 9 Akron 10 New Mexico 11 Miami, Oh 12 Memphis 13 Utah 14 Illinois</td> <td>ced the toughest sched, seeks we'll provide example te toughest & easiest sci DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall 7 Oregon St 8 North Texas 9 San Jose St 10 New Mexico 11 Arkansas St 12 Kansas St 13 North Carolina 14 Memphis 15 SMU</td> <td>le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistical hedules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi 7 Hawaii 8 Oregon St 9 San Jose St 10 North Texas 11 North Texas 12 New Mexico 13 Purdue 14 Memphis 15 Louisiana</td>	H Washington A Toledo H Ohio A Buffalo H Baylor A Penn St H Abama A C State H UCF A San Diego Si H New Mexico A UAB H SMU A Texas Tech H New Mexico	14.3 29.7 26.4 26.0 22.5 25.3 33.0 32.7 24.0 28.1 t 20.2 St 13.7 20.4 31.0 26.8 17.5	123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 115.2 195.8 222.6 96.5 154.9 60.7 179.0 212.1 137.8 75.2 136.5	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.9 5.3 5.4 3.8 2.3 4.5 5.4 4.7 3.0 4.7	180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 207.2 220.3 243.8 198.9 296.0 295.0 99.7 181.1 235.0 335.2 185.3	57.5 69.1 59.6 60.5 56.2 57.3 61.7 63.7 65.1 55.1 55.1 55.1 55.2 46.3 57.9 57.4 67.2 59.0	31 1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.3 26.5 14.2 8.4 41.3 19.1 31.8 35.6 27.0 23.5 31.0	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9 167.8 146.7 182.8 98.8 98.8 58.0 200.3 53.3 166.2 249.0 148.3 168.8 140.7 169.8	1.5 5.0 4.6 3.7 4.4 4.7 3.9 4.2 4.5 3.0 2.1 4.8 1.8 4.2 4.3 3.5 3.7	179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 214.7 253.6 168.0 190.8 181.0 219.2 171.9 255.5 238.7 196.5 164.0 339.4 250.3 258.5 201.8	56.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 60.1 50.9 58.4 61.7 55.9 46.9 61.7 57.7 53.6 67.6 60.7 61.1 64.2	NCAA LY: As you can si disparities. These are t RUSH OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 6 Oregon St 7 E Michigan 8 Louisiana 9 Temple 10 UCLA 11 Missouri 12 Connecticut 13 Hawaii 14 Florida Atlantic 15 Utah	g 170 ypg, but ranked # ec, the NCA's statistica- e current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois 7 Purdue 8 S Mississippi 9 BYU 10 Washington 11 Texas Tech 12 Notre Dame 13 Toledo 14 East Carolina 15 Arkansas St	Irankings can be mislee his year. Here are the te SCORING OFFENSES 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St 7 Marshall 8 Arkansas St 9 New Mexico 10 SMU 11 BYU 12 Toledo 13 Fresno St 14 Connecticut 15 Rice	ankings because they fe ding. In the upcoming we warms that have took on the RUSH DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St 7 Louisiana-Lft 8 Purdue 9 Akron 10 New Mexico 11 Miami, Oh 12 Memphis 13 Utah 14 Illinois	ced the toughest sched, seeks we'll provide example te toughest & easiest sci DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall 7 Oregon St 8 North Texas 9 San Jose St 10 New Mexico 11 Arkansas St 12 Kansas St 13 North Carolina 14 Memphis 15 SMU	le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistical hedules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi 7 Hawaii 8 Oregon St 9 San Jose St 10 North Texas 11 North Texas 12 New Mexico 13 Purdue 14 Memphis 15 Louisiana
A Colorado St 207 140.2 3.8 231.5 58.1 330. 158.3 4.7 227.3 61.4 20 WKU 20 W lichigan 20 East Carolina 20 ULV 20 Purdue 20 TCU H Nevada 45.7 396.5 7.9 155.5 63.7 25.5 99.2 3.4 326.5 59.8 RUSH OFFENSES SCORING PLUSH PLUSH PASS DEFENSES DEF	H Washington A Telede H Ohio A Buffalo H Baylor A Penn St H Alabama A NC State H UCF A San Diego St H New Mexico A UAB H SMU A Texas Tech H New Mexico A Mississippi	14.3 29.7 26.4 26.0 22.5 25.3 33.0 32.7 24.0 24.0 24.0 25.1 31.0 20.4 31.0 26.8 17.5 24.3	123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 115.2 195.8 222.6 96.5 154.9 60.7 179.0 212.1 137.8 75.2 136.5 162.5	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.9 5.3 5.4 3.3 3.8 2.3 4.5 5.4 4.7 3.0 4.7 4.2	180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 207.2 220.3 243.8 198.9 295.0 295.0 99.7 181.1 235.0 335.2 185.3 193.7	57.5 69.1 66.1 59.6 60.5 56.2 57.3 61.7 65.1 55.1 55.1 55.2 46.3 57.9 57.4 67.2 59.0 50.9	31 1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.3 26.5 14.2 8.4 41.3 19.1 31.8 35.6 27.0 23.5 31.0 19.7	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9 167.8 146.7 182.8 98.8 58.0 200.3 53.3 166.2 249.0 148.3 168.8 140.7 169.8 167.2	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 4.6 \\ 3.7 \\ 4.4 \\ 4.7 \\ 3.9 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 2.1 \\ 4.8 \\ 1.8 \\ 4.2 \\ 6.1 \\ 4.2 \\ 6.1 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.3 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.7 \\ 4.1 \end{array}$	179.7 1894 224.4 191.0 214.7 253.6 168.0 190.8 181.0 219.2 171.9 255.5 238.7 196.5 164.0 339.4 250.3 250.3 253.5 201.8 143.8	56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 60.1 50.9 58.4 61.7 55.9 61.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 56.3 53.6 67.6 60.7 61.1 64.2 47.0	NCAALY As you can si disparities. These are t RUSH OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 6 Oregon St 7 E Michigan 8 Louisiana 9 Temple 10 UCLA 11 Missouri 12 Connecticut 13 Hawaii 14 Florida Atlantic 15 Utah 16 Arkansas St	g 170 ypg, but ranked # ee, the NCAA's statistica ee current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois 7 Purdue 8 S Mississippi 9 BYU 10 Washington 11 Texas Tech 12 Notre Dame 13 Toledo 14 East Carolina 15 Arkansas St 6 Bowling Green	Irankings can be mislee his year. Here are the te SCORING OFFENSES 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St 7 Marshall 8 Arkansas St 9 New Mexico 10 SMU 11 BYU 12 Toledo 13 Fresno St 14 Connecticut 15 Rice 16 WKU 17 Illinois	ankings because they fe diding. In the upcoming we arms that have took on the RUSH DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St 7 Louisiana-Lft 8 Purdue 9 Akron 10 New Mexico 11 Miami, Oh 12 Memphis 13 Utah 14 Illinois 15 WKU 16 W Michigan	ced the toughest sched, seeks we'll provide example te toughest & easiest sci DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall 7 Oregon St 8 North Texas 9 San Jose St 10 New Mexico 11 Arkansas St 12 Kansas St 13 North Carolina 14 Memphis 15 SMU 16 Colorado St	le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistical redules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi 7 Hawaii 8 Oregon St 9 San Jose St 10 North Carolina 11 North Texas 12 New Mexico 13 Purdue 14 Memphis 15 Louisiana 16 Arkansas St
H Nevada 45.7 396.5 7.9 155.5 63.7 25.5 99.2 3.4 326.5 59.8 RUSH PASS SCORING RUSH PASS DEFENSES	H Washington A Telede H Ohio A Buffalo H Baylor A Penn St H Alabama A NC State H UCF A San Diego St H New Mexico A UAB H SMU A Texas Tech H New Mexico A Mississippi H Tulane A Stanford	14.3 29.7 26.4 26.0 22.5 25.3 32.7 24.0 28.1 t 20.2 St 13.7 20.4 31.0 26.4 31.0 26.4 31.0 26.4 31.0 26.4 31.0 26.4 31.0 26.4 31.0 26.4 31.0 26.4 31.0 26.4 31.0 26.4 31.0 26.5 34.0 20.5 34.0 20.5 34.0 20.5 34.0 20.5 34.0 20.5 34.0 20.5 34.0 20.5 34.0 20.5 34.0 20.5 34.0 20.5 34.0 20.5 20.5 34.0 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20	123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 115.2 105.8 222.6 96.5 154.9 0.7.0 212.1 137.8 75.2 136.5 162.5 137.3 195.3	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.9 5.3 5.4 3.3 3.8 2.3 4.5 5.4 4.5 5.4 4.7 3.0 4.7 3.0 4.7 3.0 4.7 3.8 5.1	180 f. 284.7 186.5 242.7 308.7 207.2 222.0 243.8 198.9 296.0 215.9 295.0 99.7 181.1 235.0 193.7 235.8 231.7	57.5 69.1 66.1 59.6 60.5 56.2 61.7 63.7 65.1 55.1 55.1 55.2 46.3 57.9 57.4 67.2 59.0 50.9 63.8 53.3	311 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.3 26.5 14.2 8.4 41.3 19.1 31.8 35.6 27.0 23.5 31.0 19.7 39.3 28.3	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9 167.8 146.7 182.8 98.8 98.8 58.0 200.3 53.3 166.2 249.0 148.3 168.8 140.7 169.8 167.2 196.7 143.5	1.5 5.0 4.6 3.7 4.4 4.7 3.9 4.2 4.5 3.0 2.1 4.8 4.2 6.1 4.2 4.3 3.5 3.7 4.1 4.9 4.3	179.7 189.4 129.4 191.0 214.7 253.6 168.0 190.8 181.0 219.2 238.7 164.0 339.4 250.5 201.8 238.5 295.5	56.8 51.8 58.4 59.5 60.1 50.9 58.4 61.7 55.9 61.7 55.9 61.7 55.3 53.6 67.6 61.1 61.1 64.2 47.0 68.6 67.7	NCAALY: As you can si disparities. These are t RUSH OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 6 Oregon St 7 E Michigan 8 Louisiana 9 Temple 10 UCLA 11 Missouri 12 Connecticut 13 Hawaii 14 Florida Atlantic 15 Utah 16 Arkansas St 17 Memphis 18 North Texas	g 170 ypg, but ranked # es, the NCAA's statistica re current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois 9 BYU 10 Washington 11 Texas Tech 12 Notre Dame 13 Toledo 14 East Carolina 15 Arkansas St 16 Bowling Green 17 Rice 18 Florida	Irankings can be mislee his year. Here are the te SCORING OFFENSES 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St 7 Marshall 8 Arkansas St 9 New Mexico 10 SMU 11 BYU 12 Toledo 13 Fresno St 14 Connecticut 15 Rice 16 WKU 17 Illinois 18 S Mississippi	ankings because they fe ding. In the upcoming we warms that have took on the RUSH DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St 7 Louisiana-Lft 8 Purdue 9 Akron 10 New Mexico 11 Miami, Oh 12 Memphis 13 Utah 14 Illinois 15 WKU 16 W Michigan 17 Virginia 18 North Texas	ced the toughest sched, eks we'll provide example toughest & easiest sci DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall 7 Oregon St 8 North Texas 9 San Jose St 10 New Mexico 11 Arkansas St 13 North Carolina 14 Memphis 15 SMU 16 Colorado St 17 Toledo 18 Hawaii	le of rush offenses in the ess of this year's statistica redules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi 7 Hawaii 8 Oregon St 9 San Jose St 10 North Carolina 11 North Texas 12 New Mexico 13 Purdue 14 Memphis 15 Louisiana 16 Arkansas St 17 Utah 18 Kansas St
A Virginia 21.6 79.8 2.1 173.6 52.7 27.0 155.8 4.2 180.5 54.7 OFFENSES OFFENSES DEFENSES DEFENSES <td>H Washington A Toledo H Ohio A Buffalo H Baylor A Penn St H Alabama A NC State H UCF A San Diego St H New Mexico A UAB H SMU H SMU A Texas Tech H New Mexico A Mississippi H Tulane A Stanford H Bowling Greet</td> <td>14.3 29.7 26.4 26.0 22.5 25.3 33.0 32.7 24.0 28.1 t 20.2 St 13.7 20.4 31.0 26.8 17.5 24.3 21.5 34.0 an 27.7</td> <td>123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 115.2 98.5 222.6 98.5 154.9 60.7 179.0 212.1 137.8 75.2 136.5 162.5 137.3 195.3 89.2</td> <td>5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.9 5.3 5.4 4.5 5.4 4.5 5.4 4.7 3.0 4.7 3.0 4.7 4.7 3.8 5.1 3.1</td> <td>180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 308.7 207.2 222.0 243.8 198.9 296.0 215.9 99.7 185.3 193.5 235.8 235.8 231.7 285.3</td> <td>575 69.1 66.1 59.6 60.5 56.2 57.3 61.7 63.7 65.1 59.0 55.2 46.3 57.9 57.4 67.2 59.0 57.4 63.7 63.7 57.4 63.1 57.9 57.4 63.5 57.4 63.5 57.4 63.5 57.4 59.0 55.2 46.3 57.4 57.5 57.4 57.5 57.4 57.5 57.5 57.5</td> <td>31.1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.3 26.5 14.2 8.4 41.3 19.1 31.8 35.6 27.0 23.5 31.0 19.7 39.3 28.3 29.2</td> <td>44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9 167.8 146.7 182.8 98.8 58.0 200.3 106.2 249.0 148.3 168.8 140.7 169.8 167.2 196.7 143.5 185.5</td> <td>$\begin{array}{c} 1.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 4.6 \\ 3.7 \\ 4.4 \\ 4.7 \\ 3.9 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 4.2 \\ 6.1 \\ 4.8 \\ 4.2 \\ 6.1 \\ 4.3 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.7 \\ 4.1 \\ 4.9 \\ 4.3 \\ 5.4 \end{array}$</td> <td>179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 214.7 253.6 168.0 190.8 181.0 219.2 238.7 171.9 255.5 238.7 164.0 339.4 238.5 201.8 143.8 259.5 243.8</td> <td>56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 60.1 50.9 58.4 61.7 55.9 46.9 61.7 57.7 57.7 53.6 67.6 60.7 61.1 64.2 47.0 68.6 68.6 67.7 65.7</td> <td>NCAALY As you can si disparities. These are t RUSH 0FFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 6 Oregon St 7 E Michigan 8 Louisiana 9 Temple 10 UCLA 11 Missouri 12 Connecticut 13 Hawaii 14 Florida Atlantic 15 Utah 16 Arkansas St 17 Memphis 18 North Texas 19 Utah St</td> <td>g 170 ypg, but ranked # ec, the NCAA's statistica- e current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois 7 Purdue 8 S Mississippi 9 BYU 10 Washington 11 Texas Tech 12 Notre Dame 13 Toledo 14 East Carolina 15 Arkansas St 16 Bowling Green 17 Rice 18 Florida 19 Louisiana</td> <td>Irankings can be mislee his year. Here are the te SCORING OFFENSES 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St 7 Marshall 8 Arkansas St 9 New Mexico 10 SMU 11 BYU 12 Toledo 13 Fresno St 14 Connecticut 15 Rice 16 WKU 17 Illinois 18 S Mississippi 19 North Texas</td> <td>ankings because they fe ding. In the upcoming we same that have took on the RUSH DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St 7 Louisiana-Lft 8 Purdue 9 Akron 10 New Mexico 11 Miami, Oh 12 Memphis 13 Utah 14 Illinois 15 WKU 16 W Michigan 17 Virginia 18 North Texas 19 S Mississippi</td> <td>ced the toughest sched, seeks we'll provide example te toughest & easiest sci DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall 7 Oregon St 8 North Texas 9 San Jose St 10 New Mexico 11 Arkansas St 13 North Carolina 14 Memphis 15 SMU 16 Colorado St 17 Toledo 18 Hawaii 19 Duke</td> <td>le of rush offenses in the sof this year's statistical redules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi 7 Hawaii 8 Oregon St 9 San Jose St 10 North Carolina 11 North Texas 12 New Mexico 13 Purdue 14 Memphis 15 Louisiana 16 Arkansas St 17 Utah 18 Kansas St 19 Illinois</td>	H Washington A Toledo H Ohio A Buffalo H Baylor A Penn St H Alabama A NC State H UCF A San Diego St H New Mexico A UAB H SMU H SMU A Texas Tech H New Mexico A Mississippi H Tulane A Stanford H Bowling Greet	14.3 29.7 26.4 26.0 22.5 25.3 33.0 32.7 24.0 28.1 t 20.2 St 13.7 20.4 31.0 26.8 17.5 24.3 21.5 34.0 an 27.7	123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 115.2 98.5 222.6 98.5 154.9 60.7 179.0 212.1 137.8 75.2 136.5 162.5 137.3 195.3 89.2	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.9 5.3 5.4 4.5 5.4 4.5 5.4 4.7 3.0 4.7 3.0 4.7 4.7 3.8 5.1 3.1	180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 308.7 207.2 222.0 243.8 198.9 296.0 215.9 99.7 185.3 193.5 235.8 235.8 231.7 285.3	575 69.1 66.1 59.6 60.5 56.2 57.3 61.7 63.7 65.1 59.0 55.2 46.3 57.9 57.4 67.2 59.0 57.4 63.7 63.7 57.4 63.1 57.9 57.4 63.5 57.4 63.5 57.4 63.5 57.4 59.0 55.2 46.3 57.4 57.5 57.4 57.5 57.4 57.5 57.5 57.5	31.1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.3 26.5 14.2 8.4 41.3 19.1 31.8 35.6 27.0 23.5 31.0 19.7 39.3 28.3 29.2	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9 167.8 146.7 182.8 98.8 58.0 200.3 106.2 249.0 148.3 168.8 140.7 169.8 167.2 196.7 143.5 185.5	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 4.6 \\ 3.7 \\ 4.4 \\ 4.7 \\ 3.9 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 4.2 \\ 6.1 \\ 4.8 \\ 4.2 \\ 6.1 \\ 4.3 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.7 \\ 4.1 \\ 4.9 \\ 4.3 \\ 5.4 \end{array}$	179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 214.7 253.6 168.0 190.8 181.0 219.2 238.7 171.9 255.5 238.7 164.0 339.4 238.5 201.8 143.8 259.5 243.8	56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 60.1 50.9 58.4 61.7 55.9 46.9 61.7 57.7 57.7 53.6 67.6 60.7 61.1 64.2 47.0 68.6 68.6 67.7 65.7	NCAALY As you can si disparities. These are t RUSH 0FFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 6 Oregon St 7 E Michigan 8 Louisiana 9 Temple 10 UCLA 11 Missouri 12 Connecticut 13 Hawaii 14 Florida Atlantic 15 Utah 16 Arkansas St 17 Memphis 18 North Texas 19 Utah St	g 170 ypg, but ranked # ec, the NCAA's statistica- e current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois 7 Purdue 8 S Mississippi 9 BYU 10 Washington 11 Texas Tech 12 Notre Dame 13 Toledo 14 East Carolina 15 Arkansas St 16 Bowling Green 17 Rice 18 Florida 19 Louisiana	Irankings can be mislee his year. Here are the te SCORING OFFENSES 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St 7 Marshall 8 Arkansas St 9 New Mexico 10 SMU 11 BYU 12 Toledo 13 Fresno St 14 Connecticut 15 Rice 16 WKU 17 Illinois 18 S Mississippi 19 North Texas	ankings because they fe ding. In the upcoming we same that have took on the RUSH DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St 7 Louisiana-Lft 8 Purdue 9 Akron 10 New Mexico 11 Miami, Oh 12 Memphis 13 Utah 14 Illinois 15 WKU 16 W Michigan 17 Virginia 18 North Texas 19 S Mississippi	ced the toughest sched, seeks we'll provide example te toughest & easiest sci DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall 7 Oregon St 8 North Texas 9 San Jose St 10 New Mexico 11 Arkansas St 13 North Carolina 14 Memphis 15 SMU 16 Colorado St 17 Toledo 18 Hawaii 19 Duke	le of rush offenses in the sof this year's statistical redules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi 7 Hawaii 8 Oregon St 9 San Jose St 10 North Carolina 11 North Texas 12 New Mexico 13 Purdue 14 Memphis 15 Louisiana 16 Arkansas St 17 Utah 18 Kansas St 19 Illinois
A WKU 23.8 161.5 4.7 162.3 61.0 46.8 292.3 6.4 245.5 67.5 99 California 99 Florida St 99 West Virginia 99 West Virginia 99 Miami, Fl 100 RU 100 RU Ru 100 RU RU 100 RU 100 RU RU 100 RU RU 100 RU R	H Washington A Toledo H Ohio A Buffalo H Baylor A Penn St H Alabama A NC State H UCF H UCF A San Diego St H New Mexico A UAB H SMU A Texas Tech H New Mexico A Mississippi H Tulane A Stanford H Bowling Gree A Colorado St H Nevada	14.3 29.7 26.4 26.0 22.5 25.3 33.0 32.7 24.0 28.1 1 20.2 St 13.7 20.4 31.0 26.4 31.0 26.4 31.0 26.4 31.0 26.4 31.0 26.4 31.0 26.4 31.0 20.4 31.0 20.4 31.0 20.4 31.0 20.4 31.0 20.4 31.0 20.4 31.0 20.4 20.7 20.7 20.4 20.7 20.7 20.4 20.7 20.7 20.4 20.7 20.7 20.4 20.7 20.7 20.4 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.4 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7	123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 154.7 155.7 222.6 96.5 154.9 00.7 179.0 212.1 137.8 75.2 136.5 137.3 195.3 89.2 140.2 396.5	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.9 5.3 5.4 3.8 2.3 3.8 4.5 5.4 4.7 3.0 4.7 4.2 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.0 4.7 4.2 3.8 5.4 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4	180.6. 284.7 186.5. 242.7 207.2 222.0 222.0.3 243.8 198.9 296.0 215.9 295.0 99.7 181.1 235.0 198.3 193.7 235.8 231.5 155.5	575 69.1 66.1 59.6 60.5 56.2 67.3 61.7 65.1 55.0 55.2 46.3 57.9 57.4 67.2 55.0 50.9 63.8 53.3 61.4 63.1 85.1 55.0	31.1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.3 26.5 14.2 8.4 41.3 19.1 31.8 35.6 27.0 23.5 31.0 19.7 39.3 28.3 29.2 33.0 25.5	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 140.8 140.7 167.8 146.7 182.8 98.8 58.0 200.3 53.3 53.3 168.8 140.7 148.3 168.8 140.7 148.3 169.8 167.2 196.7 143.5 158.3 99.2	1.5 5.0 4.6 3.7 4.4 4.7 3.9 4.2 4.5 2.1 4.8 2.1 4.8 2.1 4.8 6.1 4.2 4.3 3.5 4.2 4.3 3.5 4.1 4.9 4.3 5.4 4.7 3.7	179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 214.7 253.6 168.0 190.8 190.8 190.8 190.8 190.8 190.8 190.9 255.5 238.7 196.5 196.5 196.5 196.5 238.7 238.5 238.5 243.3 227.3 326.5	56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 50.9 58.4 61.7 55.9 46.9 64.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 53.6 67.6 60.7 61.1 64.2 47.0 68.6 67.7 61.4 59.8	NCAALY: As you can si disparities. These are t RUSH OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 6 Oregon St 7 E Michigan 8 Louisiana 9 Temple 10 UCLA 11 Missouri 12 Connecticut 13 Hawaii 14 Florida Atlantic 15 Utah 16 Arkansas St 17 Memphis 18 North Texas 19 Utah St 20 WKU	g 170 ypg, but ranked # es, the NCAA's statistica- e current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois 7 Purdue 8 S Mississippi 9 BYU 10 Washington 11 Texas Tech 12 Notre Dame 13 Toledo 14 East Carolina 15 Arkansas St 16 Bowling Green 17 Rice 18 Florida 19 Louisiana 20 W Michigan	Irankings can be mislee his year. Here are the te SCORING OFFENSES 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St 7 Marshall 8 Arkansas St 9 New Mexico 10 SMU 11 BYU 12 Toledo 13 Fresno St 14 Connecticut 15 Rice 16 WKU 17 Illinois 18 S Mississippi 19 North Texas 20 East Carolina	ankings because they fe ding. In the upcoming we warms that have took on the RUSH DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St 7 Louisiana-Lft 8 Purdue 9 Akron 10 New Mexico 11 Miami, Oh 12 Memphis 13 Utah 14 Illinois 15 WKU 16 W Michigan 17 Virginia 18 North Texas 19 S Mississippi 20 UNLV	ced the toughest sched, exeks we'll provide example toughest & easiest sched, exeks we'll provide example 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall 7 Oregon St 8 North Texas 9 San Jose St 10 New Mexico 11 Arkansas St 12 Kansas St 13 North Carolina 14 Memphis 15 SMU 16 Colorado St 17 Toledo 18 Hawaii 19 Duke 20 Purdue	le of rush offenses in the sof this year's statistical redules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi 7 Hawaii 8 Oregon St 9 San Jose St 10 North Carolina 11 North Texas 12 New Mexico 13 Purdue 14 Memphis 15 Louisiana 16 Arkansas St 17 Utah 18 Kansas St 19 Illinois
H indiada ditantic 27.4 167.4 4.7 17.4	H Washington A Telede H Ohio A Buifalo H Baylor A Penn St H Alabama A NC State H UCF A San Diego St H New Mexico A UAB H SMU A Texas Tech H New Mexico A Mississippi H Tulane A Stanford H Bowling Greet A Colorado St Newada A Virginia	14.3 29.7 26.4 26.0 22.5 25.3 33.0 22.5 25.3 33.0 24.0 20.4 32.7 20.4 31.0 20.5 31.0 20.5 20.5 31.0 20.5 20.5 31.0 20.5 20.5 31.0 20.5 20.5 31.0 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20	123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 154.7 155.7 154.9 00.7 179.0 212.1 137.8 75.2 136.5 162.5 137.3 195.3 195.3 29.6 21.4 1.2 2.5 1.2 2.5 1.2 2.5 1.2 2.5 1.2 2.5 1.2 2.5 1.2 2.5 1.2 2.5 1.2 2.5 1.2 2.5 1.2 2.5 1.2 2.5 1.2 2.5 1.5 1.5 2.5 1.5 1.5 2.5 1.5 1.5 2.5 1.5 1.5 2.5 1.5 1.5 2.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 2.5 1.5 1.5 2.5 1.5 1.5 2.5 1.5 1.5 2.5 1.5 1.5 2.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 5.3 5.4 3.8 2.3 5.4 3.8 2.3 5.4 4.7 3.0 4.7 4.7 3.0 4.7 4.2 3.8 5.1 3.8 5.1 3.8 5.1 3.8 5.2 4.7 3.0 4.7 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4	180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 308.7 207.2 2220.3 243.8 198.9 295.0 205.1 335.2 185.3 235.8 231.7 289.3 231.5 155.5 173.6	575 69.1 661 59.6 60.5 56.2 57.3 61.7 63.7 55.1 59.0 55.2 65.1 59.0 57.9 57.4 67.2 59.0 57.9 57.4 67.2 59.0 53.3 61.4 58.1 63.7 52.7	31.1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.3 26.5 14.2 8.4 41.3 19.1 31.8 35.6 27.0 23.5 31.0 19.7 39.3 29.2 33.0 25.5 27.0	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9 167.8 146.7 182.8 58.0 200.3 58.0 200.3 166.2 249.0 148.3 168.8 140.7 169.8 169.8 140.7 185.8 155.8	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5\\ 5.0\\ 4.6\\ 3.7\\ 4.4\\ 4.7\\ 3.9\\ 4.2\\ 4.5\\ 3.0\\ 2.1\\ 4.8\\ 1.8\\ 4.2\\ 4.3\\ 3.5\\ 3.7\\ 4.2\\ 4.3\\ 3.5\\ 4.1\\ 4.9\\ 4.3\\ 5.4\\ 4.7\\ 3.4\\ 4.2\end{array}$	179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 224.7 253.6 168.0 190.8 181.0 255.5 238.7 196.5 238.7 196.5 201.8 143.8 259.5 295.5 295.5 295.5 243.3 227.3 326.5 180.8	56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 59.5 50.9 58.4 61.7 55.9 46.9 46.9 46.9 61.7 57.7 53.6 67.7 61.1 64.2 47.0 68.6 67.7 65.7 61.4 53.8 53.8 54.7	NCAALY As you can si disparities. These are t RUSH OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 6 Oregon St 7 E Michigan 8 Louisiana 9 Temple 10 UCLA 11 Missouri 12 Connecticut 13 Hawaii 14 Florida Atlantic 15 Utah 16 Arkansas St 17 Memphis 18 North Texas 19 Utah St 20 WKU RUSH	g 170 ypg, but ranked # ec, the NCAA's statistica re current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois 7 Purdue 8 S Mississippi 9 BYU 10 Washington 11 Texas Tech 12 Notre Dame 13 Toledo 14 East Carolina 15 Arkansas St 16 Bowling Green 17 Rice 18 Florida 19 Louisiana 20 W Michigan	Irankings can be mislee his year. Here are the te SCORINGS 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St 7 Marshall 8 Arkansas St 9 New Mexico 10 SMU 12 Toledo 13 Fresno St 14 Connecticut 15 Rice 16 WKU 17 Illinois 18 S Mississippi 19 North Texas 20 East Carolina	ankings because they fe ding. In the upcoming we same that have took on the DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St 7 Louisiana-Lft 8 Purdue 9 Akron 10 New Mexico 11 Miami, Oh 12 Memphis 13 Utah 14 Illinois 15 WKU 16 W Michigan 17 Virginia 18 North Texas 19 S Mississippi 20 UNLV	ced the toughest sched, seeks we'll provide example te toughest & easiest sci DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall 7 Oregon St 8 North Texas 9 San Jose St 10 New Mexico 11 Arkansas St 13 North Carolina 14 Memphis 15 SMU 16 Colorado St 17 Toledo 18 Hawaii 19 Duke 20 Purdue PASS	le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistical redules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi 7 Hawaii 8 Oregon St 9 San Jose St 10 North Carolina 11 North Texas 12 New Mexico 13 Purdue 14 Memphis 15 Louisiana 16 Arkansas St 17 Utah 18 Kansas St 19 Illinois 20 TCU
H Michigan St 28.1 137.9 4.9 268.7 63.3 19.6 3.2 220.4 58.9 102 USC 102 Air Force 102 Wake Forest 103 Ball St 103 Army 103 Tennessee 103 Indiana A ULM 20.7 143.6 3.8 207.0 55.4 32.4 117.7 3.4 270.6 61.3 104 Baylor 103 Rutgers 103 Ball St 103 Army 103 Indiana 104 Horida St 104 NC State 104 Ball St 105 USF 105 Air Sorce 105 Kert St 105 NC State 104 Air Sorce 105 Kert St 106 Maimi, Fl 106 San Diego St 106 Baylor 106 Maim, Fl 106 NC State 107 NC State 106 Baylor 106 Maim, Fl 107 NC State 107 NC State 107 NC State 107<	H Washington A Tolede H Ohio A Buffalo H Baylor A Penn St H Alabama A NC State H UCF A San Diego St H New Mexico A UAB H SMU A Texas Tech H New Mexico A Mississippi H Tulane A Stanford H Bowling Greet A Colorado St H Nevada A Virginia H USC A WKU	14.3 29.7 26.4 26.0 22.5 25.3 32.0 24.0 28.1 1 20.2 81 1.7 20.4 33.7 20.4 32.7 20.4 32.7 20.4 31.7 21.5 24.3 21.5 24.3 21.5 24.3 21.5 24.3 21.5 24.3 21.5 24.3 21.5 24.3 21.5 24.3 21.5 24.3 21.5 24.3 21.5 24.3 21.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24.5 24	123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 115.2 195.8 222.6 96.5 154.9 96.5 154.9 96.7 179.0 212.1 137.8 75.2 136.5 162.5 137.3 98.2 140.2 396.5 79.8 188.5 161.5	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.9 5.3 5.4 5.4 3.3 5.4 5.4 4.7 3.0 4.7 4.2 4.7 3.0 4.7 4.2 3.8 5.1 3.1 3.8 7.9 2.1 5.7 7.7 4.7	180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 308.7 207.2 222.0 243.8 198.9 296.0 99.7 181.1 235.0 335.2 198.3 231.7 231.5 173.6 173.6 121.2 162.3	5755 69.1 66.1 59.6 59.6 60.5 56.2 67.3 61.7 65.1 55.0 55.2 46.3 57.9 57.4 65.2 46.3 57.9 57.4 65.2 46.3 57.9 57.9 57.4 67.2 59.0 50.9 63.8 61.4 63.7 52.7 64.6 64.6 61.0	31.1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.3 26.5 14.2 8.4 41.3 31.2 31.8 35.6 27.0 23.5 28.3 29.2 33.0 25.5 27.0 21.3 46.8	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9 167.8 146.7 182.8 98.8 58.0 200.3 53.3 166.2 249.0 148.3 168.8 167.2 196.7 143.5 158.3 99.2 155.8 192.3	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5\\ 5.0\\ 4.6\\ 3.7\\ 4.4\\ 4.7\\ 3.9\\ 4.2\\ 4.3\\ 2.1\\ 4.8\\ 4.5\\ 3.0\\ 2.1\\ 4.8\\ 4.5\\ 3.0\\ 2.1\\ 4.5\\ 3.0\\ 4.5\\ 3.7\\ 4.1\\ 4.2\\ 4.3\\ 5.4\\ 4.7\\ 3.4\\ 4.2\\ 3.5\\ 6.4\\ \end{array}$	179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 214.7 253.6 168.0 190.8 214.7 255.5 238.7 164.0 339.4 250.3 164.0 339.4 250.3 263.7 164.0 339.4 250.5 201.8 143.8 259.5 295.5 295.5 295.5 295.5 295.5 295.5 201.8 180.8 227.3 326.5 180.8	56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 59.5 50.9 50.9 61.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 53.3 53.6 67.7 61.1 64.2 47.0 68.6 67.7 61.4 59.8 54.7 61.4 59.8	NCAALY As you can si disparities. These are t RUSH OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 6 Oregon St 7 E Michigan 8 Louisiana 9 Temple 10 UCLA 11 Missouri 12 Connecticut 13 Hawaii 14 Florida Atlantic 15 Utah 16 Arkansas St 17 Memphis 18 North Texas 19 Utah St 20 WKU RUSH OFFENSES 99 California	g 170 ypg, but ranked # ec, the NCAA's statistica re current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois 7 Purdue 8 S Mississippi 9 BYU 10 Washington 11 Texas Tech 12 Notre Dame 13 Toledo 14 East Carolina 15 Arkansas St 16 Bowling Green 17 Rice 18 Florida 19 Louisiana 20 W Michigan PASS OFFENSES 99 Florida St	Irankings can be mislea his year. Here are the te SCORING OFFENSES 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St 7 Marshall 8 Arkansas St 9 New Mexico 10 SMU 11 BYU 12 Toledo 13 Fresno St 14 Connecticut 15 Rice 16 WKU 17 Illinois 18 S Mississippi 19 North Texas 20 East Carolina SCORING 99 California	ankings because they fe diding. In the upcoming we same that have took on the DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St 7 Louisiana-Lft 8 Purdue 9 Akron 10 New Mexico 11 Miami, Oh 12 Memphis 13 Utah 14 Illinois 13 Utah 14 Illinois 15 WKU 16 W Michigan 17 Virginia 18 North Texas 19 S Mississippi 20 UNLV RUSH DEFENSES 99 West Virginia	ced the toughest sched, seeks we'll provide example te toughest & easiest sci DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall 7 Oregon St 8 North Texas 9 San Jose St 10 New Mexico 11 Arkansas St 13 North Carolina 14 Memphis 15 SMU 16 Colorado St 17 Toledo 18 Hawaii 19 Duke 20 Purdue PASS DEFENSES 99 Miami, Fl	le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistical redules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi 7 Hawaii 8 Oregon St 9 San Jose St 10 North Carolina 11 North Texas 12 New Mexico 13 Purdue 14 Memphis 15 Louisiana 16 Arkansas St 17 Utah 18 Kansas St 19 Illinois 20 TCU SCORING 99 Wyoming
A OLM 20.7 143.6 3.8 20/0 55.4 32.4 117.7 3.4 270.6 61.3 104 Báylor 104 LA Téch 104 Florida St 104 NC Štate 104 Ball St 104 Tennesse H Arkansas 46.7 154.3 4.8 353.3 67.2 24.1 150.0 4.4 231.3 58.3 105 Duke 105 Kent St 105 USF 105 Air Strong 105 Kent St 105 USF 105 Air Strong 105 Kent St 106 Mairmi, FI 106 Mairmi, FI 106 Baylor 105 Kent St 106 Baylor 105 Kent St 106 Mairmi, FI 106 Baylor 106 Baylor 106 Baylor 107 NC State 107 Na Diego St 106 Bulfalo 107 Na Diego St 107 San Diego St 107 San Diego St 107 San Diego St 107 Na Diego St 108 Laher 108 Ball St 108 Mairmi, FI 108 Mairmi, FI	H Washington A Tolede H Ohio A Buffalo H Baylor A Penn St H Alabama A CState H UCF H VCF H New Mexico A UAB H SMU A Texas Tech H New Mexico A Colorado St H Nevada A VIGINIA H USC A WKU H Kentucky	14.3 29.7 26.4 26.0 22.5 25.3 32.7 24.6 1 20.2 25.3 33.0 22.7 24.8 1 1 20.2 25.3 31.0 20.4 31.0 26.8 17.5 24.3 21.5 24.3 21.5 24.3 21.5 24.3 21.5 24.3 21.5 24.3 21.5 24.3 21.5 24.3 21.5 24.5 24.5 25.3 34.0 26.8 26.8 26.9 27.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21	123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 115.2 195.8 222.6 90.7 179.0 212.1 137.8 75.2 136.5 162.5 137.3 195.3 89.2 140.2 396.5 79.8 89.2 140.2 396.5 165.5	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.9 5.3 5.4 5.3 5.4 5.3 3.8 2.3 4.5 5.4 4.7 3.0 4.7 4.7 3.0 4.7 4.2 3.8 5.1 3.8 7.9 2.1 5.7 4.7 4.7 4.4	180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 207.2 222.0 220.3 295.0 295.0 99.7 188.1 235.7 235.8 231.5 155.5 173.6 176.2 211.9	5755 69.1 66.1 59.6 60.5 56.2 67.3 61.7 65.1 55.0 55.2 46.3 57.9 57.4 67.2 57.9 57.4 67.2 59.0 50.9 63.8 53.3 61.4 63.7 52.7 64.6 53.1 63.7 52.7 64.6 53.1 63.7	311 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.3 26.5 14.2 8.4 41.3 19.1 31.8 35.6 27.0 23.5 31.0 19.7 39.3 28.3 29.2 33.0 25.5 27.0 21.3 46.8 27.4	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 140.7 167.8 146.7 182.8 98.8 58.0 200.3 53.3 166.2 249.0 148.3 166.2 249.0 148.3 166.2 169.8 167.2 196.7 143.5 158.3 99.2 155.8 131.3 99.2 155.8 131.3 292.3 215.7	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 4.6 \\ 3.7 \\ 4.4 \\ 4.7 \\ 3.9 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 2.1 \\ 4.8 \\ 1.8 \\ 1.8 \\ 1.8 \\ 1.8 \\ 6.1 \\ 4.2 \\ 6.1 \\ 4.2 \\ 6.1 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.3 \\ 5.4 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.3 \\ 5.4 \\ 4.7 \\ 3.4 \\ 4.2 \\ 3.5 \\ 5.4 \\ 4.7 \\ 3.4 \\ 4.2 \\ 5.4 \\ 5.1 \\ 1.5 \\$	179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 214.7 255.6 168.0 190.8 190.8 190.8 255.5 164.0 339.4 250.5 164.0 339.4 250.5 165.5 243.3 226.5 180.8 192.7 192.7 19	56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 55.5 60.1 50.9 61.7 55.9 61.7 57.7 57.7 53.3 63.6 67.7 61.4 59.8 64.2 47.0 68.6 67.7 61.4 59.8 55.7 61.4 59.8	NCAA LY: As you can si disparities. These are t OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 0 Oregon St 7 E Michigan 8 Louisiana 9 Temple 10 UCLA 11 Missouri 12 Connecticut 13 Hawaii 14 Florida Atlantic 15 Utah 16 Arkansas St 17 Memphis 18 North Texas 19 Utah St 20 WKU PGFENSES 99 California 100 Texas Tech 101 Iowa	g 170 ypg, but ranked # es, the NCAA's statistica e current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois 7 Purdue 8 S Mississippi 9 BYU 10 Washington 11 Texas Tech 12 Notre Dame 13 Toledo 14 East Carolina 15 Arkansas St 16 Bowling Green 17 Rice 18 Florida 19 Louisiana 20 W Michigan PASS OFFENSES 99 Florida St 100 NC State 101 UTEP	Irankings can be mislee his year. Here are the te SCORING 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St 7 Marshall 8 Arkansas St 9 New Mexico 10 SMU 11 BYU 12 Toledo 13 Fresno St 14 Connecticut 15 Rice 16 WKU 17 Illinois 18 S Mississippi 19 North Texas 20 East Carolina SCORING 99 California 100 Baylor	ankings because they fe ding. In the upcoming we warms that have took on the DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St 7 Louisiana-Lft 8 Purdue 9 Akron 10 New Mexico 11 Miami, Oh 12 Memphis 13 Utah 14 Illinois 13 Utah 14 Wichigan 17 Virginia 18 North Texas 19 S Mississippi 20 UNLV RUSH DEFENSES 99 West Virginia 100 Tulane 101 Arkansas	ced the toughest sched, exeks we'll provide example toughest & easiest scl DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall 7 Oregon St 8 North Texas 9 San Jose St 10 New Mexicco 11 Arkansas St 12 Kansas St 13 North Carolina 14 Memphis 15 SMU 16 Colorado St 17 Toledo 18 Hawaii 19 Duke 20 Purdue PASS DEFENSES 99 Miami, Fl 100 Tulane 101 Texas A&M	le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistical redules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi 7 Hawaii 8 Oregon St 9 San Jose St 10 North Carolina 11 North Texas 12 New Mexico 13 Purdue 14 Memphis 15 Louisiana 16 Arkansas St 17 Utah 18 Kansas St 19 Illinois 20 TCU SCORING 99 Wyoming 100 UCF 10 Miami, FI
H Arkansas 46.7 154.3 48.8 303.3 67.2 24.1 150.0 4.4 201.3 58.3 105 Duke 105 Kent St 105 Kent St 105 A lice 105 A lice 105 A lice 105 Kent St 106 San Diego St 106 Baylor 107 San Diego St 107 San Diego St 107 San Diego St <t< td=""><td>H Washington A Telede H Ohio A Buffalo H Baylor A Penn St H Alabama A NC State H UCF H UCF A San Diego St H New Mexico A UAB H SMU A Texas Tech H New Mexico A Mississippi H Tulane A Stanford H Bowling Gree A Colorado St H Nevada A Virginia H USC A WKU H Kentucky A Florida Atlant</td><td>14.3 29.7 26.4 26.0 22.5 25.3 33.0 22.5 24.0 28.1 1 20.2 81 13.7 20.4 31.0 26.8 51.3 21.5 34.0 21.5 34.0 21.5 34.0 21.5 34.0 21.5 34.0 21.5 34.0 21.5 34.0 20.5 34.0 20.5 24.1 20.5 34.0 20.5 24.1 20.5 34.0 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20</td><td>123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 115.2 195.8 222.6 90.5 154.9 00.7 179.0 212.1 137.8 75.2 136.5 162.5 137.3 195.3 89.2 140.2 396.5 79.8 188.5 161.5 165.4</td><td>5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.9 5.3 3.8 2.3 3.8 4.5 5.4 4.7 3.0 4.7 3.0 4.7 3.8 5.1 3.8 5.1 3.8 5.1 3.8 5.4 4.7 4.7 4.2 4.2 4.2 4.2 5.4 4.5 5.4 4.5 5.4 4.5 5.4 4.7 7.9 2.1 5.7 4.4 4.4 4.4 5.7 4.4 4.7 5.4 4.4 5.1 5.4 4.4 5.1 5.4 4.4 5.1 5.4 4.4 5.1 5.4 4.4 5.1 5.4 4.4 5.4 4.4 5.1 5.4 4.4 5.1 5.4 4.4 5.1 5.4 4.4 5.1 5.4 4.4 5.4 4.4 5.1 5.4 4.4 5.4 4.4 5.7 6.4 4.4 5.7 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4</td><td>180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 308.7 207.2 222.0 220.3 295.0 295.0 295.1 335.2 188.1 235.0 335.2 185.3 193.7 231.5 155.5 173.6 215.2 162.3 144.7 206.1</td><td>575 69.1 66.1 59.6 60.5 56.2 57.3 61.7 59.0 55.2 65.1 59.0 55.2 59.0 55.2 59.0 55.2 59.0 55.2 59.0 55.2 59.0 55.3 57.9 63.8 53.3 61.4 55.1 57.9 63.8 53.3 61.7 63.7 63.7 52.7 64.6 61.1 55.1 57.9 55.2 57.9</td><td>31.1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.5 26.5 18.0 22.5 31.2 35.6 27.0 23.5 27.0 23.3 29.2 21.0 19.7 39.3 28.3 29.2 27.0 21.3 20.5 27.0 21.3 27.4 40.0</td><td>44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9 167.8 146.7 182.8 98.8 58.0 200.3 53.3 166.2 249.0 148.3 168.8 140.7 148.3 169.8 167.2 196.7 143.5 158.3 99.2 155.8 131.3 292.3 215.7 239.4</td><td>$\begin{array}{c} 1.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 4.6 \\ 3.7 \\ 4.4 \\ 4.7 \\ 3.9 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 2.1 \\ 4.8 \\ 1.8 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.3 \\ 3.5 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.3 \\ 3.5 \\ 4.3 \\ 5.4 \\ 4.2 \\ 3.5 \\ 4.7 \\ 3.4 \\ 4.2 \\ 3.5 \\ 6.4 \\ 4.7 \\ 3.4 \\ 4.2 \\ 3.5 \\ 6.4 \\ 5.1 \\ 6.8 \\ \end{array}$</td><td>179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 224.7 253.6 168.0 190.8 190.8 190.8 190.8 190.8 190.8 190.9 255.5 238.7 196.5 238.7 196.5 238.7 196.5 243.3 227.3 326.5 180.8 192.7 245.5 186.0 276.6</td><td>56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 59.5 59.5 60.1 50.9 61.7 55.9 61.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 61.7 64.2 47.0 67.6 67.7 61.4 59.8 54.7 65.7 61.4 55.5 54.4 55.5 54.5 67.5 68.3 54.9 55.1 67.5</td><td>NCAALY As you can st disparities. These are t RUSH OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 6 Oregon St 7 E Michigan 8 Louisiana 9 Temple 10 UCLA 11 Missouri 12 Connecticut 13 Hawaii 14 Florida Atlantic 15 Utah 16 Arkansas St 17 Memphis 18 North Texas 19 Utah St 20 WKU RUSH 09 California 100 Texas Tech 101 Iowa 102 USC</td><td>g 170 ypg, but ranked # ec, the NCA's statistica- e current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois 7 Purdue 8 SMississippi 9 BYU 10 Washington 11 Texas Tech 12 Notre Dame 13 Toledo 14 East Carolina 15 Arkansa St 16 Bowling Green 17 Rice 18 Florida 19 Louisiana 20 W Michigan PASS OFFENSES 99 Florida St 100 NC State 101 UTEP 102 Air Force</td><td>Irankings can be mislee his year. Here are the te SCORINGS 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St 7 Marshall 8 Arkansas St 9 New Mexico 10 SMU 11 BYU 12 Toledo 13 Fresno St 14 Connecticut 15 Rice 16 WKU 17 Illinois 18 S Mississippi 19 North Texas 20 East Carolina SCORING 0 Baylor 10 Tennessee 10 Wake Forest</td><td>ankings because they fe diding. In the upcoming we earms that have took on the DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St 7 Louisiana-Lft 8 Purdue 9 Akron 10 New Mexico 11 Miami, Oh 12 Memphis 13 Utah 14 Illinois 15 WKU 16 W Michigan 17 Virginia 18 North Texas 19 S Mississippi 20 UNLV RUSH DEFENSES 99 West Virginia 100 Tulane 101 Arkansas 102 Ball St</td><td>ced the toughest sched, wesks we'll provide example te toughest & easiest sci DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall 7 Oregon St 8 North Texas 9 San Jose St 10 New Mexico 11 Arkansas St 13 North Carolina 14 Memphis 15 SMU 16 Colorado St 17 Toledo 18 Hawaii 19 Duke 20 Purdue PASS DEFENSES 99 Miami, Fl 100 Tulane 99 Miami, Fl 100 Tukas A&M 101 Texas A&M</td><td>le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistical redules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi 7 Hawaii 8 Oregon St 9 San Jose St 10 North Carolina 11 North Texas 12 New Mexico 13 Purdue 14 Memphis 15 Louisiana 16 Arkansas St 17 Utah 18 Kansas St 19 Illinois 20 TCU SCORING DEFENSES 99 Wyoming 100 UCF 101 Miami, Fl 102 Houston</td></t<>	H Washington A Telede H Ohio A Buffalo H Baylor A Penn St H Alabama A NC State H UCF H UCF A San Diego St H New Mexico A UAB H SMU A Texas Tech H New Mexico A Mississippi H Tulane A Stanford H Bowling Gree A Colorado St H Nevada A Virginia H USC A WKU H Kentucky A Florida Atlant	14.3 29.7 26.4 26.0 22.5 25.3 33.0 22.5 24.0 28.1 1 20.2 81 13.7 20.4 31.0 26.8 51.3 21.5 34.0 21.5 34.0 21.5 34.0 21.5 34.0 21.5 34.0 21.5 34.0 21.5 34.0 20.5 34.0 20.5 24.1 20.5 34.0 20.5 24.1 20.5 34.0 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20.5 20	123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 115.2 195.8 222.6 90.5 154.9 00.7 179.0 212.1 137.8 75.2 136.5 162.5 137.3 195.3 89.2 140.2 396.5 79.8 188.5 161.5 165.4	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.9 5.3 3.8 2.3 3.8 4.5 5.4 4.7 3.0 4.7 3.0 4.7 3.8 5.1 3.8 5.1 3.8 5.1 3.8 5.4 4.7 4.7 4.2 4.2 4.2 4.2 5.4 4.5 5.4 4.5 5.4 4.5 5.4 4.7 7.9 2.1 5.7 4.4 4.4 4.4 5.7 4.4 4.7 5.4 4.4 5.1 5.4 4.4 5.1 5.4 4.4 5.1 5.4 4.4 5.1 5.4 4.4 5.1 5.4 4.4 5.4 4.4 5.1 5.4 4.4 5.1 5.4 4.4 5.1 5.4 4.4 5.1 5.4 4.4 5.4 4.4 5.1 5.4 4.4 5.4 4.4 5.7 6.4 4.4 5.7 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4.4 4	180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 308.7 207.2 222.0 220.3 295.0 295.0 295.1 335.2 188.1 235.0 335.2 185.3 193.7 231.5 155.5 173.6 215.2 162.3 144.7 206.1	575 69.1 66.1 59.6 60.5 56.2 57.3 61.7 59.0 55.2 65.1 59.0 55.2 59.0 55.2 59.0 55.2 59.0 55.2 59.0 55.2 59.0 55.3 57.9 63.8 53.3 61.4 55.1 57.9 63.8 53.3 61.7 63.7 63.7 52.7 64.6 61.1 55.1 57.9 55.2 57.9	31.1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.5 26.5 18.0 22.5 31.2 35.6 27.0 23.5 27.0 23.3 29.2 21.0 19.7 39.3 28.3 29.2 27.0 21.3 20.5 27.0 21.3 27.4 40.0	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9 167.8 146.7 182.8 98.8 58.0 200.3 53.3 166.2 249.0 148.3 168.8 140.7 148.3 169.8 167.2 196.7 143.5 158.3 99.2 155.8 131.3 292.3 215.7 239.4	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 4.6 \\ 3.7 \\ 4.4 \\ 4.7 \\ 3.9 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 2.1 \\ 4.8 \\ 1.8 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.3 \\ 3.5 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.3 \\ 3.5 \\ 4.3 \\ 5.4 \\ 4.2 \\ 3.5 \\ 4.7 \\ 3.4 \\ 4.2 \\ 3.5 \\ 6.4 \\ 4.7 \\ 3.4 \\ 4.2 \\ 3.5 \\ 6.4 \\ 5.1 \\ 6.8 \\ \end{array}$	179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 224.7 253.6 168.0 190.8 190.8 190.8 190.8 190.8 190.8 190.9 255.5 238.7 196.5 238.7 196.5 238.7 196.5 243.3 227.3 326.5 180.8 192.7 245.5 186.0 276.6	56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 59.5 59.5 60.1 50.9 61.7 55.9 61.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 61.7 64.2 47.0 67.6 67.7 61.4 59.8 54.7 65.7 61.4 55.5 54.4 55.5 54.5 67.5 68.3 54.9 55.1 67.5	NCAALY As you can st disparities. These are t RUSH OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 6 Oregon St 7 E Michigan 8 Louisiana 9 Temple 10 UCLA 11 Missouri 12 Connecticut 13 Hawaii 14 Florida Atlantic 15 Utah 16 Arkansas St 17 Memphis 18 North Texas 19 Utah St 20 WKU RUSH 09 California 100 Texas Tech 101 Iowa 102 USC	g 170 ypg, but ranked # ec, the NCA's statistica- e current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois 7 Purdue 8 SMississippi 9 BYU 10 Washington 11 Texas Tech 12 Notre Dame 13 Toledo 14 East Carolina 15 Arkansa St 16 Bowling Green 17 Rice 18 Florida 19 Louisiana 20 W Michigan PASS OFFENSES 99 Florida St 100 NC State 101 UTEP 102 Air Force	Irankings can be mislee his year. Here are the te SCORINGS 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St 7 Marshall 8 Arkansas St 9 New Mexico 10 SMU 11 BYU 12 Toledo 13 Fresno St 14 Connecticut 15 Rice 16 WKU 17 Illinois 18 S Mississippi 19 North Texas 20 East Carolina SCORING 0 Baylor 10 Tennessee 10 Wake Forest	ankings because they fe diding. In the upcoming we earms that have took on the DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St 7 Louisiana-Lft 8 Purdue 9 Akron 10 New Mexico 11 Miami, Oh 12 Memphis 13 Utah 14 Illinois 15 WKU 16 W Michigan 17 Virginia 18 North Texas 19 S Mississippi 20 UNLV RUSH DEFENSES 99 West Virginia 100 Tulane 101 Arkansas 102 Ball St	ced the toughest sched, wesks we'll provide example te toughest & easiest sci DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall 7 Oregon St 8 North Texas 9 San Jose St 10 New Mexico 11 Arkansas St 13 North Carolina 14 Memphis 15 SMU 16 Colorado St 17 Toledo 18 Hawaii 19 Duke 20 Purdue PASS DEFENSES 99 Miami, Fl 100 Tulane 99 Miami, Fl 100 Tukas A&M 101 Texas A&M	le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistical redules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi 7 Hawaii 8 Oregon St 9 San Jose St 10 North Carolina 11 North Texas 12 New Mexico 13 Purdue 14 Memphis 15 Louisiana 16 Arkansas St 17 Utah 18 Kansas St 19 Illinois 20 TCU SCORING DEFENSES 99 Wyoming 100 UCF 101 Miami, Fl 102 Houston
H North Texas 29.7 194.0 5.7 236.8 67.4 35.2 187.2 4.4 209.8 55.0 107 Wake Forest 107 Indiana A Arkansas St 15.6 88.3 2.7 196.3 58.1 27.4 140.1 3.7 237.4 60.8 108 Tulane 108 Ball St 108 West Virginia 108 Miami, FI 108 Idaho 108 LA Tech	H Washington A Toledo H Ohio A Buffalo H Baylor A Penn St H Baylor A Penn St H Abama A NC State H UCF A San Diego St H New Mexico A UAB H SMU A Texas Tech H New Mexico A Mississippi H Tulane A Stanford H Bowling Greet A Ciorado St H Nevada A Virginia H USC A WKU H Kentucky A Fentida Atlant H Michigan St A ULM	14.3 29,7 26,4 26,0 22,5 25,3 33,0 24,0 28,1 1 24,0 28,1 33,0 24,0 28,1 33,0 24,0 28,1 34,0 26,8 31,0 26,8 31,0 26,8 31,0 26,8 31,0 26,8 31,0 26,8 31,0 26,0 24,0 24,0 24,0 24,0 24,0 24,0 24,0 24	123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 115.2 195.8 222.6 96.5 154.9 60.7 179.0 212.1 137.8 75.2 136.5 162.5 396.5 162.5 396.5 163.2 396.5 164.2 396.5 165.1 165.4 165.4 165.4 165.4 165.4 165.4 165.4	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.9 5.3 5.4 5.4 3.8 2.3 4.5 5.4 4.7 3.0 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 3.8 5.1 3.8 5.1 3.1 3.8 5.1 3.1 3.8 5.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 3.8 3.8 5.7 4 5.7 5.7 5.7 5.7 5.7 5.7 5.7 5.7 5.7 5.7	180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 308.7 207.2 222.0 220.3 296.0 99.7 181.1 235.0 335.2 186.3 193.7 235.8 231.5 155.5 173.6 212.2 162.3 144.7 266.7 207.0	5755 69.1 66.1 59.6 60.5 56.2 67.3 61.7 65.1 55.1 55.2 46.3 57.9 57.4 65.2 46.3 57.9 57.4 65.2 46.3 57.9 57.4 55.2 46.3 57.9 57.4 55.2 61.7 65.2 46.3 53.3 61.7 65.2 52.7 61.7 65.2 52.7 63.8 61.4 64.6 61.0 55.5 57.9 57.9 57.9 52.7 63.8 55.4 57.9 57.9 57.9 52.7 63.8 55.2 64.6 61.0 57.9 55.2 55.2 55.2 55.2 55.2 55.2 55.2 55	31.1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.3 26.5 14.2 8.4 41.3 31.8 35.6 27.0 23.5 33.0 25.5 27.0 33.0 25.5 27.3 33.0 25.5 27.3 33.0 25.5 27.3 33.0 25.5 27.4 40.0 32.4	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9 167.8 146.7 182.8 98.8 58.0 200.3 106.2 249.0 148.3 168.8 168.8 167.2 196.7 169.8 167.2 196.7 155.8 155.8 155.8 155.8 155.8 155.8 292.3 215.7 239.6 117.7	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 4.6 \\ 3.7 \\ 4.4 \\ 4.7 \\ 3.9 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 2.1 \\ 4.8 \\ 4.2 \\ 6.1 \\ 4.2 \\ 6.1 \\ 4.3 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.7 \\ 4.1 \\ 4.9 \\ 5.1 \\ 4.3 \\ 5.4 \\ 4.2 \\ 5.1 \\ 5.4 \\ 5.4 \\$	179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 214.7 253.6 168.0 190.8 214.7 190.8 214.7 190.5 164.0 339.4 250.5 201.8 143.8 259.5 201.8 143.8 259.5 243.3 2295.5 243.3 2295.5 243.3 2295.5 243.3 2295.5 243.3 2295.5 180.8 192.7 180.8 192.7 180.8 192.7 245.5 180.8 192.7 245.5 180.8 192.7 245.5 180.8 192.7 245.5 180.8 192.7 245.5 180.8 192.7 245.5 180.8 192.7 245.5 180.8 192.7 245.5 180.8 192.7 245.5 180.8 192.7 245.5 180.8 192.7 245.5 180.8 192.7 245.5 180.8 182.7 245.5 180.8 182.7 245.5 180.8 182.7 245.5 180.8 182.7 245.5 180.8 182.7 245.5 180.8 182.7 245.5 180.8 182.7 245.5 180.8 182.7 245.5 243.5 243.5 243.5 243.5 243.5 243.5 243.5 243.5 243.5 243.5 245.5 243.5 245.5 243.5 245.5 243.5 245.5 243.5 245.5 2	56.8 51.8 51.8 62.1 50.5 50.5 50.7 55.9 61.7 55.9 61.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 5	NCAA LY. As you can si disparities. These are t OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 0 Oregon St 7 E Michigan 8 Louisiana 9 Temple 10 UCLA 11 Missouri 12 Connecticut 13 Hawaii 14 Florida Atlantic 15 Utah 16 Arkansas St 17 Memphis 18 North Texas 19 Utah St 20 WKU RUSH 00 Texas Tech 100 Texas Tech 101 Iowa 102 USC 103 Wyoming 104 Baylor	g 170 ypg, but ranked # se, the NCAA's statistica he current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois 7 Purdue 8 S Mississippi 9 BYU 10 Washington 11 Texas Tech 12 Notre Dame 13 Toledo 14 East Carolina 15 Arkansas St 16 Bowling Green 17 Rice 18 Florida 19 Louisiana 20 W Michigan PASS OFFENSES 99 Florida St 100 NC State 101 UTEP 102 Air Force 103 Rutgers 104 LA Tech	Irankings can be mislea his year. Here are the te SCORING OFFENSES 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St 7 Marshall 8 Arkansas St 9 New Mexico 10 SMU 11 BYU 12 Toledo 13 Fresno St 14 Connecticut 15 Rice 16 WKU 17 Illinois 18 S Mississippi 19 North Texas 20 East Carolina SCORING 99 California 100 Baylor 101 Tennessee 102 Wake Forest 103 Ball St 104 Florida St	ankings because they fe diding. In the upcoming we ams that have took on the RUSH DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St 7 Louisiana-Lft 8 Purdue 9 Akron 10 New Mexico 11 Miami, Oh 12 Memphis 13 Utah 14 Illinois 15 WKU 16 W Michigan 17 Virginia 18 North Texas 19 S Mississippi 20 UNLV RUSH DEFENSES 99 West Virginia 100 Tulane 101 Arkansas 102 Ball St 103 Army 104 NC State	iced the toughest schedd, seeks we'll provide example te toughest & easiest schedd, PASS DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall 7 Oregon St 8 North Texas 9 San Jose St 10 New Mexico 11 Arkansas St 13 North Carolina 14 Memphis 15 SMU 16 Colorado St 17 Toledo 18 Hawaii 19 Duke 20 Purdue PASS DEFENSES 99 Miami, FI 100 Tulane 101 Texas A&M 102 Stanford 103 Tennessee 104 Ball St	le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistica hedules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi 7 Hawaii 8 Oregon St 9 San Jose St 10 North Carolina 11 North Texas 12 New Mexico 13 Purdue 14 Memphis 15 Louisiana 16 Arkansas St 19 Illinois 20 TCU SCORING DEFENSES 99 Wyoming 100 UCF 101 Miami, Fl 102 Houston 103 Indiana 104 Tennessee
	H Washington A Tolede H Ohio A Buffalo H Baylor A Penn St H Baylor A Penn St H Alabama A NC State H UCF A San Diego St H Vew Mexico A UAB H SMU A Texas Tech H New Mexico A Toxas Tec	14.3 29.7 26.4 26.0 22.5 25.3 33.0 32.7 24.0 28.1 1 20.2 81 13.7 20.4 31.0 20.4 31.0 26.8 17.5 24.3 21.5 24.3 34.0 20.7 21.6 34.0 20.7 21.6 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5 21.5	123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 115.2 195.8 222.6 96.5 154.9 96.5 154.9 96.7 179.0 212.1 137.8 75.2 136.5 162.5 137.3 195.3 396.5 162.5 195.3 396.5 165.5 165.1 165.4 165.1 165.4 165.4 37.9 165.4 165.5 175.5	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.9 5.3 5.4 3.3 3.8 2.3 5.4 4.7 3.0 5.4 4.7 3.0 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.1 4.7 4.2 3.8 5.1 4.7 4.7 3.8 5.1 4.7 4.7 3.8 5.4 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4	180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 308.7 207.2 222.3 243.8 198.9 295.0 99.7 181.1 235.0 198.3 231.5 155.5 173.6 212.2 162.3 144.7 266.1 268.3 201.2 162.3 144.7 266.1 271.2 162.3 144.7 263.3	5755 69.1 66.1 59.6 60.5 56.2 67.3 61.7 65.1 55.1 55.2 46.3 57.9 57.4 67.2 59.0 50.9 63.8 53.3 57.9 53.3 57.9 63.8 53.3 53.4 63.7 52.7 64.6 61.0 56.5 57.9 63.3 57.9	31.1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.3 26.5 14.2 8.4 41.3 31.2 31.8 35.6 27.0 39.3 28.3 30.0 25.5 27.0 21.3 46.8 27.4 40.0 19.6 32.4 24.3	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9 167.8 146.7 182.8 98.8 58.0 200.3 53.3 166.2 249.0 148.3 166.2 249.0 148.3 166.2 140.7 169.8 167.2 196.7 143.5 158.3 99.2 155.3 158.3 99.2 155.3 292.3 215.7 239.4 93.6 117.7 150.0	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5\\ 5.0\\ 4.6\\ 3.7\\ 4.4\\ 4.7\\ 3.9\\ 4.2\\ 4.3\\ 3.7\\ 4.5\\ 3.0\\ 2.1\\ 4.8\\ 4.5\\ 3.0\\ 2.1\\ 4.5\\ 3.0\\ 6.1\\ 4.2\\ 4.3\\ 3.5\\ 3.7\\ 4.1\\ 4.2\\ 3.5\\ 5.4\\ 4.7\\ 3.4\\ 4.2\\ 3.5\\ 6.4\\ 5.1\\ 6.8\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 4.4\\ 4.4\\ \end{array}$	179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 224.7 191.0 214.7 255.6 188.0 190.8 214.7 255.5 238.7 164.0 339.4 250.3 143.8 250.5 295.5 295.5 295.5 295.5 180.8 227.3 326.5 180.8 227.3 326.5 180.2 243.3 227.6 220.4 227.6 220.7 220.7 200.7 200.7 200.7 200.7 20	56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 50.9 58.4 60.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 61.1 61.7 61.7 61.4 59.8 67.7 61.4 59.8 64.2 47.0 68.6 67.7 61.4 59.8 54.7 55.1 65.7 61.4 59.8 54.7 55.1 67.5 55.1 67.5 68.3 58.3 58.3	NCAA LY: As you can si disparities. These are t OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 0 Oregon St 7 E Michigan 8 Louisiana 9 Temple 10 UCLA 11 Missouri 12 Connecticut 13 Hawaii 14 Florida Atlantic 15 Utah 16 Arkansas St 17 Memphis 18 North Texas 19 Utah St 20 WKU PGFENSES 99 California 100 Texas Tech 101 Iowa 102 USC 103 Wyoming 104 Baylor 105 Duke	g 170 ypg, but ranked # ec, the NCAA's statistica- e current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois 7 Purdue 8 SMississippi 9 BYU 10 Washington 11 Texas Tech 12 Notre Dame 13 Toledo 14 East Carolina 15 Arkansas St 16 Bowling Green 17 Rice 18 Florida 19 Louisiana 20 W Michigan PASS OFFENSES 99 Florida St 100 NC State 101 UTEP 102 Air Force 103 Rutgers 104 LA Ech 105 Kent St	Irankings can be mislee his year. Here are the te SCORING OFFENSES 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St 7 Marshall 8 Arkansas St 9 New Mexico 10 SMU 11 BYU 12 Toledo 13 Fresno St 14 Connecticut 15 Rice 16 WKU 17 Illinois 18 S Mississippi 19 North Texas 20 East Carolina SCORING OFFENSES 99 California 100 Baylor 101 Tennessee 102 Wake Forest 103 Ball St 104 Florida St 105 USF	ankings because they fe ding. In the upcoming we same that have took on the DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St 7 Louisiana-Lft 8 Purdue 9 Akron 10 New Mexico 11 Miami, Oh 12 Memphis 13 Utah 14 Illinois 15 WKU 16 W Michigan 17 Virginia 18 North Texas 19 S Mississippi 20 UNLV RUSH DEFENSES 99 West Virginia 100 Tulane 99 Akro State 103 Army 104 NC State 105 Air Force	ced the toughest schedd, seks we'll provide example toughest & easiest sci PASS DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall 7 Oregon St 8 North Texas 9 San Jose St 10 New Mexico 11 Arkansas St 12 Kansas St 13 North Cass 9 San Jose St 10 New Mexico 11 Arkansas St 12 Kansas St 13 North Cass 9 San Jose St 10 New Mexico 11 Arkansas St 12 Kansas St 13 North Cass 9 Marni, FI 100 Tulane 101 Texas A&M 102 Stanford 103 Tennessee 104 Ball St 105 Baylor	le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistical hedules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi 7 Hawaii 8 Oregon St 9 San Jose St 10 North Carolina 11 North Texas 12 New Mexico 13 Purdue 14 Memphis 15 Louisiana 16 Arkansas St 17 Utah 18 Kansas St 19 Illinois 20 TCU SCORING DEFENSES 99 Wyoming 100 UCF 101 Miami, Fl 102 Houston 103 Indiana 104 Tennessee 105 Kent St
	H Washington A Toledo H Ohio A Buffalo H Baylor A Penn St H Alabama A NC State H UCF A San Diego St H New Mexico A UAB H SMU A Texas Tech H SMU A Texas Tech H SwU A Tassissippi H Tulane A Stanford H Bowling Gree A Clorado St H Nevada A Virginia H Nevada A Virginia H SC A WKU H Kentucky A Florida Atlant H Michigan St A Nice H Arkansas A Rice H North Texas	14.3 29.7 26.4 26.0 22.5 25.3 33.0 22.7 24.0 28.1 1 20.2 81 3.7 24.0 28.1 1.2 24.0 24.0 24.0 24.0 24.0 24.0 24.0 24	123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 115.2 195.8 222.6 90.5 154.9 00.7 179.0 212.1 136.8 752 213.6 752 136.5 162.5 137.3 195.3 89.2 140.2 79.8 188.5 161.5 165.4 137.9 143.6 154.3 155.3 155.4 15	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.8 5.4 5.4 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.0 4.7 3.0 4.7 4.2 3.8 5.1 3.8 5.1 3.8 5.1 3.8 5.1 3.8 5.1 4.2 5.7 4.7 4.2 3.8 8 4.4 4.5 4.4 5.7	180.6. 284.7 186.5. 242.7 308.7 207.2 222.0.3 243.8 198.9 295.0 295.7 185.5 185.3 233.62 185.3 193.7 235.8 231.5 155.5 173.6 215.2 162.3 266.7 207.2 289.3 231.5 155.5 173.6 212.2 162.3 264.7 206.7 207.0 235.3 230.0 230.0 230.0	575 69.1 661 59.6 60.5 56.2 57.3 60.5 55.2 65.1 59.0 55.1 59.0 55.2 65.1 59.0 55.2 59.0 57.4 67.2 59.0 57.4 67.2 59.0 53.3 61.4 58.1 63.7 52.7 64.6 61.0 56.5 57.9 63.3 55.4 67.2 57.5 57.5 57.5 67.4	31.1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.5 26.5 14.2 8.4 41.3 19.1 31.2 35.6 27.0 23.5 31.0 25.5 27.0 21.3 46.8 27.4 40.0 19.6 32.4 24.1 32.4 24.8 35.2	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 140.8 140.7 167.8 98.8 58.0 200.3 166.2 249.0 148.3 166.2 249.0 148.3 166.2 249.0 148.5 168.8 140.7 169.8 167.7 143.5 155.8 131.3 292.3 215.7 155.8 131.3 292.3 215.7 155.8 131.3 293.4 93.6 117.7 150.0 160.2 187.2	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5\\ 5.0\\ 4.6\\ 3.7\\ 4.4\\ 4.7\\ 3.9\\ 4.2\\ 4.5\\ 3.0\\ 2.1\\ 4.8\\ 4.2\\ 6.1\\ 4.8\\ 4.2\\ 6.1\\ 4.2\\ 3.5\\ 3.7\\ 4.1\\ 4.3\\ 3.5\\ 3.7\\ 4.3\\ 5.4\\ 4.3\\ 5.4\\ 4.3\\ 5.4\\ 4.3\\ 5.4\\ 4.5\\ 3.5\\ 6.4\\ 5.1\\ 3.4\\ 4.4\\ 4.4\\ 4.4\\ 4.4\\ 4.4\\ 4.4\\ 4.4$	179.7 189.4 224.4 224.4 191.0 214.7 255.5 168.0 249.2 171.9 255.5 164.0 339.4 238.7 196.5 164.0 339.4 250.3 245.5 243.3 265.5 243.3 265.5 243.3 265.5 243.3 265.5 245.5 192.7 245.5 180.8 192.7 245.5 180.8 192.7 245.5 245.5 180.8 192.7 245.5 180.8 180.8 180.8 180.8 180.8 180.8 180.8 180.8 180.8 180.8 220.4 220.4 220.4 220.4 220.4 220.8 200.8 20	56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 60.1 50.9 58.4 61.7 55.9 61.7 57.7 50.3 53.6 67.6 67.6 67.7 61.1 64.2 47.0 68.6 67.7 53.8 54.4 67.7 55.5 44.9 67.7 57.7 51.5 53.6 67.6 67.7 51.5 53.6 67.6 67.7 51.5 53.6 67.6 67.7 53.5 60.7 61.7 55.5 60.7 61.7 55.5 60.7 61.7 55.5 60.7 61.7 55.5 60.7 61.7 55.5 60.7 61.7 55.5 60.7 61.7 55.5 60.7 61.7 55.5 60.7 61.7 55.5 60.7 61.7 55.8 55.6 67.6 67.6 67.6 67.6 67.7 55.8 5	NCAA LY. As you can si disparities. These are t RUSH OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 6 Oregon St 7 E Michigan 8 Louisiana 9 Temple 10 UCLA 11 Missouri 12 Connecticut 13 Hawaii 14 Florida Atlantic 15 Utah 16 Arkansas St 17 Memphis 18 North Texas 19 Utah St 20 WKU RUSH OFFENSES 99 California 100 Texas Tech 101 Iowa 102 USC 103 Wyoming 104 Baylor 105 Duke 104 Houston 107 Wake Forest	g 170 ypg, but ranked # se, the NCAA's statistica the current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois 7 Purdue 8 S Mississippi 9 BYU 10 Washington 11 Texas Tech 12 Notre Dame 13 Toledo 14 East Carolina 15 Arkansas St 16 Bowling Green 17 Rice 18 Florida 19 Louisiana 20 W Michigan PASS OFFENSES 99 Florida St 100 NC State 101 UTEP 102 Air Force 103 Rutgers 104 LA Tech 105 Kent St 106 Miami, Fl 107 Indiana	Irankings can be mislee his year. Here are the te SCORING OFFENSES 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St 7 Marshall 8 Arkansas St 9 New Mexico 10 SMU 11 BYU 12 Toledo 13 Fresno St 14 Connecticut 15 Rice 16 WKU 17 Illinois 18 S Mississippi 19 North Texas 20 East Carolina 10 Baylor 101 Tennessee 102 Wake Forest 103 Ball St 104 Florida St 105 San Diego St 107 NC State	ankings because they fe ding. In the upcoming we ame that have took on the BUSH DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St 7 Louisiana-Lft 8 Purdue 9 Akron 10 New Mexico 11 Miami, Oh 12 Memphis 13 Utah 14 Illinois 15 WKU 16 W Michigan 17 Virginia 18 North Texas 19 S Mississippi 20 UNLV RUSH DEFENSES 99 West Virginia 100 Tulane 101 Arkansas 102 Ball St 103 Army 104 NC State 105 Air Force 106 Baylor	ced the toughest schedd, seks we'll provide example he toughest & easiest sci DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall 7 Oregon St 8 North Texas 9 San Jose St 10 New Mexico 11 Arkansa St 13 North Carolina 14 Memphis 15 SMU 16 Colorado St 17 Toledo 18 Hawaii 19 Duke 20 Purdue PASS DEFENSES 99 Miami, Fl 100 Tulane 101 Texas A&M 102 Stanford 103 Tennessee 104 Ball St 105 Baylor 106 Air Force 107 San Diego St	le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistica hedules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi 7 Hawaii 8 Oregon St 9 San Jose St 10 North Carolina 11 North Texas 12 New Mexico 13 Purdue 14 Memphis 15 Louisiana 16 Arkansas St 17 Utah 18 Kansas St 17 Utah 18 Kansas St 19 Illinois 20 TCU SCORING 99 Wyoming 100 UCF 101 Miami, Fl 102 Houston 103 Indiana 104 Tennessee 105 Kent St 106 Buffalo 107 Tulane
A Troy 30.1 139.3 4.2 302.3 61.4 37.3 137.9 4.0 327.8 62.8 110 Arkansas 110 Penn St 110 Kent St 110 Houston 110 Wake Forest 110 Wake For	H Washington A Toledo H Ohio A Buffalo H Baylor A Penn St H Baylor A Penn St H Alabama A NC State H UCF A San Diego St H New Mexico A UAB H SMU A Texas Tech H New Mexico A UAB H SMU A Texas Tech H New Mexico A USSISIPI H Tulane A Stanford H Bowling Gree A Colorado St H Newada A Virginia H USC A WKU H Kentucky A Florida Atlant H Michigan St A Rice A Arkansas St	14.3 29,7 26,4 26,0 22,5 25,3 33,0 24,0 28,1 1 20,2 81,13 24,0 28,1 1 7,2 24,4 24,1 24,1 24,1 24,1 24,1 24,1 24	123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 115.2 195.8 222.6 96.5 154.9 60.7 179.0 212.1 137.8 75.2 136.5 136.5 137.3 195.3 89.2 140.2 396.5 161.5 165.4 137.9 145.3 165.4 137.9 145.3 165.4 137.9 145.3 115.0 2 8.5 165.4 137.9 145.5 165.4 137.9 145.5 165.4 137.9 145.5 165.4 137.9 145.5 165.4 137.9 145.5 165.4 137.9 145.5 165.4 137.9 165.4 145.5 165.4 137.9 165.4 145.5 165.5 175.5 175.	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.8 5.4 5.4 5.4 5.4 4.7 3.0 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7	180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 308.7 207.2 220.3 220.3 290.6 215.9 295.0 99.7 181.1 235.0 335.2 185.3 193.7 231.7 235.8 21.59 23.7 23.8 23.17 215.2 162.3 173.6 212.2 162.3 144.7 266.7 207.0 35.3 230.0 236.8 196.3 236.8 196.3	5755 69.1 66.1 59.6 60.5 56.2 67.3 61.7 65.1 55.1 55.2 46.3 57.9 57.4 65.2 46.3 57.9 57.4 57.9 57.9 57.9 57.9 57.9 57.9 63.8 53.3 61.4 63.7 52.7 64.6 61.0 55.5 57.9 63.8 53.3 61.4 55.7 57.7 52.7 64.6 61.0 55.5 57.9 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5 57.5	31.1 21.9 32.8 21.9 32.8 21.9 32.8 26.5 14.2 8.4 41.3 19.1 31.2 31.2 31.2 31.2 32.5 31.0 19.7 32.8 29.2 33.0 25.5 21.3 46.8 27.4 40.0 32.4 24.1 48.8 27.4	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9 167.8 146.7 182.8 98.8 58.0 200.3 182.8 98.8 58.0 200.3 182.8 98.8 53.3 106.2 249.0 148.3 166.8 140.7 169.8 167.2 196.7 158.3 99.2 155.8 131.3 292.3 215.7 239.4 93.6 117.7 150.0 167.2 140.1	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 4.6 \\ 3.7 \\ 4.4 \\ 4.7 \\ 3.9 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 2.1 \\ 4.8 \\ 4.2 \\ 6.1 \\ 4.2 \\ 6.1 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.3 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.7 \\ 4.1 \\ 4.9 \\ 4.3 \\ 5.4 \\ 4.7 \\ 3.4 \\ 4.2 \\ 3.5 \\ 6.4 \\ 5.1 \\ 6.8 \\ 3.2 \\ 3.4 \\ 4.4 \\ 4.9 \\ 3.7 \\ \end{array}$	179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 214.7 253.6 168.0 190.8 190.8 214.7 190.9 214.7 190.9 214.7 190.9 238.7 196.5 164.0 339.4 259.5 201.8 143.8 229.5 243.3 225.5 243.3 225.5 243.3 225.5 180.8 270.6 280.4 28	56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 59.5 59.5 60.1 55.9 61.7 55.9 61.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 61.1 64.2 47.0 68.6 67.7 61.1 64.2 47.0 68.6 57.7 61.1 64.2 47.0 68.6 57.7 61.1 64.2 47.0 68.6 57.7 61.1 64.2 47.0 68.5 5.5 68.3 54.4 67.5 55.0 68.3 55.0 68.3 55.0 60.3 60.3 60.3 60.5 60.3 60.5 60.3 60.5 60.5 60.5 60.5 60.5 60.5 60.5 60.5	NCAA LY. As you can si disparities. These are t RUSH OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 0 Oregon St 7 E Michigan 8 Louisiana 9 Temple 10 UCLA 11 Missouri 12 Connecticut 13 Hawaii 14 Florida Atlantic 15 Utah 16 Arkansas St 17 Memphis 18 North Texas 19 Utah St 20 WKU RUSH OFFENSES 99 California 100 Texas Tech 101 Iowa 102 USC 103 Wyoming 104 Baylor 105 Duke 106 Houston 107 Wake Forest 106 Tulane	g 170 ypg, but ranked # es, the NCAA's statistica- e current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois 9 BYU 10 Washington 11 Texas Tech 12 Notre Dame 13 Toledo 14 East Carolina 15 Arkansas St 16 Bowling Green 17 Rice 18 Florida 19 Louisiana 20 W Michigan PASS OFFENSES 99 Florida St 100 NC State 101 UTEP 102 Air Force 103 Rutgers 104 LA Tech 105 Kent St 106 Miami, Fl 107 Indiana 108 Ball St	Irankings can be mislee his year. Here are the te SCORING OFFENSES 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St 7 Marshall 8 Arkansas St 9 New Mexico 10 SMU 11 BYU 12 Toledo 13 Fresno St 14 Connecticut 15 Rice 16 WKU 17 Illinois 18 S Mississippi 19 North Texas 20 East Carolina 100 Baylor 101 Tennessee 102 Wake Forest 103 Ball St 104 Florida St 105 USF 106 San Diego St 107 NC State 108 West Virginia	ankings because they fe ding. In the upcoming we warms that have took on the DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St 7 Louisiana-Lft 8 Purdue 9 Akron 10 New Mexico 11 Miami, Oh 12 Memphis 13 Utah 14 Illinois 15 WKU 16 W Michigan 17 Virginia 18 North Texas 19 S Mississippi 20 UNLV RUSH DEFENSES 99 West Virginia 100 Tulane 101 Arkansas 102 Ball St 103 Army 104 NC State 105 Air Force 106 Baylor 107 San Diego St 108 Miami, Fl	ced the toughest schedd, exeks we'll provide example toughest & easiest scl DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall 7 Oregon St 8 North Texas 9 San Jose St 10 New Mexicco 11 Arkansas St 13 North Casas 14 Memphis 15 SMU 16 Colorado St 17 Toledo 18 Hawaii 19 Duke 20 Purdue PASS DEFENSES 99 Miami, FI 100 Tulane 101 Texas A&M 102 Stanford 103 Tennessee 104 Ball St 105 Baylor 106 Idaho	le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistical redules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi 7 Hawaii 8 Oregon St 9 San Jose St 10 North Carolina 11 North Texas 12 New Mexico 13 Purdue 14 Memphis 15 Louisiana 16 Arkansas St 17 Utah 18 Kansas St 19 Illinois 20 TCU SCORING DEFENSES 99 Wyoming 100 UCF 101 Miami, FI 102 Houston 103 Indiana 104 Tennessee 105 Kent St 106 La Tech
A Rutgers 30.2 129.3 3.4 172.7 48.4 19.3 104.8 3.1 224.2 52.8 112 Kent St 112 Ohio 112 UTEP 112 UTEP 112 UTEP 112 UTEP	H Washington A Tolede H Ohio A Buffalo H Baylor A Penn St H Baylor A Penn St H AcState H UCF A San Diego St H Vew Mexico A UAB H SMU A Texas Tech H New Mexico A Toxas Tech H New Mexico A Toxas A Toxas A Troy	14.3. 29.7 26.4 26.0 22.5 33.0 22.5 33.0 24.0 28.1 1.2 24.1 24.1 20.4 31.0 26.8 34.0 20.4 31.0 26.8 34.0 20.7 20.7 24.3 21.5 34.0 20.7 24.7 20.7 24.7 21.5 34.0 20.7 24.7 20.7 24.7 20.7 24.7 24.7 20.7 24.7 20.7 24.7 20.7 24.7 20.7 24.7 20.7 24.7 20.7 24.7 20.7 20.7 21.5 34.0 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20.7 20	123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 115.2 222.6 90.5 154.9 90.7 179.0 212.1 137.8 75.2 136.5 137.3 195.3 89.2 396.5 79.8 188.5 79.8 188.5 79.8 184.2 79.8 184.5 161.5 165.1 165.4 16	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.0 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3	180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 308.7 207.2 222.3 243.8 198.9 295.0 99.7 181.1 235.0 135.2 231.5 155.5 173.6 217.2 162.3 146.7 266.1 268.3 231.5 155.5 173.6 217.2 162.3 144.7 266.1 267.0 323.0 233.3 230.0 236.3 230.0 236.3 196.3 261.3 302.0 236.3 236.3 302.0 236.3 302.3	57.5 69.1 66.1 59.6 59.6 60.5 56.2 57.7 65.1 55.2 56.3 57.4 67.2 57.4 67.2 50.9 63.8 53.3 53.4 63.7 52.7 64.6 61.0 56.5 57.9 63.3 55.4 67.2 57.5 67.4 58.1 61.0 56.5 57.4 61.0 56.5 57.4 58.1 61.2 57.5 67.4 58.1 59.1 61.4	31.1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.3 26.5 14.2 8.4 41.3 19.1 31.2 33.1.8 35.6 27.0 23.5 27.0 23.1.0 19.7 39.3 28.3 31.0 19.7 33.0 25.5 27.0 23.3.0 25.5 27.1 36.8 27.4 40.0 19.6 32.4 24.1 48.8 35.2 27.4 30.7 37.3	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 132.3 170.9 167.8 146.7 182.8 98.8 58.0 200.3 53.3 166.2 249.0 148.3 166.2 249.0 148.3 166.2 169.8 167.2 196.7 143.5 158.3 99.2 155.8 158.3 99.2 155.8 131.3 292.3 215.7 239.4 93.6 117.7 150.0 160.2 187.2 140.1 205.0 137.9	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5\\ 5.0\\ 4.6\\ 3.7\\ 4.4\\ 4.7\\ 3.9\\ 4.2\\ 3.9\\ 4.2\\ 3.0\\ 2.1\\ 4.8\\ 1.8\\ 4.2\\ 6.1\\ 4.2\\ 6.1\\ 4.2\\ 6.1\\ 4.2\\ 4.3\\ 3.5\\ 3.7\\ 4.1\\ 4.9\\ 4.3\\ 3.5\\ 3.4\\ 4.4\\ 4.9\\ 3.4\\ 4.4\\ 4.9\\ 4.4\\ 3.7\\ 5.0\\ 4.0\\ 4.0\\ \end{array}$	179.7 189.4 224.4 191.0 224.7 191.0 214.7 255.5 168.0 190.8 238.7 164.0 339.4 250.3 164.0 339.4 250.3 164.0 339.4 250.3 164.0 339.4 250.3 165.5 164.0 339.4 250.5 165.5 165.5 165.5 165.5 165.5 180.8 192.7 180.6 243.3 227.3 326.5 180.6 220.4 270.6 231.3 323.2 209.8 237.4 235.2 235.2 235.2 207.6 231.3 232.2 207.6 235.2 207.6 237.4 235.2 235.2 237.4 235.2 235.2 235.2 237.4 235.2 235.2 237.4 235.2 235.2 235.2 235.2 235.2 235.5 237.4 235.2 235.2 235.2 235.2 237.4 235.2 25	56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 55.5 60.1 55.9 61.7 55.9 61.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 61.1 64.2 47.0 68.6 67.7 61.4 59.8 54.7 61.4 59.8 54.7 61.4 59.8 54.7 61.4 59.8 54.7 61.5 64.2 65.7 61.4 59.8 54.7 61.5 55.9 61.3 58.3 58.9 61.3 58.3 58.9 61.3 58.3 58.9 61.3 58.3 58.9 61.3 58.3 58.9 61.3 58.3 58.9 61.3 58.3 58.9 61.3 58.5 61.7 67.5 61.4 59.5 55.9 61.4 59.5 61.7 67.5 67.5 67.5 67.5 61.4 59.5 67.7 67.5 67.5 67.5 67.5 67.5 67.5 67	NCAA LY As you can si disparities. These are t RUSH OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 6 Oregon St 7 E Michigan 8 Louisiana 9 Temple 10 UCLA 11 Missouri 12 Connecticut 13 Hawaii 14 Florida Atlantic 15 Utah 16 Arkansas St 17 Memphis 18 North Texas 19 Utah St 20 WKU RUSH OFFENSES 99 California 100 Texas Tech 101 Iowa 102 USC 103 Wyoming 104 Baylor 105 Duke 106 Houston 107 Wake Forest 108 Tulane 109 Texas A&M 110 Arkansas	g 170 ypg, but ranked # ec, the NCAA's statistica- e current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois 7 Purdue 8 S Mississippi 9 BYU 10 Washington 11 Texas Tech 12 Notre Dame 13 Toledo 14 East Carolina 15 Arkansas St 16 Bowling Green 17 Rice 18 Florida 19 Louisiana 20 W Michigan PASS OFFENSES 99 Florida St 100 NC State 101 UTEP 99 Florida St 100 NC State 101 UTEP 102 Air Force 103 Rutgers 104 LA Tech 105 Kent St 106 Miami, Fl 107 Indiana 108 Ball St 109 Temple 110 Penn St	Irankings can be mislee his year. Here are the te SCORING OFFENSES 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St 7 Marshall 8 Arkansas St 9 New Mexico 10 SMU 11 BYU 12 Toledo 13 Fresno St 14 Connecticut 15 Rice 16 WKU 17 Illinois 18 S Mississippi 19 North Texas 20 East Carolina 20 Baylor 101 Tennessee 102 Wake Forest 103 Ball St 104 Florida St 105 QSF 107 NC State 108 West Virginia 109 Cent Michigan 110 Kent St	ankings because they fe ding. In the upcoming we same that have took on the DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St 7 Louisiana-Lft 8 Purdue 9 Akron 10 New Mexico 11 Miami, Oh 12 Memphis 13 Utah 14 Illinois 15 WKU 16 W Michigan 17 Virginia 18 North Texas 19 S Mississippi 20 UNLV RUSH DEFENSES 99 West Virginia 100 Tulane 101 Arkansas 102 Ball St 103 Army 104 NC State 105 Air Force 106 Baylor 107 San Diego St 108 Wiani, Fl 109 Wyoming 110 Houston	ced the toughest schedd, seeks we'll provide example he toughest & easiest sci PASS DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall 7 Oregon St 8 North Texas 9 San Jose St 10 New Mexico 11 Arkansas St 13 North Carolina 14 Memphis 15 SMU 16 Colorado St 17 Toledo 18 Hawaii 19 Duke 20 Purdue PASS DEFENSES 99 Miami, FI 100 Tulane 101 Texas A&M 102 Stanford 103 Tennessee 104 Ball St 105 Baylor 106 Air Force 107 San Diego St 108 Idaho 109 Nevada 110 Wake Forest	le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistical hedules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi 7 Hawaii 8 Oregon St 9 San Jose St 10 North Carolina 11 North Carolina 11 North Texas 12 New Mexico 13 Purdue 14 Memphis 15 Louisiana 16 Arkansas St 17 Utah 18 Kansas St 19 Illinois 20 TCU SCORING DEFENSES 99 Wyoming 100 UCF 101 Miami, FI 102 Houston 103 Indiana 104 Tennessee 105 Kent St 106 Buffalo 107 Tulane 108 LA Tech 109 Air Force 110 Wake Forest
H FIU 28.0 140.6 3.6 214.0 54.5 31.2 181.6 4.5 304.4 65.3 113 UTEP 113 San Diego St 113 Air Force 113 Wake Forest 113 UCF 113 San Diego	H Washington A Toledo H Ohio A Buffalo H Baylor A Penn St H Jabama A NC State H UCF A San Diego St H New Mexico A UAB H SMU A Texas Tech H North Texas A Arkansas St H Louisiana A Troy H Oklahoma St	14.3. 29.7. 26.4. 26.0. 22.5. 25.3. 33.0. 22.5. 24.0. 28.1 1. 20.4. 24.0. 24.0. 24.1. 24.0. 24.1. 24.1. 20.4. 31.0. 26.8. 31.0. 27.7. 21.6. 31.8. 28.9. 27.7. 21.6. 31.8. 28.9. 27.7. 21.6. 31.8. 28.9. 27.7. 21.6. 31.8. 28.9. 27.7. 21.5	123.5 145.9 124.1 119.7 154.7 115.2 195.8 222.6 96.5 154.9 00.7 179.0 212.1 137.8 75.2 136.5 162.5 137.3 195.3 89.2 140.2 79.8 188.5 161.5 165.4 137.9 143.6 154.3 165.4 135.7 9 143.6 154.3 155.0 154.0 154.0 154.0 154.0 154.0 154.0 154.0 154.0 154.0 154.0 154.0 154.0 154.0 154.0 154.0 154.0 155	5.2 3.4 4.2 4.1 3.8 4.4 3.9 5.3 3.8 2.3 4.5 5.4 4.7 3.0 4.7 3.0 4.7 3.8 5.1 3.8 5.1 3.8 5.1 3.8 5.1 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.4 4.7 3.8 5.1 3.8 5.1 3.8 5.7 4.7 3.8 5.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4.7 4	180.6 284.7 186.5 242.7 308.7 207.2 222.3 228.3 198.9 295.0 295.0 99.7 181.1 235.2 185.3 193.7 231.5 155.5 173.6 215.9 162.3 173.6 231.5 155.5 173.6 231.7 266.7 207.0 231.5 155.5 173.6 231.7 266.7 207.0 235.3 235.3 235.3 251.3 302.3 187.0	57.5 66.1 59.6 60.5 56.2 57.3 66.1 55.2 57.9 65.1 55.2 57.9 57.4 67.2 59.0 57.4 67.2 59.0 63.3 61.4 56.5 57.9 63.3 55.4 67.2 57.9 63.3 55.4 57.9 63.3 55.4 57.5 67.2 57.5 67.4 58.1 59.1 59.2 67.4 58.1 59.4 58.1 57.5 67.4 58.1 59.6 61.4 56.6	31.1 21.9 32.8 21.9 39.4 18.0 22.5 8.4 41.3 19.1 31.2 35.6 27.0 23.5 27.0 23.3 29.2 21.3 33.0 25.5 27.0 21.3 33.0 25.5 27.0 21.3 32.4 40.0 19.7 32.4 44.8 35.2 27.4 48.8 35.2 27.4 48.8 35.2 27.3 30.7 37.3 23.5	44.7 220.6 164.3 140.8 140.8 140.7 167.8 98.8 58.0 200.3 166.2 249.0 148.3 166.2 249.0 148.3 166.2 249.0 148.3 166.8 140.7 169.8 167.7 143.5 158.3 158.3 158.5 158.3 299.2 155.8 131.3 299.2 157.7 150.0 209.4 93.6 117.7 150.0 209.4 93.6 117.7 150.0 209.4 93.6 117.7 150.0 209.4 93.6 137.9 87.9 157	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5\\ 5.0\\ 4.6\\ 3.7\\ 4.4\\ 4.7\\ 3.9\\ 4.2\\ 4.5\\ 3.0\\ 2.1\\ 4.8\\ 4.2\\ 6.1\\ 4.2\\ 6.1\\ 4.2\\ 4.3\\ 3.5\\ 3.7\\ 4.1\\ 4.2\\ 3.5\\ 6.4\\ 4.3\\ 5.4\\ 4.2\\ 3.5\\ 6.4\\ 5.1\\ 3.4\\ 4.2\\ 3.5\\ 6.4\\ 3.2\\ 3.4\\ 4.4\\ 3.7\\ 5.0\\ 4.9\\ 4.4\\ 3.7\\ 5.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3$	179.7 189.4 224.4 224.4 191.0 214.7 255.6 168.0 190.8 181.0 219.2 255.5 243.3 220.3 238.7 245.5 180.8 192.7 245.5 180.8 180.8 192.7 245.5 180.8 180.8 192.7 245.5 180.8 180.8 192.7 245.5 180.8 220.4 270.8 232.2 203.8 232.2 203.8 232.2 203.8 232.2 203.8 232.4 232.8 247.8 24	56.8 51.8 58.4 62.1 59.5 60.1 50.9 53.5 60.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 57.7 61.7 64.2 47.0 67.6 67.7 61.7 64.2 47.0 68.6 67.7 61.4 47.0 68.6 67.7 53.5 16.7 53.5 53.6 60.7 61.7 55.5 44.2 47.0 68.6 67.7 55.5 45.5 55.5 60.7 55.5 60.7 61.7 55.5 60.7 61.7 55.5 60.7 61.7 55.5 60.7 61.7 55.5 60.7 61.7 55.5 60.7 61.7 55.5 60.7 61.7 55.5 60.7 61.7 55.5 60.7 61.7 55.5 60.7 61.7 55.5 60.7 61.7 55.5 60.7 55.5 60.7 61.7 55.5 60.7 61.7 55.5 60.7 61.7 55.5 60.3 55.5 60.3 55.5 60.3 55.5 60.3 55.5 60.8	NCAA LY. As you can si disparities. These are t RUSH OFFENSES 1 New Mexico 2 Ohio 3 Marshall 4 UNLV 5 San Jose St 6 Oregon St 7 E Michigan 8 Louisiana 9 Temple 10 UCLA 11 Missouri 12 Connecticut 13 Hawaii 14 Florida Atlantic 15 Utah 16 Arkansas St 17 Memphis 18 North Texas 19 Utah St 20 WKU RUSH OFFENSES 99 California 100 Texas Tech 101 Iowa 102 USC 103 Wyoming 104 Baylor 105 Duke 106 Houston 107 Wake Forest 108 Arkansas 111 NC State	g 170 ypg, but ranked # ec, the NCAA's statistica- e current rankings for 1 PASS OFFENSES 1 SMU 2 Utah St 3 USC 4 Miami, Oh 5 Fresno St 6 Illinois 7 Purdue 8 S Mississippi 9 BYU 10 Washington 11 Texas Tech 12 Notre Dame 13 Toledo 14 East Carolina 15 Arkansas St 16 Bowling Green 17 Rice 18 Florida 19 Louisiana 20 W Michigan 17 Rice 18 Florida 19 Louisiana 20 W Michigan 17 Rice 100 NC State 101 UTEP 102 Air Force 103 Rutgers 104 LA fech 105 Kent St 106 Miami, Fl 107 Indiana 108 Ball St 109 Temple 110 Penn St 111 E Michigan	Irankings can be mislee his year. Here are the te SCORING OFFENSES 1 Louisiana 2 Utah St 3 Oregon St 4 Miami, Oh 5 UNLV 6 San Jose St 7 Marshall 8 Arkansas St 9 New Mexico 10 SMU 11 BYU 12 Toledo 13 Fresno St 14 Connecticut 15 Rice 16 WKU 17 Illinois 18 S Mississippi 19 North Texas 20 East Carolina 100 Baylor 101 Tennessee 102 Wake Forest 103 Ball St 104 Florida St 105 USF 106 San Diego St 107 NC State 108 West Virginia 109 Cent Michigan 110 Kent St 111 Penn St 112 UTEP	ankings because they fe ding. In the upcoming we same that have took on the DEFENSES 1 Marshall 2 Hawaii 3 Rice 4 Oregon St 5 San Jose St 6 Utah St 7 Louisiana-Lft 8 Purdue 9 Akron 10 New Mexico 11 Miami, Oh 12 Memphis 13 Utah 14 Illinois 15 WKU 16 W Michigan 17 Virginia 10 North Texas 19 S Mississippi 20 UNLV RUSH DEFENSES 99 West Virginia 100 Tulane 101 Arkansas 102 Ball St 103 Army 104 NC State 105 Air Force 106 Baylor 107 San Diego St 108 Miami, Fl 109 Wyoming 110 Houston 111 Kent St 112 UTEP	iced the toughest schedd, seks we'll provide example te toughest & easiest scl PASS DEFENSES 1 Rice 2 WKU 3 Utah St 4 Miami, Oh 5 S Mississippi 6 Marshall 7 Oregon St 8 North Texas 9 San Jose St 10 New Mexico 11 Arkansas St 12 Kansas St 13 North Carolina 14 Memphis 15 SMU 16 Colorado St 17 Toledo 18 Hawaii 19 Duke 20 Purdue PASS DEFENSES 99 Miami, Fl 100 Tulane 101 Texas A&M 102 Stanford 103 Tennessee 104 Ball St 105 Baylor 106 Air Force 107 San Diego St 108 Idaho 109 Nevada 110 Wake Forest 111 Temple 112 UTEP	le of rush offenses in the es of this year's statistical hedules last year. SCORING DEFENSES 1 Utah St 2 WKU 3 Marshall 4 Rice 5 Miami, Oh 6 S Mississippi 7 Hawaii 8 Oregon St 9 San Jose St 10 North Carolina 11 North Texas 12 New Mexico 13 Purdue 14 Memphis 15 Louisiana 16 Arkansas St 17 Utah 18 Kansas St 19 Illinois 20 TCU SCORING DEFENSES 99 Wyoming 100 UCF 101 Miami, FI 102 Houston 103 Indiana 104 Tennessee 105 Kent St 106 Buffalo 107 Tulane 108 LA Tech 109 Air Force 110 Wake Forest 111 Baylor

NOTE: Power Plays - PP EGE FOOTBALL September 9-11th, 2010

Welcome to this week's issue of Power Plays. The Power Plays Newsletter consists of forecasts that are made by a special Power Plays rating system. This is a system developed many years ago and we regard it very highly. In our final analysis of the plays the Power Plays forecast takes up anywhere from 25 to 30% of our total analysis. You will see in the Power Plays Newsletter in the write-ups that we agree with the majority of the Power Plays forecasts. However, there are times when we will disagree with the Power Plays forecast. We want to make it clear that this is the Power Plays Newsletter and these forecasts are based purely on the power ratings. You should never use just one method, system or angle to determine your handicapping. The times that we disagree with the Power Plays Newsletter we will clearly note it. If the Power Plays rating is strong enough to be a 4 + Play, yet we are on the other side of the game, we will clearly state that we like the other side of the game. With all that said here are this week's Power Plays Selections

		lays ociections	5.		
	THURS	DÂY, SEPTEM	BER 9th		
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams
AUBURN	166	240	29	3.0	-
MISSISSIPPI ST	179	250	33	2.6	~
The favorite is 13-5 ATS in	n this SEC W	est matchup.			
	NO PLAY: I	MISS ST 33	AUBURN 29)	
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams
CENTRAL MICHIGAN	111	195	20	2.8	-
TEMPLE	204	195	32	1.8	~~
CM is 2-0 SU but the hon	ne team is 2-	0 ATS in this se	eries.		

NO PLAY: TEMPLE 32 CENTRAL MICHIGAN 20

We will pick the strongest play and release it as our Thursday Night Marquee Winner after 3:00 pm ET. We started our Thursday Night Marquees with an easy Winner on Ohio St over Marshall last week. See below for details on how to get this week's Winner!!

	FRIDA Yards Rushing	Y, SEPTEMBE Yards Passing	ER 10th Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams
WEST VIRGINIA	180	198	29	2.1	-
MARSHALL	126	198	15	2.7	-
WV is 9-0 winning by a					
ŇO	PLAY: WEST	VIRGINIA 2	9 MARSHA	LL 15	
	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams
UTEP	193	240	29	2.8	_
HOUSTON	202	440	53	2.4	~
UTEP has covered 3 in	a row in this se	eries.			

NO PLAY: HOUSTON 53 UTEP 29

With 2 games to choose from we will pick the strongest and release it as our Friday Night Marguee Play. See below for details on how to get this week's Winner

GET MARQUEE WINNERS ON THURSDAY AND Call after **3:00 pm ET on both Thursday and Friday for you winners!** Just ^{\$}15 each 2 Games 2 Games MIDWEEK MARQUEE PLAYS Friday Thursday \$AVE BY USING YOUR NORTHCOAST DEBIT CARD - Pay Only \$9 ‡ 15 @ 1-900-438-9467 ON THE PRIVATE PLAY HOTLINE 900 LINE

SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 11th Special Teams Yards Yards Turn Rushing Passing Points Overs GEORGIA TECH 287 98 29 1.8 ノノ KANSAS 218 21 154 3.1 GT is 6-0-1 ATS in non-conf AG's but KU is 6-2 ATS hosting non-conf teams. KU is off a disappointing loss to FCS ND St, but PP calls for them to stay within the spread here. 4★ KANSAS (+) 21 GEORGIA TECH 29 Yards Passing Special Teams Turn Rushing Points Overs MEMPHIS 160 238 25 2.7 EAST CAROLINA 34 126 323 2.2 ノノ Two new CUSA coaches and EC is off a stunning win over Tulsa on a Hail Mary pass with no time left while Memphis is off a blowout loss to Miss St. No line on this at presstime. **NO PLAY: EAST CAROLINA 34 MEMPHIS 25** Yards Yards Turn Special Teams Rushing Passing Points Overs 200 2.6 GEORGIA 153 28 VV SOUTH CAROLINA 137 280 29 22 PP calls this right around the line showing SC escaping with a 1 pt win over UGA, but UGA has won 7 of the last 8 in this always tight series. We think this is a toss-up. NO PLAY: SOUTH CAROLINA 29 GEORGIA 28 Turn Overs Special Teams Yards Yards Passing Rushing Points DUKE 115 283 26 1.7 WAKE FOREST 226 V 203 34 23 WF has won 10 in a row over Duke but has been outgained in 7 of those. PP calls for WF to win by 8 (line 5'), but we lean with the dog Duke NO PLAY: WAKE FOREST 34 DUKE 26 Yards Yards Rushing Passing Points Turn Overs Special Teams HAWAII 82 308 28 3.0 ~~ ARMY 274 108 26 2.0 Hawaii's furthest trip East in school history and PP calls for them to pull the upset (+2). Both offenses are completely different and difficult to prepare for, but we like UH as long as they are the dog.

1 3	4★ HAWAII	(+) (if Dog)	28 ARMY 2	` ñ	0
	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams
SAN JOSE ST	65	105	9	3.0	_
WISCONSIN	330	270	47	2.1	~~
The 2nd of B2B lon	g trips for SJSt to	play another T	op 15 BCS te	eam and PP	calls for anoth

ther blowout loss, but pegs this right around the line. We like Wisconsin in this one.

NO PLAY: WISCONSIN 47 SAN JOSE ST 9

	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams
USF	119	153	19	2.5	-
FLORIDA	187	298	38	2.5	~~

UF comes off a rough opener in which the defense's 4 int created the misleading 34-12 win (outFD'd 16-12, ydg even) over Miami OH. PP calls for UF to win by 19 with a 485-272 yd edge, but we disagree with PP and like USF plus the points.

NO PLAY: FLORIDA 38 USF 19									
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special				
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams				
IDAHO	67	183	13	3.1	-				
NEBRASKA	234	288	40	1.9	~~				

PP calls for NU to win by 27 which is right around the line with a 522-250 yd edge. We like Nebraska and they cashed as a 3★ Small College play for us last week.

,	NO PLAY: N	EBRĂSKA 4	DIDAHO 1	3	
	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams
LSU	198	213	32	1.8	~
VANDERBILT	118	203	13	1.9	-

LSU was lucky to face the susp-riddled NC squad LW and held on for a 6 pt win while Vandy ply'd better than expected coming up just short vs NW. PP calls for LSU to win by 19 (line 10') but the ydg forecast only gives LSU a 411-321 yd edge. Pts say LSU, yds say Vandy which means No play.

NO PLAY LSU 32 VANDERBILT 13									
	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams				
IOWA ST	120	155	15	3.0	-				
IOWA	205	270	31	2.7	~				
ISU is 7-5 SU and 10-2	ATS and the d	og is 7-2 ATS	but the home	team is 5-1	ATS, PP call	s for			

lowa to win by 16 (line 13') with a massive 475-275 yd edge.

	3★ IO	wa 31 Iow <i>a</i>	A ST 15			
	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams	
COLORADO	77	223	21	2.6	_	
CALIFORNIA	189	253	33	2.5	~	
0 1 1 0 T 1 1 1 0 1			(

Cal HC Tedford is 6-0 SU and 5-1 ATS at home vs non-conf BCS teams. PP calls for Cal to win by 12 (line 7) with a 442-300 vd edge.

4★ CALIFORNIA 33 COLORADO 21									
	Yards	Yards	De lasta	Turn	Special				
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams				
FLORIDA ST	129	243	30	2.8	-				
OKLAHOMA	197	353	35	2.7	~				
PP calls for OU to	win by just 5 (line 8') but with a 55	0-372 vd eda	e We aaree	with the vard	ane			

forecast in this one and like Oklahoma.

NO PLAY: OKLAHOMA 35 FLORIDA ST 30							
	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams		
MICHIGAN							
	173	190	24	2.5	-		
NOTRE DAME	137	295	25	2.6	-		
The vicitor is 2.0 A	TS with 5 straight up	cote in South	Rond and 6 c	trupcote ove	vrall in the co		

The visitor is 2-9 ATS with 5 straight upsets in South Bend and 6 str upsets overall in the series. PP calls for UM to keep it close and the Wolverines are getting 4'.

2★ MICHIGAN (+) 24 NOTRE DAME 25						
	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams	
EASTERN MICHIGAN	163	63	18	2.7	_	
MIAMI, OH	188	278	37	2.9	-	

Miami was surprising LW in the Swamp outFD'ing the Gators with the ydg even. PP and the linesmakers reacted by making Miami a 16' pt HF which is an unusual role for them. PP says the RedHawks win by 19, but we will pass.

NO PLAY: MIAMI OH 37 EASTERN MICHIGAN 18							
	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams		
KENT ST	52	188	11	3.0	~		
BOSTON COLLEGE	194	228	34	3.0	_		

BC is 13-0 SU and 10-2-1 ATS vs MAC teams and PP calls for a 23 pt win (line 17') with a 422-240 vd edge. We will pass on this one for now

E lo ya oago. Ho hill j						
	NO PLAY: BOST	ON COLLEG	F 34 KENT	ST 11		
	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams	
MIAMI, FL	54	213	20	3.1	_	
OHIO ŚT	212	238	30	1.8	-	
D						

Rematch of the 2002 National Championship game and PP is calling this right at the line but gives the Bucks a 450-267 yd edge.

NO PLAY: OHIO ST 30 MIAMI FL 20								
	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams			
BYU	123	260	29	2.8	_			
AIR FORCE	257	120	25	1.7	-			
BVII has covered 6	straight in this sor	ioc hy an ava	of 12 nng an	d the SII wir	nor is 22-1 AT			

red b straight in this series by an avg of 12 ppg and the SU winner is 22-1 ATS. PP calls for BYU to win by 4 and the line opened at Even.

4★ BYU 29 AIR FORCE 25								
	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams			
UNLV	92	188	20	2.2	-			
UTAH	229	278	38	1.8	~			

Utah is 10-1 SU and 7-3-1 ATS vs UNLV in MWC play with their avg win by 18 ppg which is exactly where PP pegs it again. The line opened at 21', but we like Utah in this one. NO PLAY: UTAH 38 UNLV 20

Ν

N

	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special		Yards	Yards		Turn	Special
005000	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	MICOLOGIERI	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams
OREGON TENNESSEE	272 124	163 193	36 21	2.2 3.7	~~	MISSISSIPPI TULANE	239 51	210 170	35 11	2.7 2.7	~~
UO's 1st trip to the heat					pt win and a 435-	It should be simple beca					? This is why you
317 yd edge over the Vols.	This forecast is c	lose to the line,	but we think t	the Ducks ar	e worth a look.	buy PP. The Rebels have o	one of the bigge	st matchup ed	ges and finisl		
	1 ★ OREG Yards	ON 36 TENN Yards	IESSEE 21	Turn	Special		4.5★ MISS Yards	SISSIPPI 35 1 Yards	ULANE 11	Turn	Special
BOWLING GREEN	Rushing	Passing 233	Points	Overs 2.4	Teams	STANFORD	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams
TULSA	95 241	233 328	24 43	2.4 1.6	~~~	UCLA	203 157	215 255	33 29	2.5 2.7	_
The Hurricane offense	had no problei	m moving the I	ball but their	defense als	o struggled. This	Check out the yards and	d you know who	PP likes. While	e the forecast	calls for a sn	
week PP is calling for Tuls	a to have a 569	-328 yd edge a	and finish with	n an 18 poir	it win.	we'll grab the Bruins as th	ey're projected	to only get out	gained 418-4	12 are gettin	g a TD at home
N	O PLAY: TULS Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	47	CUCLA 29 (if Yards	Yards		D 33 Turn	Special
WYOMING	Rushing -2	Passing 128	Points 5	Overs 2.9	Teams —	COLORADO ST	Rushing 108	Passing 210	Points 19	Overs 2.7	Teams
TEXAS	227	268	37	2.9	_	NEVADA	272	225	38	1.6	~
The Cowboys will strug						The Rams are off a 24-	3 loss to Colora	do in which th	ey only gaine	d 245 yds. N	evada did put u
for Wyoming. Texas finishe		26 yard edge bi TEXAS 37 W		as the line is	s Texas - 28.	553 yds vs E Wash and do	bes have revence O PLAY: NEV				8 yd edge.
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	IN IN	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special
LOUISIANA TECH	Rushing 92	Passing 218	Points 19	Overs 2.3	Teams	VIRGINIA	Rushing 75	Passing 170	Points 17	Overs 2.6	Teams —
TEXAS A&M	204	333	40	2.0	_	USC	235	285	34	2.1	✓
We all know the A&M of	offense is loade	d and the got the	he season sta	arted with 3	3 FD's & 539 yds	This is a mixed forecas Trojans are projected to fir	t as PP only ha	s USC winning	y by 17 pts, bi	ut if you look	at the yards the
of offense vs SFA. This we 2	★ TEXAS A8				yu euge.	inojans are projected to in		USC 34 VIF			SC Hele.
_	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams		Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams
SYRACUSE	130	193	21	2.7	_	WKU	170	123	16	2.9	_
WASHINGTON	151	298	28	1.6		KENTUCKY	256	263	39	1.5	~~
An unusual trip for the Syracuse program is on the						WKU has an aggressive vs their in-state rival but th					
	8★ SYRACUS	SE (+) 21 WA		28			NO PLAY:	KENTUCKY			
	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams		Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams
TOLEDO	131	205	19	3.7	-	FLORIDA ATLANTIC	63	218	16	2.3	
OHIO Toledo was extremely e	154 excited agina inte	225 Last Fri's game	31 ≏vs Ariz but w	2.4 as mauled a	11-2 The Bohcats	MICHIGAN ST † Detroit, MI - MSU's ru	263 In game looks ii	258 n fine shane at	41 iter rushina fo	1.9 r 297 vd I W	
have covered 9 of their las						calling for another balance	d attack with th	e Spartans fin	ishing with a	521-281 yd e	
	3★ O Yards	HIO 31 TOLE Yards	DO 19	Turn	Special	NO PL	AY: MICHIGA Yards	N ST 41 FLC	orida atla	ANTIC 16	Special
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams		Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams
BUFFALO BAYLOR	148 163	173 248	17 23	2.6 2.4	~	ULM ARKANSAS	103 198	193 398	14 49	3.1 1.4	~~
Baylor has exciting QB						† Little Rock, AR - While t					
321 yd edge. The Bulls ha	ve covered 10 d	of 12 as an AD	incl an upset	at UTEP L	ſ.	season. Ark had 519 yds LW	I and is projected	d to top that with	n a 596-296 yd	l edge and we	
	2★ BUFF/ Yards	ALO (+) 17 B	AYLOR 23	Turn	Special		NO PLAY: A Yards	ARKANSAS Yards	49 ULM 14	Turn	Special
PENN ST	Rushing 142	Passing 158	Points 20	Overs 2.2	Teams —	RICE	Rushing 169	Passing 210	Points 31	Overs 2.0	Teams ✔
ALABAMA	209	273	32	1.4	_	NORTHTEXAS	181	285	33	2.0	-
The National Champs						Both team are on the ris					
PP is calling for the Tide to	o finish with a 4 NO PLAY: A				o we'll pass.	to finish with a 466-379 ye	NO PLAY: NO) 1-1.
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special		Yards	Yards		Turn	Special
NC STATE	Rushing 50	Passing 278	Points 27	Overs 2.3	Teams —	ARKANSAS ST	Rushing 133	Passing 203	Points 29	Overs 2.7	Teams —
UCF	161	208	27	1.4	\checkmark	LOUISIANA	163	213	26	2.5	✓
UCF as a program wou					so. While PP calls	Both traveled to face S					
for a tie UCF is projected		: UCF 27 NC		gnis nere.		vs Georgia. PP knows bet	4★ ARKANS				ne winner.
	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams		Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams
SAN DIEGO ST	164	280	33	2.3	_	TROY	123	255	25	3.1	-
NEW MEXICO ST	136 (haire a since h	160	16	2.1	— Dama Under Dalt"	OKLAHOMA ST	177	270	39	2.5	- 17
SD St is in a new role o advantage. PP is calling for						Oklahoma St's new spr offense put up 475 yds an	d PP proiects C	SU to finish w	ith a 447-378	vd edae hei	re.
	★ SAN DIÉG	0 ST 33 NEV		ST 16			3 ★ ÓKLA	HOMA ST 3		, ,	
	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams		Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams
UAB	198	128	22	2.4	_	RUTGERS	204	210	31	1.0	-
SMU Both teams off losses a	58 nd while PP is ca	323 alling for SMU to	33 5 have a 381-3	3.0 326 vd edae	it doesn't take the	FIU Rutgers took care of bu	61 usiness vs Norf	260 olk St LW and	18 while the fore	3.1 ecast is callir	– na for 414 vds o
short week into considerati	ion. LY most tea	ms struggled pl	aying on a sh			offense by the Knights PP	has FIU with 32	21. We'll grab a	an excited HD	getting alm	ost 3 TD's.
	NO PL Yards	AY: SMU 33 Yards	UAB 22	Turn	Special		4★ FIU	(+) 18 ŘUTO	ERS 31		
TEVACTEOU	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams		97				\ <u>_</u>
TEXAS TECH NEW MEXICO	111 124	405 190	45 18	2.4 3.4	~~~ _	• EARI	J B		SPE	JGU.	NL O
Tech's offense got the se	eason started wi	ith 431 yds vs S	MU while New	v Mexico go		Het voll?	2011	subs	กการศ	inní	
and outgained 720-107. Th	ie yards are a lo	t closer TW with	h TT having a	projected 5				DW ali			
NC) PLAY: TEXA			ο 18							TI
ST	RE	IA]	K 12	R	5	SEO		5		Lo	a ne
Each week STREAK						YUY	<u>OK</u>	ΥI		PRI	EST
starts after 3 Wins/L	USSES/UVErS/	CUNCERS SO 1	uus secuor	i will star	i ii anv teams	Download Only					2 V

starts after 3 Wins/Losses/Overs/Unders so this section will start if any teams qualify during the fourth week of the season. Remember you can win many times during a streak and lose only once. STREAKERS WILL BEGIN AFTER 3

15 OF DI

	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams	
MISSISSIPPI	239	210	35	2.7	~~	
TULANE	51	170	11	2.7	-	
It should be simple beca	ause Tulane bea	t a IAA tm and	Ole Miss lost	to one, right	? This is why yo	วน

NO PLAY: USC 34 VIRGINIA 17									
	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams				
	•				Teams				
NKU	170	123	16	2.9	-				
KENTUCKY	256	263	39	1.5	~~				
WKU has an aggressive									
/s their in-state rival but th	ne projection her	re is for the Wi	Idcats to have	a 519-293	yd advantage.				
	NÓ PLAY: I	KENTUCKY	39 WKU 16						

	NU PLAT:		23 MVO 10			
	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams	
FLORIDA ATLANTIC	63 [°]	218	16	2.3	_	
MICHIGAN ST	263	258	41	1.9	~~~	
+ Detroit MI - MSLI's ru	n name looks ii	n fine shane af	fter rushina fa	r 297 vd I W	This week PF) is

NO PLAY: MICHIGAN ST 41 FLORIDA ATLANTIC 16								
		Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams		
JLM		103	193	14	3.1	-		
ARKANSAS		198	398	49	1.4	~~		
+ Little Rock	AR - While this is	a "homo	aama" for LILM it v	ill he mostly Δ	rk fans as the	v nlav horo twic	۵	

NO PLAY: ARKANSAS 49 ULM 14								
	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams			
RICE	169	210	31	2.0	~			
NORTHTEXAS	181	285	33	3.0	_			
Both team are on the	rise and each cov	iered vs BCS t	eams I W PP	is projecting	the Mean G			

NO PLAY: NORTH TEXAS 33 RICE 31									
	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams				
ARKANSAS ST	133	203	29	2.7	_				
LOUISIANA	163	213	26	2.5	~				
Both traveled to face	SEC teams LW v	with Ark St cov	vering vs Aub	urn and Lou	isiana strugglir				

4★ ARKANSAS ST 29 LOUISIANA 26							
	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams		
TROY	123	255	25	3.1	-		
OKLAHOMA ST	177	270	39	2.5	-		
Oklahoma St's new sp	pread offense had				17 win LW. Troy	's	

	3★ OKLA	HOMA ST 3	9 TROY 25		
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams
RUTGERS	204	210	31	1.0	-
FIU	61	260	18	3.1	-



PRO FOOTBALL SEPTEMBER 12-13th, 2010

DALLAS

S κ

Just a quick note for our Power Plays Subscribers. Obviously this newsletter is based upon statistical numbers and rankings that are used to develop the projections you see here. As with all types statistical information the more numbers that one inputs ordinarily the stronger the accuracy of the results. Therefore, one would expect the projections to be more reliable after a number of weeks worth of or stats are input. The numbers here are based upon how they finished last season with adjustments made due to personnel changes. TEN Preseason statistics are not included in any of the projections as we do not feel they would accurately adjust the numbers.

	IHUKS	DAY, SEPTEI	WBER 9th			
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	
MINNESOTA	100	240	29	2	#14	
NEW ORLEANS	81	268	34	2	#19	

The NFL opens up with a rematch of the NFC Championship game and Favre's left ankle is still causing him problems. MIN's offensive issues have been a hot offseason topic but the defense looks **GREEN B** elite. NO's offense has been sharp in the preseason and win here silences concerns about excessive PHILADE celebration with defending SB Champs are 10-0 SU and 7-3 ATS to open the season.

NO PLAY: SAINTS 34 VIKINGS 29

	SUND	AY, SEPTEMB	SER 12th			
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	
CAROLINA	108	99	16	3	#32	
NY GIANTS	187	280	41	1	#23	

The Panthers are a drastically different team that the one that closed Giants Stadium with a 41-9 win as a 7.5 pt AD LY. They will have at least 5 new defensive starters and a weaker special teams here. The Giants have been beat up on the OL in the preseason with 31 players sustaining some sort of injury. The Giants have quietly become a pass first team and the defense is reenergized by S DC Perry Fewell. Look for them to officially open New Meadowlands Stadium in a big way here. 5

	4★ GIAI	NIS 41 PAN	IHERS 16			
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	
MIAMI	140	210	25	1	#18	
BUFFALO	112	205	21	4	#10	
The Bills offense is in it	s 3rd different	offensive syste	em in as man	y years and	much like §	STL LY

at WR. MIA upgraded with DC Mike Nolan who will bring a lot of exotic blitzes to mask the youth in the back 7. PP has this right around the line with the yardage being surprisingly even so No Play. NO PLAY: DOLPHINS 25 BILLS 21

	NU FLAI.	DOLEHING				
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	
ATLANTA	80	235	26	2	#8	
PITTSBURGH	54	248	17	2	#25	
The Falcons supposedly	y get the Steel	ers at the righ	nt time with PI	T HC Tomli	n likely to go) with

Dixon due to Leftwich's knee injury in pre-4. While the offense is a question the Steelers defense in the NFL and Bradford may make his 1st start here. The yardage here (343-259) sides with STL is healthy with DE Smith and SS Polamalu back. PIT hasn't been a HD since Wk 8 of 2004 vs NE (34-20 win) and will have a great homefield advantage here. ATL is a promising team but they have their own defensive issues and its tough to go against Dick LeBeau here so No Play.

NO	PLAY:	FALCONS 26 STEELERS	17

	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	
DETROIT	94	205	23	3	#31	
CHICAGO	65	300	30	2	#3	

rate. DET may have the most improved DL in the NFL but they have a very poor back 7. Former Lions HC Rod Marinelli is now the Bears DC and does have an upgraded secondary with Urlacher back. Stafford (332 yds 74% 3-1) has looked very comfortable in the preseason and has more weapons to work with. PP has this right around the line though with only 66 yds difference though CHI has a big spec teams edge. NO PLAY: BEARS 30 LIONS 23

	NUFLA	L DEARS JU	LIUN3 23			
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	BAL
CINCINNATI	96	203	17	2	#24	NY J
NEW ENGLAND	75	290	30	1	#15	Th
Don't read too much into	the Patriote loss	to the Rame in	nracason N	F had scrimn	narred hoth NO	and

ATL for 2 days each prior to their games and played a very base 3-4 while not blitzing. CIN has drastically upgraded its passing game with Owens and TE Gresham to take the pressure of RB Benson. CIN was also worn down vs BUF (4th game in 20 days) and were resting OL. PP looks for Brady to expose a depleted CIN safety spot here at home and looking to get their playoff loss to BAL off their minds.

4★ PATRIOTS 30 BENGALS 17

	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	
CLEVELAND	139	183	21	2	#1	
TAMPA BAY	126	215	19	1	#2	

CLE looks to have stabilized the QB spot with Delhomme (345 yds 79% 2-0) who is very familiar with the Bucs defense from his time at CAR. TB QB Freeman sat out 2.5 weeks of preseason and in his 1st start. SD has much more talent overall but they are notoriously slow starters (1-5 ATS only had 19 live snaps due to a thumb injury. He is expected to return but CLE's OL has a big edge with their OL vs a TB DL with 2 rookie DT's. While TE Winslow will be pumped to play his former team PP calls for CLE to pull a minor upset here and we agree.

4★ BROWNS 21 (if +3 or more) BUCCANEERS 19								
	Yards	Yards	,	Turn	Special			
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams			
DENVER	71	198	18	1	#27			
JACKSONVILLE	143	223	23	1	#13			
DEN will field a rookie (Ctr, LG and ma	ay not have All-	 Pro LT Clady 	(knee) here	e. Their #1 and			

RB's (Moreno and Buckhalter) got no time due to injuries in the preseason and will have a rebuilt DL here. JAX RB Jones-Drew was rested in the preseason (6 att's) and while JAX will have a pair of rookie DT's the pass rush (14 sacks LY) is improved by DE Kampman. PP calls for a 366-269 yd edge by JAX who are 9-2 ATS to open the season.

2★ JAGUARS 23 BRONCOS 18							
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	- 1	
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	- 1	
INDIANAPOLIS	49	300	31	1	#28		
HOUSTON	76	285	25	2	#17		
IND continued their lac	k of interest in	the preseason	but the OL p	olay wasn't v	ery convincing a	IS	

LT Johnson was dinged up and Ctr Saturday (knee) isn't expected to play. HOU has only beaten IND SU once in 16 tries and will field a rookie CB (Kareem Jackson) who will be targeted by Manning. They will also be without LB Cushing as well. PP has

NO PLAY: COLTS 31 TEXANS 25

•	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	
(LAND	90	235	22	3	#12	
NESSEE	170	200	23	1	#30	
		O 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		AND A STREET	

TEN's 15-7 loss to CAR in preseason 3 is worthless as they faced ARZ on MNF, scrimmaged them the next day and then travelled to CAR 2 days later. OAK was very aggressive on both sides of the ball in preseason but are beat up at RB and WR and may have shown too much. PP calls for OAK to keep it close here but Fisher is 6-2 ATS to open the season.

NO PLAY	: TITANS 23	RAIDERS 22
Varde	Varde	

	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams	
BAY	94 [°]	248	32	1	#29	
ELPHIA	56	258	28	3	#5	

Rodgers (470 yds 77% 6-0) ran 14 series with GB's #1's in preseason and the Packers only punted 3 times with only 1 being a 3 and out. GB does have injury issues at LB (Matthews) and in the secondary (SS Bigby, CB Harris). Kolb (324 yds 53% 0-1) only has 2 starts under his belt and PHI was very conservative in the preseason. PHI does have a fast defense but GB is the better balanced unit offensively. PP has this right around the number and despite the high score we want to wait on a Total as Capers didn't unveil any blitz packages in the offseason.

NO PLAY: PACKERS 32 EAGLES 28

	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	
SAN FRANCISCO	104	210	27	1	#7	
SEATTLE	95	255	22	3	#26	

SF enters 2010 as the NFC West favorite and we think Alex Smith will have a solid year. SF has played most of preseason without their 3 big offensive weapons (Gore, Davis, Crabtree) who were being rested. SEA's 12th man will be very energized thanks to Pete Carroll but SEA's OL is a work in progress (LT Okung) and their LB's have been beat up as well. However, Hasselbeck is now the best QB in the division and the WR's are decent enough have PP making this close to the line for a No Play.

NO PLAY: 49ers 27 SEAHAWKS 22

	Yards Rushing	Yards Passing	Points	Turn Overs	Special Teams	
ARIZONA	104 [°]	155 [°]	18	1	#22	
ST LOUIS	128	215	19	1	#9	

Every year there is a game that stun the NFL in opening week and PP forecasts it to be this game. ARZ was the big loser in terms of FA TY and their QB situation is very murky especially since Fitzgerald (knee) hasn't practiced since pre-1. STL will basically have 2 rookie OT's, the worst WR's

NO PLAY: RAMS 19 CARDINALS 18

	1★ RAMS	S/CARDINAL	S: UNDER			
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	
	94	228	19	1	#4	
TON	76	285	24	1	#21	

WASHING If McNabb (ankle sprain) is healthy the Redskins are catching DAL at a good time who have an Cutler has been sacked 10 times behind the #1 OL in the 1st 3 preseason games with a 51% comp inexperienced LT and could be without their starting RT and LG. The Cowboys have more talented depth overall and a vastly better WR unit which will make Shanahan's debut rather challenging.

NO PLAY: REDSKINS 24 COWBOYS 19

MONDAV SEDTEMBER 13th

	MOND					
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	
LTIMORE	95	141	17	2	#16	
JETS	105	233	21	2	#20	
he Jets have the best	hype machine in	n Rex Rvan wh	o knows exac	tlv what he's	facing after	beina

Tł the Ravens DC from 2005-2008. BAL has an upgraded WR unit that won't be facing CB Revis but their OL has been depleted in the preseason. Old school football returns to MNF here.

	NO PLAY	/: JETS 21 R	AVENS 17			
	Yards	Yards		Turn	Special	
	Rushing	Passing	Points	Overs	Teams	
AN DIEGO	94	250	35	2	#6	
ANSAS CITY	158	233	30	3	#11	
A supervision of Other all supervisions		and the second second second	Affects of a second state for	1. H OL 1.	a secolar construction of	12.000

Arrowhead Stadium received a \$375 million renovation and this is the Chiefs only prime time game for 2010. KC has drastically upgraded its coaching staff and added explosiveness in its roster. They get SD at a good time as they are without their starting LT, #1 WR and will field a rookie RB 1st 2 Wks) under Norv Turner

NO PLAY: CHARGERS 35 CHIEFS 30

	STREAKERS STREAKERS WILL BEGIN AFTER 3 WEEKS OF PLAY
2 lt ir d s O g.	2010 NFL POWER RATINGS TEAM RTG TEAM RTG TEAM RTG 1 Minnesota 101.7 11 Pittsburgh 97.2 21 Arizona 92.4 2 New England 101.7 12 Cincinnati 96.4 22 Jacksonville 90.2 3 Indianapolis 99.7 13 Tennessee 96.3 24 Cleveland 89.0 4 New Orleans 99.3 14 Atlanta 96.2 25 Denver 88.9 5 NY Giants 99.2 15 San Francisco 95.1 26 Carolina 88.9 6 Baltimore 98.5 17 Chicago 94.7 28 Oakland 88.7 9 NY Jets 97.9 18 Houston 94.6 29 Tampa Bay 88.1 9 Green Bay 97.2 20 Philadelphia 92.4 32 St Louis 84.6
	These are current 2010 Power Rating grades for each team based on talent.

PASS EFFICIENCY DEFENSE	COLLEG			AM S'			571	CAI		VER	AG	ES
YDS ATT COM % TD INT GRADE OPP Utah St 215 36 17 47.2 2 19.5 14.74	OFF PTS	OFF FD	- RI	JSHING - DS AVG FUI	1		PASSING -		EF DEF	_	NENTS RUSH	I-SKS SKS
Kansas St 120 26 9 34.6 1 2 19 10.84 TCU 180 26 10 38.5 2 0 17 11.86 Wisconsin 105 26 10 38.5 2 0 16.3 9.79	Air Force 65.0 Akron 3.0	30.0 9.0	61.0 43 24.0 5	7.0 7.2 1.0 5.0 2.3 0.0	7.0 12.0	12.0 5 35.0 3	8.3 179.0 4.3 111.0	0 2 21 0 0 29	.0 16.0 .0 20.0	32.0 62. 45.0 202.	0 1.9 0.0 0 4.5 2.0	0 5 3 1
Georgia 114 24 8 33.3 1 3 16.2 9.09 Florida 207 44 25 56.8 0 4 15.9 12.74 BYU 266 39 20 51.3 1 0 15.9 13.84	Alabama 48.0 Arizona 41.0 Arizona St 54.0	31.0 25.0 23.0	25.0 10 35.0 24	8.0 6.7 1.0 5.0 4.2 0.0 2.0 6.9 0.0	21.0 37.0 20.0	44.0 84 31.0 64	2.4 310.0 4.1 413.0 4.5 311.0	1 3 2 1 2 9	.0 7.0 .0 10.0 .0 14.0	28.0 84. 28.0 80. 29.0 54.	0 2.9 1.0 0 1.9 0.0	1 2 1 2
Texas Tech 218 38 21 55.3 2 3 15.6 13.46 Fresno St 219 41 24 58.5 1 0 15.2 13.96 Oregon 80 29 13 44.8 0 2 14.7 8.85	Arkansas 44.0 Arkansas St 26.0 Army 31.0	23.0 22.0 21.0	35.0 4 55.0 30	<u>3.0 6.9 0.0</u> 3.0 1.2 0.0 9.0 5.6 1.0	23.0 33.0 5.0	49.0 6 11.0 4	6.7 <u>326.0</u> 7.3 <u>323.0</u> 5.5 65.0	0 0 52	.0 20.0	43.0 79. 49.0 367. 51.0 285.	0 7.5 2.0 0 5.6 2.0	4 1
California 67 25 9 36.0 0 0 14.7 7.88 2 SMU 359 53 34 64.2 4 0 14.7 18.17 3 Michigan St 238 53 30 56.6 2 1 14.3 11.88	Auburn 52.0 Ball St 27.0 Baylor 34.0	26.0 23.0 24.0	55.0 24	7.0 7.5 2.0 3.0 4.4 0.0 0.0 8.0 1.0	11.0 10.0 21.0	17.0 5	8.8 241.0 8.8 85.0 0.0 263.0	0 3 26 1 0 10 0 2 3	.0 15.0	35.0 43. 29.0 125. 39.0 88.	0 4.3 3.0	1 1
Miningen OL 250 33 360 500 7 1450 1100 Oklahoma 340 38 17 44.7 2 3 14 12.09 Syracuse 111 35 12 34.3 0 13.8 7.41 USC 459 49 27 55.1 3 0 13.8 16.77	Boise St – Boston College 38.0 Bowling Green 27.0	- 17.0 20.0		8.0 5.7 1.0 8.0 4.3 1.0	- 12.0 22.0		2.2 223.0 1.2 221.0	2 3 20 1 1 30		39.0 104. 44.0 211.		0 1 2 3
GSG 438 457 521 536 613.8 10.77 Yentucky 127 29 14 48.3 0 1 13.7 9.49 3 Texas A&M 235 45 26 57.8 1 1 13.5 11.95 9 Tennessee 86 26 11 42.3 0 2 13.5 8.02	Buffalo 31.0 BYU 23.0 California 52.0	23.0 23.0 26.0	33.0 14	1.0 3.3 1.0 6.0 4.4 0.0 0.0 4.9 1.0	25.0 24.0 20.0	40.0 6	9.5 332.0 0.0 262.0 1.4 287.0	1 4 0 0 2 17 0 3 3		34.0 52. 31.0 128. 19.0 14.	0 4.1 0.0	0 1
Heininssee 00 20 11 42.3 0 2 13.3 0.02 Michigan 205 38 87.4 0 0 13.5 9.87 Nevada 270 40 21 52.5 2 1 3.4 11.97 Arizona St 209 37 18 46.6 0 3 3.2 9.72	Central Michigan 33.0 Cincinnati 14.0 Clemson 35.0	26.0 15.0 15.0	32.0 1	8.0 4.0 0.0 5.0 0.5 1.0 6.0 9.8 0.0	22.0 24.0 10.0	41.0 5	<u>1.1 259.0</u> 8.5 219.0 7.6 177.0	0 1 0 0 1 28 1 2 10	.0 13.0	34.0 49. 30.0 49. 49.0 193.	0 1.6 0.0	
B Duke 281 42 22 52.4 1 2 12.8 11.13 H Houston 165 31 15 48.4 1 3 12.7 9.26	Colorado 24.0 Colorado St 3.0 Connecticut 10.0	18.0 14.0 16.0	25.0 4	5.0 3.3 0.0 9.0 2.0 0.0 8.0 4.6 1.0	17.0 24.0 18.0	33.0 7	8.0 192.0 2.7 196.0 7.4 205.0	1 2 3 3 0 24 0 0 30	.0 18.0	25.0 49. 35.0 115. 61.0 287.	0 3.3 0.0	3 2
5 Ohio St 155 35 18 51.4 0 1 12.7 9.31 Washington 262 40 24 60.0 2 0 12.6 13.35 7 Troy 221 43 22 51.2 1 1 12.6 9.69	Duke 41.0 Eastern Michigan 27.0 East Carolina 51.0	32.0 20.0 27.0	45.0 19 51.0 28	2.0 4.3 0.0 5.0 5.6 2.0 5.0 4.6 0.0	31.0 4.0 27.0	9.0 4	9.5 350.0 4.4 31.0 7.4 383.0	0 2 27 1 1 31 1 5 49	.0 24.0 .0 21.0	21.0 125. 55.0 309. 41.0 180.	0 6.0 0.0 0 5.6 1.0	1 2
3 Missouri 81 23 9 39.1 1 3 12.5 7.2 Ocentral Michigan 80 34 12 35.3 0 1 12.2 6.37 Oklahoma St 212 30 14 46.7 1 0 12.1 9.79	Florida 34.0 Florida Atlantic 32.0 FlU –	13.0 12.0	31.0 12	2.0 3.9 3.0 3.0 3.3 0.0	17.0 14.0	25.0 6	8.0 113.0 8.3 254.0	0 2 12	.0 16.0	23.0 4. 47.0 345.	0 0.2 0.0	0 2
I Alabama 92 20 8 40.0 0 1 12.1 7.45 2 Arizona 103 23 14 60.9 2 1 11.9 10.81 3 Miami, Oh 113 25 17 68.0 2 0 11.8 12.94	Florida St 59.0 Fresno St 28.0 Georgia 55.0	27.0 13.0 19.0	30.0 4	5.0 7.1 0.0 9.0 1.6 0.0 4.0 5.0 0.0	22.0 18.0 19.0	24.0 7	1.5 296.0 5.0 247.0 1.3 193.0	2 4 6 1 4 14		38.0 77. 32.0 15. 29.0 14.	0 0.5 1.0	2 8
i Buffalo 145 42 18 42.9 0 1 11.8 7.17 5 Georgia Tech 94 25 11 44.0 0 0 11.7 7.42 5 Iowa St 93 29 14 48.3 0 3 11.7 7.5	Georgia Tech 41.0 Hawaii 36.0 Houston 68.0	26.0 31.0 22.0	57.0 37 29.0 12	1.0 6.5 1.0 9.0 4.4 0.0 1.0 6.9 0.0	2.0 27.0 25.0	8.0 2 49.0 5	5.0 12.0 5.1 459.0 5.8 346.0	1 0 10 0 3 49 2 6 28	.0 16.0 .0 26.0	40.0 174. 35.0 146. 57.0 180.	0 4.4 1.0 0 4.2 1.0	0 0 5 1
7 Baylor 107 27 13 48.1 0 0 11.5 7.86 3 Tulane 202 35 17 48.6 3 1 11.2 8.71 9 Rice 172 24 14 58.3 0 0 11.1 11.61	Idaho 45.0 Illinois 13.0 Indiana 51.0	26.0 16.0 18.0	28.0 14 41.0 20	8.0 5.3 0.0 0.0 4.9 1.0 5.0 6.0 0.0	28.0 9.0 18.0	46.0 6 23.0 3	0.9 399.0 9.1 81.0 0.0 205.0	1 3 0 3 1 23 0 2 17	.0 17.0 .0 23.0	33.0 148. 29.0 98. 42.0 227.	0 4.5 3.0 0 3.4 1.0	4 1 2 1
) Louisiana-Lft 193 31 19 61.3 4 1 10.9 11.71 I South Carolina 337 57 38 66.7 1 1 10.7 12.49 2 Wyoming 193 37 22 59.5 0 0 10.7 9.82	lowa 37.0 lowa St 27.0 Kansas 3.0	24.0 25.0 14.0	39.0 17 37.0 13	9.0 4.6 2.0 8.0 3.7 1.0 6.0 3.0 2.0	21.0 27.0 19.0	26.0 8 36.0 7	0.8 256.0 5.0 265.0 7.6 197.0	0 1 7 2 0 10	.0 6.0	24.0 65. 36.0 156. 32.0 73.	0 2.7 0.0 0 4.3 0.0	2 2 1 1
3 North Texas 177 21 10 47.6 2 1 10.7 9.68 ↓ USF 112 21 9 42.9 1 4 10.5 6.94 § OregonSt 176 27 17 63.0 1 2 10.5 11.53	Kansas St 31.0 Kent St 41.0 Kentucky 23.0	18.0 20.0 20.0	54.0 31 39.0 13	3.0 5.8 2.0 7.0 3.5 1.0 0.0 6.2 0.0	12.0 24.0 18.0	17.0 7 35.0 6	0.6 64.0 8.6 275.0 6.7 236.0	0 1 22 1 3 10 0 0 16	.0 17.0 .0 12.0	37.0 193. 22.0 -65. 32.0 190.	0 5.2 1.0 0 -3.0 1.0	6 3 3 5
OCF 104 19 10 52.6 0 0 10.5 8.52 7 Boston College 277 47 29 61.7 1 1 10.5 10.7 3 Illinois 281 48 34 70.8 2 0 10.4 14.12	Louisiana Tech 20.0 Louisiana-Lft 7.0 Louisville 16.0	20.0 20.0 5.0 17.0	38.0 14 29.0 1	0.0 0.2 0.0 0.0 3.7 1.0 4.0 0.5 0.0 0.0 5.9 1.0	23.0 8.0 14.0	31.0 74 24.0 3	4.2 196.0 3.3 114.0 8.3 127.0	0 0 6 3 1 55 1 0 23	.0 18.0 .0 19.0	37.0 102. 37.0 184. 37.0 230.	0 2.8 0.0 0 5.0 0.0	2 3 3 0
Otah 184 28 16 57.1 1 10.4 10.06) Stanford 113 27 15 55.6 0 10.3 8.16 Bowling Green 264 40 27 67.5 1 2 10.2 12.85	LSU 30.0 Marshall 7.0	11.0 11.0 11.0	36.0 17	6.0 4.9 3.0 4.0 2.1 2.0	14.0 14.0 18.0	20.0 7	0.0 157.0 1.4 155.0	1 2 24 1 0 45	.0 21.0	33.0 23. 41.0 280.	0 0.7 3.0	0 4
2 Pittburgh 283 36 2.1 58.3 3 1 10.1 11.52 8 Notre Dame 220 42 31 73.8 0 2 10.1 13.16 Is Clemson 269 34 22 64.7 2 9.6 12.87	Maryland – Memphis 7.0 Miami, Fl 45.0 Miami, Oh 12.0	9.0 23.0 16.0	36.0 15	 1.0 2.0 0.0 5.0 4.3 250.0 4.0 0.2 0.0	20.0 0.0 25.0	19.0	2.5 196.0 0.0 250.0 6.8 207.0	2 1 49 1 3 0 4 0 34	.0 8.0	41.0 197. 39.0 39. 31.0 122.	0 1.0 52.0	18
5 Southern Miss 225 30 20 66.7 1 1 9.5 13.05 6 Western Michigan 186 22 13 59.1 1 0 9.5 11.56 7 Tulsa 383 47 27 57.4 5 1 9.5 11.3	Michigan 30.0 Michigan St 38.0 Middle Tennessee 17.0	28.0 19.0	61.0 28 37.0 29	7.0 4.7 0.0 7.0 8.0 2.0	23.0 19.0 13.0 13.0	22.0 8 22.0 5	6.4 186.0 9.1 186.0	0 1 10 0 1 14 1 1 24	.0 16.0 .0 16.0	30.0 122. 30.0 138. 32.0 85. 67.0 281.	0 4.6 1.0 0 2.7 2.0	0 0 2 1
3) Nebraska 120 23 12 52.2 0 1 9.2 7.22 9) Kansas 95 22 12 54.5 0 1 9 6.96 0) Mississippi St 196 32 20 62.5 1 2 8.9 9.27	Minnesota 24.0 Mississippi 48.0	12.0 27.0 23.0	67.0 28 52.0 23	1.0 4.2 0.0 7.0 4.6 2.0	10.0 13.0	17.0 5 20.0 6	8.8 150.0 5.0 242.0	0 0 17 1 3 49	.0 12.0 .0 17.0	22.0 146. 33.0 103. 21.0 41.	D 6.6 1.0 D 3.1 0.0	2 0 1 2
I Idaho 122 35 19 54.3 0 2 8.8 6.39 2 Army 31 9 4 44.4 1 1 8.8 5.49 3 Wake Forest 292 42 24 57.1 2 1 8.7 8.79	Mississippi St 49.0 Missouri 23.0 Northern Illinois 10.0	28.0 23.0 14.0	29.0 9	7.0 4.8 0.0 8.0 3.4 1.0 6.0 4.3 0.0	20.0 34.0 14.0	48.0 70	0.0 372.0 0.8 281.0 8.3 93.0	1 5 7 0 2 13 3 0 27	.0 16.0	41.0 200. 37.0 138.	0 4.9 1.0	1 2
I Middle Tennessee 150 17 10 58.8 0 0 8.5 10.47 5 West Virginia 121 27 14 51.9 0 1 8.5 6.3 6 Florida Atlantic 79 19 9 47.4 1 8.5 5.77	Navy – NC State 48.0 Nebraska 49.0	25.0 25.0	33.0 28	9.0 3.6 0.0 7.0 8.7 2.0	25.0 17.0	25.0 6	5.8 351.0 8.0 247.0	0 4 7 0 1 10 0 2 24	.0 15.0	31.0 86. 40.0 179.	0 4.5 1.0	1 1
7 LSU 410 45 28 62.2 3 0 8.3 12.4 3 Northwestern 240 33 19 57.6 1 1 8 8.24 9 Rutgers 131 24 11 45.8 1 0 7.9 5.73	Nevada 49.0 <u>New Mexico 0.0</u> New Mexico St -	24.0 7.0	29.0 3	4.0 4.9 1.0 9.0 1.3 3.0	27.0	29.0 4	1.1 339.0 4.8 80.0	2 0 72	.0 39.0	28.0 162. 61.0 392.	0 6.4 0.0	1 1
) Indiana 165 35 16 45.7 2 3 7.9 5.36 Virginia 189 33 19 57.6 0 1 7.8 7.05 2 Miami,FI 58 11 5 45.5 0 1 7.7 5.37	North Carolina 24.0 North Texas 10.0 Northwestern 23.0	21.0 25.0 22.0	49.0 19 46.0 14	3.0 0.7 3.0 3.0 3.9 0.0 3.0 3.1 1.0 <th1.0< th=""> <th1.0< th=""> <th1.0< th=""></th1.0<></th1.0<></th1.0<>	28.0 22.0 19.0	34.0 64 21.0 9	2.2 410.0 44.7 269.0 0.5 222.0	0 3 30 2 2 35 0 3 21	.0 15.0 .0 22.0	36.0 176. 25.0 246. 38.0 192.	0 9.8 0.0 D 5.1 0.0	5 1 3 1
3) East Carolina 399 43 28 65.1 5 1 7.7 13.3 ↓ Colorado St 192 25 17 68.0 2 1 7.6 11.36 ⊙ Texas 131 18 12 66.7 1 1 7.5 10.06	Notre Dame 23.0 Ohio 33.0 Ohio St 45.0 Ohiokaran 44.0	20.0 19.0 23.0	47.0 23 41.0 28	3.0 4.3 1.0 0.0 4.9 1.0 0.0 6.8 0.0	19.0 8.0 18.0	17.0 4 27.0 6	3.1 205.0 7.1 101.0 6.7 249.0	0 1 12 1 0 10 0 3 7	.0 13.0 .0 11.0	32.0 102. 51.0 220. 21.0 44.	0 4.3 3.0 0 2.1 2.0	2 0 3 1
3 Arkansas 108 14 7 50.0 0 0 7.5 6.71 7 Auburn 323 49 33 67.3 1 0 7.4 9.52 3 Akron 229 27 17 63.0 2 1 7.2 9.82	Oklahoma 31.0 Oklahoma St 65.0 Oregon 72.0	22.0 27.0 39.0	44.0 29 61.0 39	8.0 4.4 0.0 11.0 6.6 0.0 2.0 6.4 0.0	17.0 27.0 21.0	37.0 7 35.0 6	7.2 215.0 3.0 253.0 0.0 359.0	2 2 24 0 3 17 1 2 0	.0 12.0 .0 7.0	38.0 97. 41.0 112. 29.0 39.	0 2.7 2.0 0 1.3 3.0	2 3 1 1
9 Jowa 92 20 13 65.0 1 0 7 7.07) Purdue 205 26 19 73.1 1 0 6.9 13.01 NC State 103 18 10 55.6 1 0 6.7 5.9	Oregon St 21.0 Penn St 44.0 Pittsburgh 24.0	13.0 22.0 17.0	29.0 13 36.0 8	0.0 3.6 0.0 2.0 4.6 0.0 2.0 2.3 1.0	10.0 20.0 16.0	29.0 6 28.0 5	8.5 180.0 9.0 239.0 7.1 184.0	0 2 30 1 2 14 1 1 27	<u>.0 14.0</u> .0 21.0	54.0 278. 34.0 75. 27.0 122.	0 <u>2.2 0.0</u> 0 4.5 2.0	<u>0 1</u> 2 0
2 UAB 254 24 14 58.3 3 1 6.7 10.09 3 North Carolina 157 20 14 70.0 2 1 6.6 10.89 Mississippi 252 36 22 61.1 4 0 6.6 7.75	Purdue 12.0 Rice 17.0 Rutgers 31.0	20.0 14.0 20.0	42.0 8 50.0 26	2.03.20.09.02.11.08.05.40.0	31.0 12.0 11.0	18.0 6 21.0 5	3.8 220.0 6.7 131.0 2.4 154.0	2 0 23 1 1 34 0 0 0	.0 23.0 .0 7.0	36.0 153. 46.0 196. 24.0 25.	0 4.3 1.0 D 1.0 1.0	3 0 3 2
5 Ohio 0 4 0 0.0 0 6.6 2.24 5 Colorado 196 33 24 72.7 0 3 6.4 8.57 New Mexico 359 35 21 60.0 2 1 6.4 9.66	S Mississippi 13.0 San Diego St 47.0 San Jose St 3.0	23.0 23.0 7.0	34.0 21 28.0 8	7.0 2.5 1.0 8.0 6.4 1.0 4.0 3.0 0.0	38.0 18.0 8.0	27.0 6 20.0 4	6.7 337.0 6.7 313.0 0.0 92.0	1 1 41 0 2 0 1 0 48	.0 12.0 .0 31.0	35.0 224. 37.0 88. 40.0 268.	0 2.4 1.0 0 6.7 1.0	0 3
Marshall 249 27 18 66.7 3 0 6.3 11.37 J Louisville 236 27 18 66.7 0 0 6.2 9.77 Washington St 253 37 27 73.0 3 0 6.1 10.28	SMU 27.0 South Carolina 41.0 Stanford 52.0	18.0 25.0 20.0	35.0 22 38.0 21	9.0 4.0 1.0 4.0 6.4 0.0 3.0 5.6 2.0	21.0 20.0 17.0	30.0 6 24.0 7	5.3 218.0 6.7 225.0 0.8 316.0	3 2 35 1 1 13 0 4 17	.0 23.0 .0 12.0	31.0 72. 27.0 67. 30.0 54.	0 2.5 1.0 0 1.8 1.0	3 1 1 3
Northern Illinois 265 36 27 75.0 0 2 6 10.65 2 UCLA 64 17 12 70.6 1 0 6 6.44 3 UTEP 202 33 20 60.6 1 2 5.9 5.87	Syracuse 29.0 TCU 30.0 Temple 31.0	20.0 31.0 19.0	54.0 27 37.0 12	2.0 4.5 2.0 8.0 5.1 0.0 2.0 3.3 1.0	17.0 17.0 16.0	27.0 6 27.0 5	3.0 229.0 3.0 176.0 9.3 200.0	2 1 21 0 1 24	.0 20.0	24.0 55. 25.0 90. 32.0 139.	0 3.6 0.0 0 4.3 1.0	1 2 3 1
Louisiana Tech 158 25 15 60.0 0 2 5.7 5.58 5 Air Force 249 37 22 59.5 2 1 5.6 5.83	Tennessee 50.0 Texas 34.0 Texas A&M 48.0	23.0 23.0 33.0	46.0 19	2.0 7.7 1.0 6.0 4.3 1.0 6.0 3.9 0.0	17.0 14.0 26.0	24.0 5	6.7 205.0 8.3 172.0 6.7 303.0	1 1 0 0 0 17 0 2 7	.0 14.0	29.0 56. 42.0 89. 17.0 31.	0 2.1 1.0	0 3
Kent St 258 47 30 63.8 1 0 5.3 5.56 Western Kentucky 247 25 17 66.0 1 0 5.3 10.61 Florida St 223 39 27 69.2 0 1 5.2 6.25	Texas Tech 35.0 Toledo 2.0 Troy 30.0	24.0 10.0 29.0	28.0 8	2.0 2.3 1.0 0.0 2.9 1.0 1.0 4.8 0.0	34.0 14.0 27.0	23.0 6	4.2 359.0 0.9 103.0 7.5 264.0	0 4 27 1 2 41 2 1 27	.0 25.0	27.0 109. 25.0 105. 25.0 108.	0 4.2 0.0	2 1
) EMichigan 65 11 5 45.5 0 0 4.4 3.17 10 Ball St 112 18 12 66.7 0 0 4 4.77 11 San Jose St 310 29 21 72.4 2 0 3.9 12.55	<u>Tuláne 27.0</u> Tulsa 49.0 UAB 31.0	15.0 27.0 17.0	41.0 12	3.0 3.0 1.0 0.0 4.4 1.0 5.0 7.3 0.0	17.0 28.0 9.0	26.0 6 43.0 6	5.4 <u>129.0</u> 5.1 <u>399.0</u> 7.4 79.0	1 0 21 1 5 51 1 1 32	<u>.0 17.0</u> .0 27.0	28.0 64. 34.0 155. 28.0 93.	0 <u>2.3 3.0</u> 0 4.6 0.0	<u>4 2</u> 1 1
12 UNLV 197 20 15 75.0 0 1 3.9 10.9 J3 San Diego St 83 26 12 46.2 0 0.3.4 2.18 J4 Temple 172 28 20 71.4 2 1 3.4 4.75	UCF 38.0 UCLA 22.0 UL Monroe -	27.0 17.0 -	46.0 23 37.0 19	1.0 5.0 0.0 3.0 5.2 1.0	18.0 9.0 -	22.0 8 26.0 3	1.8 241.0 4.6 120.0	0 2 7 2 1 31 	.0 13.0 .0 18.0	26.0 116. 54.0 313.	0 4.5 0.0 0 5.8 2.0 	0 1 3 6
J5 Hawaii 277 20 74.1 5 0 3.4 12.59 36 Minnesota 172 18 13 72.2 1 1 3.1 7.23 72 70 74.1 5 0 3.4 12.59 36 Minnesota 172 18 13 72.2 1 1 3.1 7.23 723 723 723 723 724 18 75.0 4 1 2.6 9.53 353 353 353 354 354 354 354 354 354 354 354 355 354 354 354 354 354 354 355 354 354 354 354 354 355 356 <td>UNLV 21.0 USC 49.0 USF 59.0</td> <td>11.0 26.0 25.0</td> <td><u>35.0 14</u> 32.0 18</td> <td>0.0 4.1 1.0 6.0 4.2 1.0 0.0 5.6 2.0</td> <td>10.0 20.0 24.0</td> <td>27.0 74 36.0 6</td> <td>8.5 105.0 4.1 277.0 6.7 383.0</td> <td>0 2 41 0 5 36 0 3 14</td> <td><u>.0 31.0</u> .0 12.0</td> <td>50.0 278. 29.0 129. 37.0 142.</td> <td>0 4.4 0.0 0 3.8 0.0</td> <td><u>1 5</u> 0 1</td>	UNLV 21.0 USC 49.0 USF 59.0	11.0 26.0 25.0	<u>35.0 14</u> 32.0 18	0.0 4.1 1.0 6.0 4.2 1.0 0.0 5.6 2.0	10.0 20.0 24.0	27.0 74 36.0 6	8.5 105.0 4.1 277.0 6.7 383.0	0 2 41 0 5 36 0 3 14	<u>.0 31.0</u> .0 12.0	50.0 278. 29.0 129. 37.0 142.	0 4.4 0.0 0 3.8 0.0	<u>1 5</u> 0 1
18 Toledo 413 44 37 84.1 3 1 2 14.62 19 Connecticut 186 22 19 86.4 1 0 1.8 10.54 0 Penn St 189 25 21 84.0 2 0 1.3 4.55	Utah 27.0 Utah St 24.0 UTEP 31.0	21.0 21.0 28.0	27.0 12 38.0 9 41.8 23	2.0 4.5 2.0 7.0 2.6 0.0 4.0 5.9 1.0	21.0 17.0 17.0	36.0 54 38.0 4 28.0 6	8.3 283.0 4.7 340.0 0.7 229.0	1 3 24 3 2 31 1 0 10	.0 17.0 .0 22.0 .0 14.0	36.0 82. 45.0 198. 26.0 76.	D 2.3 1.0 D 4.4 0.0 D 2.9 0.0	0 2 3 3 1 2
1 Arkansas St 241 16 11 68.8 3 0 0.4 12.75 2 Vanderbilt 222 21 19 90.5 3 0 -1.5 12.06 3 Memphis 372 25 20 80.0 5 1 -2.5 10.78	Vanderbilt 21.0 Virginia 34.0 Virginia Tech –	22.0 22.0	35.0 20	2.0 5.1 0.0 5.0 5.9 1.0	19.0 24.0	35.0 6	7.6 240.0 8.6 283.0	1 1 23 0 1 13	.0 13.0	46.0 143. 30.0 144.	0 4.8 0.0	1 2
Wriginal Tech - <	W Michigan 14.0 Wake Forest 53.0 Washington 17.0	16.0 23.0 20.0	50.0 41 31.0 11	5.0 2.7 2.0 5.0 8.3 1.0 9.5 4.1 0.0 2.0 2.7 2.0	30.0 10.0 20.0	24.0 4 39.0 5	6.6 238.0 1.7 94.0 1.3 266.0	1 2 38 0 0 13 0 1 23	.0 18.0 .0 23.0	37.0 297. 30.0 70. 33.0 146.	0 2.3 0.0 0 4.4 0.0	0 4 1 0
OE-MONTOR New Mexico St	Washington St 17.0 West Virginia 31.0 Western Kentucky 10.0 Western Kentucky 10.0	12.0 21.0 15.0	39.0 18 40.0 17	3.0 4.7 2.0 9.0 4.5 1.0	14.0 23.0 12.0	32.0 7 23.0 5	6.7 212.0 1.9 219.0 2.2 120.0	0 1 65 1 2 0 1 0 49	.0 8.0 .0 25.0	44.0 291. 33.0 64. 33.0 287.	0 1.9 1.0 0 8.7 2.0	0 0 1 1
Maryland – – – – – – – – –	Wisconsin 41.0 Wyoming 28.0	25.0 20.0 7		8.0 5.6 1.0 6.0 1.4 1.0	15.0 26.0		5.0 197.0 1.3 319.0	1 0 21 1 3 20		27.0 110. 42.0 191.		

SUBSCRIBER SPECIALS Northcoast Sports is the #1 ranked College Late Phone Service over 22 years in net wins as documented by The Sports Monitor of Oklahoma City, OK. Our weekly Late Phone Selections are the same plays we release to our Executive Club Members, The Sports Monitor and SportsWatch.

